# Simultaneous adsorption of heavy metal ions ( $Cu<sup>2+</sup>$  and  $Cd<sup>2+</sup>$ ) from aqueous solutions by magnetic silica nanoparticles (Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@SiO<sub>2</sub>) modified using EDTA

Ali Esrafili, Samaneh Bagheri\*, Majid Kermani, Mitra Gholami, Mehrdad Moslemzadeh

*Research Center for Environmental Health Technology, Iran University of Medical Sciences, Iran, Tel. +98 9333110705; email: samanebagheri67@gmail.com (S. Bagheri), Tel. +98 9124976672; Fax: +98 2188607939; email: a\_esrafily@yahoo.com (A. Esrafily), Tel. +98 9122250387; email: majidkermani@yahoo.com (M. Kermani), Tel. +98 9123906308; email: gholamim@iums.ac.ir (M. Gholami), Tel. +98 9116075024; email: mehrdad.moslemzadh@gmail.com (M. Moslemzadeh)*

Received 26 October 2018; Accepted 12 April 2019

#### **ABSTRACT**

In this study, Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@SiO<sub>2</sub> magnetic nanoparticles are modified with EDTA and used as a selective and efficient adsorbent for the removal of  $Cu^{2+}$  and  $Cd^{2+}$  heavy metals. The  $Fe_{3}O_{4}$ @SiO<sub>2</sub>-EDTA nano composite is characterized using scanning electron microscopy, energy-dispersive X-ray, X-ray diffraction, Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy, and Brunauer–Emmett–Teller. Nanoparticles are separated by an external magnet after the adsorption process. Adsorption equilibrium is achieved in 60 min, and the maximum removal of metal ions is obtained at pH 7. Isotherm analysis indicated that the adsorption data fit well to the Langmuir isotherm model. The maximum adsorption capacities are 79.4 and 73.5 mg/g for  $Cu^{2+}$  and  $Cd^{2+}$ , respectively. In addition, adsorption kinetic data agree with pseudo-second-kinetic order for both tested metal ions. Also, the adsorption process efficiency is investigated in the presence of K<sup>+</sup>, Na<sup>+</sup>, Mg<sup>2+</sup>, and Ca<sup>2+</sup> cations at the optimal conditions. This study indicates that the Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@SiO<sub>2</sub>-EDTA is a rapid, efficient, and reusable sorbent to remove Cd<sup>2+</sup> and Cu<sup>2+</sup> cations from contaminated aquatic solution.

Keywords: Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@SiO<sub>2</sub>; Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@SiO<sub>2</sub>-EDTA; Cu<sup>2+</sup>; Cd<sup>2+</sup>

# **1. Introduction**

One of the greatest environmental concerns is the pollution of water resources by toxic organic compounds and heavy metals. Such contaminants originate from industrial development, which has increased wastewater production, and emission of pollutants, especially heavy metals, to aqueous environments [1]. Heavy metals receive major consideration because of their persistent toxic effect and their ability to accumulate within compartments of the environment. They are either of natural origin or from anthropogenic activities. Anthropogenic activities, which are primary sources of heavy metal pollution, including mineral resources development, metal processing and

smelting, chemical production, factory emissions, and sewage irrigation [2]. However, the most hazardous heavy metals for living species are arsenic (As), cadmium (Cd), chromium (Cr), lead (Pb), copper (Cu), and mercury (Hg) [3,4]. These metals can penetrate living tissues, which results in damaging them, even in low quantities. Presence of these metals in drinking water, even in little amounts, can cause harmful effects on the central nervous system, kidneys, skin, teeth, liver, or lungs. Long-term exposure to larger amounts may even lead to death [3]. Two of the most important examples of these heavy metals are Cu and Cd. The current maximum containment levels for Cu and Cd in drinking water are, respectively, 1.3 and 0.005 mg/L [5]. In conclusion, water contaminated by these

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author.

<sup>1944-3994/1944-3986 © 2019</sup> Desalination Publications. All rights reserved.

heavy metals should be treated before discharging into water resources.

Conventional methods for the removal of heavy metals from aqueous solutions include ion exchange, membrane process, chemical precipitation, reverse osmosis, solventbased extraction, and adsorption [3,6,7]. Most of these processes have problems such as high-cost, high amount of sludge, sludge disposal, and high energy consumption [8]. Among these processes, adsorption is considered to be an effective technique for water and wastewater treatment. This is because of its simplicity, applicability, cost-effectiveness, insensitivity to pollutants, lack of toxic compound formation, adsorbent, and the possibility of reusing the adsorbate [8]. Specifically, adsorption of metals by eco-friendly nanoparticles (NPs) has attracted much interest as an efficient technique for the removal of organic contaminants and heavy metal ions from water and wastewater [7]. In recent years, the nanoparticles have been used to remove pollutants as adsorption processes [9–11], and as heterogeneous advanced oxidation processes [12,13].

Adsorbent NPs are usually modified by different materials to enhance their physical and chemical properties. Silica  $(SiO<sub>2</sub>)$ , for instance, has been widely used to improve dispersibility and chemical stability of iron oxide NPs in aqueous mediums [3,14,15]. Coating iron oxide cores by neutral silica can provide hydrophobicity, environment friendliness and a higher surface area for adsorption of the target adsorbates, especially heavy metals [14]. Also, deposition of  $SiO_2$  on iron oxide NPs can lead to structural stability. However, when they are coated by  $SiO<sub>2</sub>$  layers, their resistance is promoted against structural changes. That is why conservation of crystalline structure plays an important role in the final properties of iron oxide-based composites [16]. Furthermore, silica layers prevent dissolution of iron oxide NPs under acidic conditions. Another advantage of applying  $SiO_2$  coatings is that they can be chemically modified by the addition of different functional groups to improve removal efficiency by iron oxide NPs. In other words, the advantages of  $SiO<sub>2</sub>$  are accessibility, high surface area (about  $600 \text{ m}^2/\text{g}$ ), and high thermal resistance, which can lead to removal of  $Pb^{2+}$ ,  $Cd^{2+}$ ,  $Fe^{2+}$ ,  $Zn^{2+}$ ,  $Cu^{2+}$ , and  $Mn^{2+}$  from contaminated waters [3,17].

Even though  $SiO<sub>2</sub>$  layers are so advantageous for adsorption purposes, they cannot act reversibly in adsorption of metals [18]. Hence, recovery of adsorbent particles would be complicated. This can be solved through functionalizing silica layers by different organic compounds, for example, ethylene diamine tetra acetic acid (EDTA) [15]. In case of iron oxide NPs, chemical modifications by using chelating agents, such as EDTA, can help advancement of strong interactions with metal ions, improvement of adsorption capacity, and shortening equilibration time. In optimum conditions, modified adsorbent with EDTA can be used as a selective and effective adsorbent for removal of various heavy metals [19]. In this study, the EDTA chelating agent is investigated as an effective modifier for adsorption of  $Cu^{2+}$  and  $Cd^{2+}$  ions in contaminated water. Hence, the aim of this study is to investigate the potential of EDTA adsorption onto the  $\text{Fe}_3\text{SO}_4$ @ SiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles to remove  $Cu^{2+}$  and  $Cd^{2+}$  ions from aqueous solution.

### **2. Materials and methods**

### *2.1. Experimental setup*

### *2.1.1. Instrumentation and chemicals*

FeCl<sub>2</sub>·4H<sub>2</sub>O and FeCl<sub>3</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O, tetraethoxysilane (TEOS), cadmium nitrate tetrahydrate  $(Cd(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>·4H<sub>2</sub>O)$ , copper nitrate trihydrate  $(Cu(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>·3H<sub>2</sub>O)$ , toluene and ethanol (>99.5%), acetic acid (>99.7%), ammonia, nitric acid, hydrochloric acid, sodium hydroxide, and sulfuric acid are purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Chemical Company (Germany). EDTA is purchased from ABCR Chemical Company (Germany). All solutions are prepared using deionized water.

# *2.1.2. Preparation of magnetite Fe3 O4 nanoparticles*

To be more specific,  $11.4$  g of  $FeCl<sub>3</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O$  and  $4.1$  g of  $FeCl<sub>2</sub>·4H<sub>2</sub>O$  are dissolved in distilled water into a beaker. First, the ferrous ion solution is transferred to a reactor, and its volume has reached to 400 mL. Then, the temperature of the reactor is adjusted to 80°C, and its contents are mixed for 1 h at 600 rpm under a nitrogen atmosphere to exclude any dissolved oxygen molecules from the reactor. After that, a solution of 0.25% ammonia is added to the reactor. At this point, the brown solution has turned black. After completion of the reaction, a portion of the resultant mixture is poured into a 100 mL beaker. Reassuring the quality of magnetic NPs, a magnet is placed beside the beaker. Movement of the particles toward the magnet will approve the completion of the reaction [7].

# 2.1.3. Synthesis of Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@SiO<sub>2</sub>

The prepared  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$  NPs were coated with  $\text{SiO}_2$ . For this purpose, the magnetic NPs are dispersed in 20 mL TEOS, 6 mL ammonia (28%), 80 mL ethanol (65%), and deionized water into 250 mL beaker. The resulted suspension is injected into the reactor dropwise. The reactor contents are stirred at 600 rpm. Then, the particles are separated using a magnet, washed with ethanol and distilled water for a few times and dried at 60°C in an oven [20]. This process resulted in producing  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$ @SiO<sub>2</sub> NPs.

# *2.1.4. Fe3 O4 @SiO2 functionalization by EDTA*

10 mL of EDTA is diluted in methanol and distilled water, and added to the  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@SiO<sub>2</sub>$  NPs at 80°C. After 72 h, the resulted NPs are washed with distilled water and dried at room temperature [16].

# *2.2. Adsorbent characterization*

The  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@SiO<sub>2</sub>$ -EDTA beads are characterized using scanning electron microscopy (SEM), energy-dispersive X-ray (EDX), Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET), and X-ray diffraction (XRD) analyses. The formation of additional functional groups on  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  surface is studied by Fouriertransform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) analysis (FTIR, AVA TAR370, NICOLET, USA) with KBr pellets.

#### *2.3. Adsorption experiments*

The adsorption process is carried out at ambient temperature (25°C), and conducted as one factor at a time. In the study, parameters of pH solution (2–9), contact time, initial  $Cu^{2+}$  and  $Cd^{2+}$  concentration (2–20 mg/L) and adsorbent dose (0.01–1 g/L) are as variations. The experiment is initiated with making stock solution of heavy metal followed by determining equilibrium time and optimizing one of the influenced parameters in the adsorption of  $Cu^{2+}$  and  $Cd^{2+}$ onto the  $Fe_{3}O_{4}$ @SiO<sub>2</sub>-EDTA. At the end of each experiment, the  $Fe_{3}O_{4}$ @SiO<sub>2</sub>-EDTA is separated by an external magnet (1.3 T) and the remained  $Cu^{2+}$  and  $Cd^{2+}$  concentrations are determined using a Shimadzu atomic adsorption AA-680 spectroscopy (made in Japan).

Metal ions removal efficiency and sorption capacity are calculated using Eqs. (1) and (2):

Removal efficiency = 
$$
\frac{C_0 - C_t}{C_0} \times 100\%
$$
 (1)

Sorption capacity = 
$$
q_e = \frac{(C_0 - C_t)}{m} \times V
$$
 (2)

where  $C_0$  and  $C_t$  are the initial and final concentrations of metal ions (mg/L), *m* is the mass of nanoparticles (g), and *V* is the volume of solution (L).

Recovery efficiency is calculated using Eq. (3):

Recovery efficiency = 
$$
\frac{C_w}{C_0} \times 100
$$
 (3)

where  $C_0$  (mg/L) is the initial concentrations of metal ions and *C<sub>w</sub>* (mg/L) is the concentration of metal ions in the extracted solution (after regeneration).

## *2.4. Adsorption isotherms*

The  $Cd^{2+}$  and  $Cu^{2+}$  equilibrium adsorption is evaluated using the Langmuir and Freundlich isotherms model.

Langmuir isotherm model: the model states that the distribution of adsorbed solute is mono-layer with a homogeneous pattern over the active sites on the surface of the adsorbate. According to this model, the energy of adsorption is constant throughout the adsorption process, and its linear model as represented by Eq. (4):

$$
\frac{C_e}{q_e} = \frac{1}{K_L \times q_m} + \frac{C_e}{q_m} \tag{4}
$$

where  $C_e$  is the solute concentration (mg/L) at equilibrium,  $q_e$  is the amount adsorbed (mg/g),  $q_m$  is the maximum sorption capacity (mg/g), and  $K_{L}$  is energy of adsorption (L/mg) [21]. Note that

$$
\log q_e = \log K_F + \frac{1}{n} \log C_e \tag{5}
$$

where  $K_F$  and  $n$  are the extent of adsorption (mg/g) and adsorption intensity of system, respectively.

#### *2.5. Adsorption kinetics*

Kinetics is analyzed using the pseudo-first-order and pseudo-second-order models [22] using Eqs. (6) and (7);

$$
\ln(q_e - q_t) = \ln(q_e) - k_1 t \tag{6}
$$

$$
\frac{t}{q_e} = \frac{1}{k_2 q_e^2} + \frac{1}{q_e} \times t
$$
\n<sup>(7)</sup>

where  $k_1$  (h<sup>-1</sup>) and  $k_2$  (g/mg/h) are the equilibrium rate constants of kinetics.

# *2.6. Regeneration and reuse of Fe3 O4 @SiO2 -EDTA*

To investigate the regeneration and reuse of  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@$  $SiO_2$ -EDTA, 10 mg/L of Cd<sup>2+</sup> and Cu<sup>2+</sup> solution is used with the same adsorption process followed by separation of the nano-adsorbent from solution with the handheld magnet. The  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@SiO<sub>2</sub>$ -EDTA is collected and then washed with 1% HCl solution (10 min at the room temperature). The regenerated  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$ @SiO<sub>2</sub>-EDTA particles are reused for subsequent  $Cd^{2+}$ and  $Cu^{2+}$  sorption experiments. The sorption, extraction, and reuse processes are repeated five times. Changes in sorption capacity and nanoparticles recovery are determined at every cycle [23].

## *2.7. pH at point zero charge*

To determine pH at point zero charge (pH<sub>zpc</sub>) for  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$ @  $SiO<sub>2</sub>$ -EDTA particles, the following steps are performed. In the first step, a sufficient amount of  $0.1 \text{ M } \text{NaNO}_3$  solution is poured into 250 mL flasks and the pH is adjusted in the range of 2–11 by either 1 M HCl or NaOH. In the second step, the whole volume of the solution in each flask is adjusted to 100 mL by adding  $\text{NaNO}_3$  solution of the same concentration. At the same time, the initial pH values of the solutions are accurately noted. In the next step, 0.15 g of the NPs are added to the flasks and the suspensions are shaken and allowed to equilibrate for 24 h by a shaker. In the final step, the final pH values of the supernatant liquids containing NPs were noted [24].

## **3. Results and discussion**

## *3.1. Characterization of adsorbent*

The SEM images of the  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@SiO<sub>2</sub>$  and  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@SiO<sub>2</sub>$ EDTA particles are shown in Figs. 1a and b. Also, the results of EDX analysis for  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@SiO<sub>2</sub>$  and  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@SiO<sub>2</sub>$ -EDTA are prepared in Table 1. According to these data, the functional groups are well distributed on the surface of the silica layer. Moreover, the  $Fe_{3}O_{4}$ @SiO<sub>2</sub> particle is composed of Fe, C, O, and Si while the data related to the  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@SiO<sub>2</sub>$ -EDTA highlight the presence of Na in addition to these elements. This finding indicates successful introduction of the tetra acetic acid functional group to the  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@SiO<sub>2</sub>$  particles and formation of the  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@SiO<sub>2</sub>$ -EDTA.

The XRD patterns of the  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@SiO<sub>2</sub>$  and  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@SiO<sub>2</sub>$ EDTA particles are presented in Fig. 2. The XRD patterns



Fig. 1. SEM images of  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$ @SiO<sub>2</sub> (a) and  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$ @SiO<sub>2</sub>-EDTA (b).

Table 1 EDX results of  $\text{Fe}_{3}\text{O}_{4}$ @SiO<sub>2</sub> and  $\text{Fe}_{3}\text{O}_{4}$ @SiO<sub>2</sub>-EDTA

$Fe_{3}O_{4}$ @SiO <sub>2</sub>			Fe <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> @SiO <sub>2</sub> -EDTA		
<b>ELM</b>	W%	$A\%$	<b>ELM</b>	W%	$A\%$
C	2.86	8.77	C	8.03	21.54
Ω	12.42	28.61	Ω	13.48	27.14
Si	10.35	13.57	Na	0.87	1.22
Fe	74.37	49.06	Si	9.34	10.71
			Fe	68.28	39.39



Fig. 2. XRD patterns of  $\text{Fe}_{3}\text{O}_{4}$ @SiO<sub>2</sub>-EDTA.

show the position and relative intensities of all peaks for  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  at 18.27 (111), 30.07 (220), 35.44 (311), 43.06 (400), 53.4 (442), 56.95 (511), 62.51 (440), and 74.95 (622), confirming that pore  $\text{Fe}_{3}\text{O}_{4}$  is cubic spinel structure [16]. No more peaks are assigned to the crystalline form in  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@SiO<sub>2</sub>$  and  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@SiO<sub>2</sub>-EDTA$  (Fig. 2), and all of the diffraction peaks are indexed as the face centered cubic phases of Fe implying that modified  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$  with EDTA retained the crystalline cubic spinel structure.

Specific surface areas are commonly treated according to the BET theory as a standard procedure to nitrogen adsorption/desorption isotherms measured at 77 K. The specific surface area of the sample is determined by physical adsorption of a gas on the surface of the solid and by measuring the amount of adsorbed gas corresponding to a monomolecular layer on the surface [3]. The results of the BET method show that the average specific surface areas of  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$  and  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$ @SiO<sub>2</sub> are 42.3 and 70.8 m<sup>2</sup>/g, respectively. However, after functionalizing the surface of  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$ @  $SiO<sub>2</sub>$  nanoparticles with EDTA, the specific surface area of  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@SiO<sub>2</sub>$ -EDTA is decreased to 24.1 m<sup>2</sup>/g. It should be noted that the decrease in surface area may be resulted from the increase in the size of particles and the agglomeration of particles. Also, the pore size and the total pore volume of  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@SiO<sub>2</sub>$  are determined to be 9.2 nm and  $3.6 \times 10^{3}$  cm<sup>3</sup>/g, respectively. The pore size and the total pore volume of  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@{\text{SiO}_2}$ -EDTA are 8.3 nm and 2.2 × 10<sup>-3</sup> cm<sup>3</sup>/g, respectively.

## *3.2. FTIR analysis*

FTIR analysis of the  $Fe_{3}O_{4}$ ,  $Fe_{3}O_{4}$ @SiO<sub>2</sub>, and  $Fe_{3}O_{4}$ @SiO<sub>2</sub>-EDTA is used to identify the type of functional groups on

the adsorbents. As shown in Fig. 3, for  $Fe_{3}O_{4}$ @SiO<sub>2</sub>-EDTA the typical adsorption peaks at 456 and 590 cm-1 are attributed to the stretching vibration of Fe–O bond. The presence of a peak at 3,416 cm–1 is ascribed to the –OH stretching. The peak at 1,084 cm–1 is related to Si–O–C and symmetric Si–O–Si stretching vibrations, and the peak at  $798 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  is related to Si–OH, implying the successful formation of silica layers on Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>. Moreover, the strong characteristic adsorption band appeared at  $1,635$  cm<sup>-1</sup> assigned to the stretching vibration of C=O in symmetrical –COOH groups, while this peak could be also assigned to C–N bond and  $\gamma_{CH2}$  group. All these peaks are in Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@SiO<sub>2</sub> spectrum. After bonding EDTA to Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@  $\text{SiO}_{2'}$  an enhanced adsorption peak at 1,734 cm<sup>-1</sup> is assigned to the C=O stretching vibrations of the asymmetrical COO– [16]. These bands indicate the formation of carboxylic on the  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  and show that the synthesis procedure is

![](_page_4_Figure_2.jpeg)

 $O_4@SiO_2$ -EDTA.

successfully assembled EDTA on the surface of magnetite nanoparticles [23,25].

## *3.3. Effect of pH on Cu2+ and Cd2+ adsorption*

One of the important parameters which affects the adsorption processes is pH solution value. This value influences the concentration of cationic species. Depending on pH, metal ions can be cationic or form metal hydroxides and precipitate [26]. As shown in Fig. 4, increasing pH from 3 to 7 increases the removal efficiency of  $Cu^{2+}$  and  $Cd^{2+}$  while further increase of pH from 8 to 9 decreases the removal efficiency. The  $pH_{\text{zpc}}$  for  $\text{Fe}_{3}\text{O}_{4}\text{\textcircled{SiO}}_{2}$ -EDTA NPs is 5.0, as shown in Fig. 4a. At pH values higher than the  $\rm pH_{zpc'}$  the NPs surface charge is negative, and by increasing pH, the negative charge on the NPs surface increases [8]. Therefore, the adsorption of  $Cu^{2+}$  and  $Cd^{2+}$  cations onto the  $Fe_{3}O_{4}$ @SiO<sub>2</sub>-EDTA NPs increases by  $pH = 7.0$ . Also, the low adsorption removal of the metal ions at acidic conditions ( $pH < 7$ ) can be attributed to the intense competition of the H<sup>+</sup> ions with  $Cu<sup>2+</sup>$  and  $Cd<sup>2+</sup>$  for adsorption onto the active sites. In fact, adsorption of H<sup>+</sup> onto the active sites can cause electrostatic repulsion between the positive metal ions and the positive surface of the adsorbent and reduce metal ion adsorption [11]. On the other hand, at basic conditions ( $pH > 7$ ), a limited number of the  $Cu^{2+}$  and  $Cd^{2+}$  cations exist in the solution and most  $Cu^{2+}$  and  $Cd^{2+}$  metal ions convert to their metal hydroxides and precipitate. At the end, the adsorption efficiency increases due to the compression and precipitation of hydroxide ions (OH- ). The extent of adsorption depends on the type of the metal ion, and the adsorption percentage of  $Cd^{2+}$  is more than that of  $Cu^{2+}$  ions [16,27].

#### *3.4. Effect of the adsorbent dosage*

To investigate the effect of the adsorbent dosage on the adsorption efficiency of  $Cu^2$  and  $Cd^{2+}$ , different amounts of adsorbent are added into the aqueous solution where Cu<sup>2</sup> and  $Cd<sup>2+</sup>$  concentration and pH are 50 mg/L and 7, respectively. The results are presented in Fig. 5. The increase in adsorbent

![](_page_4_Figure_9.jpeg)

Fig. 4. pH evaluation results. The point of zero charge (a), and effect of pH on the removal of heavy metals by  $Fe_{3}O_{4}@SiO_{2}$ -EDTA nanoparticles in 120 min Cu<sup>2+</sup> and Cd<sup>2+</sup> (5 mg/L), adsorbent dose (0.3 g) (b).

![](_page_5_Figure_0.jpeg)

**Adsorbent does (g)**

Fig. 5. Effect of the adsorbent dosage on the adsorption efficiency of  $Cu^{2+}$  and  $Cd^{2+}$ 

dose, from 1.0 to 5.0 g, increases the removal efficiency of adsorbents. The reason is related to the increased number of active sites and promoted access of the two adsorbates to the adsorbent's surface, which enhance the possibility of adsorbate–adsorbent collisions and ion adsorption [25]. Similar results have been reported in other studies. For example, increasing adsorbent dose by 0.2 mg/L for  $Cd^{2+}$ , and  $0.125$  g/L for Pb<sup>2+</sup> improves their removal efficiencies to over 97% [28].

# *3.5. Effect of contact time and initial Cu2+ and Cd2+ concentration*

The effects of contact time on the removal of  $Cu<sup>2+</sup>$  and  $Cd^{2+}$  by the  $Fe_{3}O_{4}$ @SiO<sub>2</sub>-EDTA are shown in Fig. 6. The adsorption of metal ions increases with the increase in contact time and attained equilibrium within 60 min. Rapid increase of adsorption capacity at the initial stage of the process is due to the high access of the  $Cu^{2+}$  and  $Cd^{2+}$  ions to the unsaturated sites positioned on the surface of the  $Fe_{3}O_{4}$ @  $SiO_2$ -EDTA nanoparticles [21]. As the process continues, the sites get occupied, the ions gradually lose access to the sites, and finally the adsorption reaction equilibrates at a specific time. In other words, equilibration of the adsorption reaction

happens when the concentration of the adsorbate in the solution phase be in dynamic equilibrium with its concentration at the solid–liquid interface [15]. The effect of initial concentration on the metal ions removal is studied in the concentration range from 2 to 20 mg/l, as shown in Fig. 6. The removal efficiency of  $Cu^{2+}$  and  $Cd^{2+}$  is increased with the increase in initial metal concentration. This increase in the removal efficiency can be explained with the high driving force for mass transfer over the adsorption process [23,28].

#### *3.6. Adsorption kinetics*

The adsorption of  $Cu^{2+}$  and  $Cd^{2+}$  onto the  $Fe_{3}O_{4}$ @SiO<sub>2</sub>-EDTA involves the coordination of these metal ions by oxygen and nitrogen atoms, whose free electrons favor the formation of metal−adsorbent complexes onto the adsorbent surface. The rate at which the metal ions are transferred from the solution to the adsorbent surface determines the rate of the adsorption kinetics [27]. Table 2 depicts the adsorption kinetics of  $Cu^{2+}$  and  $Cd^{2+}$  onto the  $Fe_{3}O_{4}$ @SiO<sub>2</sub>-EDTA as a function of time. Initial concentration is 10 mg/L, and the adsorption equilibrium is reached in approximately 60 min. This indicates that the adsorption takes place once contacting between the adsorbates and the adsorbent. The findings indicate that adsorption of  $Cu^{2+}$  and  $Cd^{2+}$  onto the  $Fe_{3}O_{4}$ @  $SiO_2$ -EDTA follows the pseudo-second-order kinetic model.

Table 2

Adsorption kinetic data for the removal of  $Cu^{+2}$  and  $Cd^{+2}$  onto Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@SiO<sub>2</sub>-EDTA

Model	Parameter	$Cd+2$	$Cu+2$
Pseudo-first-order model	$q_e$ (mg/g)	2.856	2.478
	К,	0.0128	0.0012
	$R^2$	0.9664	0.923
	$q_{e\,(\exp)}$	8.4	5.863
Pseudo-second-order model	$q_e$ (mg/g)	4.0485	6.7526
	К,	0.0254	0.0199
	$R^2$	0.9993	0.9997

![](_page_5_Figure_12.jpeg)

Fig. 6. Effect of contact time (a), and initial concentration (b) on the removal of heavy metals by  $Fe_{3}O_{4}$ @SiO<sub>2</sub>-EDTA nanoparticles Cu<sup>2+</sup> and  $Cd^{2+} = 5$  mg/L, adsorbent dose: 0.1 g/L, time = 120 min and pH = 7.

Similar results have been reported in adsorption of  $Cu<sup>2+</sup>$  and  $Cd<sup>2+</sup>$  onto oil tea shell in water [16]. This event can be associated with the natural differences of  $Cu^{2+}$  and  $Cd^{2+}$  including their electro negativities (1.90 and 1.70, respectively), and their ionic radius (0.72 and 1.03 Å, respectively). Accordingly, lower adsorption tendency of the  $Fe_{3}O_{4}$ @SiO<sub>2</sub>-EDTA particles toward  $Cd^{2+}$  than  $Cu^{2+}$  cations can be explained as its lower electronegativity [29].

#### *3.7. Adsorption isotherms*

Result of Langmuir constants in modeling the  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$ @ SiO<sub>2</sub>-EDTA has been presented in Table 3. With plotting *C<sub>e</sub> qe* vs. *Ce* for Langmuir isotherm model in Fig. 7a, it appears that this isotherm model has a good coefficient of determination  $(R^2 = 0.9913)$  in fit of the adsorption process. As it can be seen in Table 3. Langmuir constants  $q_{m}$ ,  $R_{L}$ , and  $K_{a}$  are as following:  $q_m = 79.4$  for Cu<sup>2+</sup> and 73.51 for Cd<sup>2+</sup> (mg/g),  $R_{\rm L}$  = 0.011 for Cu<sup>2+</sup> and 0.014 for Cd<sup>2+</sup>, and  $K_{\rm a}$  = 0.75 for Cu<sup>2+</sup> and 0.58 for  $Cd^{2+}$  (L/mg). In Table 4, a comparison of maximum adsorption capacity  $(q_m)$  of different adsorbents for  $Cu^{2+}$  and  $Cd^{2+}$  is prepared. As shown,  $Fe_{3}O_{4}$ @SiO<sub>2</sub>-EDTA has a good  $q_{\mu}$  in comparison with other studies. Furthermore,  $R_{\mu}$ (dimensionless parameter that indicates the relative volatility in vapor–liquid equilibrium with a range between 0 and 1 for a favorable equilibrium [21]) is in the favorable range in this study. Therefore, it can be concluded that the adsorption of

the metals onto the  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@SiO<sub>2</sub>$ -EDTA had a good favorable equilibrium.

Table 3 shows the Freundlich isotherm model for adsorption of the metals onto the  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@SiO<sub>2</sub>$ -EDTA. It appears that this isotherm model has a poor coefficient of determination  $(R^2 = 0.976)$  in fit of the adsorption process. As prepared in Table 3, the constants of Freundlich isotherm  $(K_f$  and *n*) are 48.42 for Cu<sup>2+</sup> and 31.58 for Cd<sup>2+</sup>, and 5.74 for  $Cu^{2+}$  and 3.79 for  $Cd^{2+}$ , respectively. High amount of  $K_f$  constant represents a very large extent of adsorption of the metals onto the  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@SiO<sub>2</sub>$ -EDTA. Also, the value of *n* is larger than 1, which indicates a favorable adsorption

Table 3

Adsorption isotherm parameters of  $Cu^{2}$  and  $Cd^{2}$  onto  $Fe_{3}O_{4}$ @  $SiO<sub>2</sub>$ -EDTA

Model	Parameter	$Cu+2$	$Cd+2$
Freundlich equation	$K_{f}(L/mg)$	48.426	31.58
	N	5.742	3.792
	$R^2$	0.976	0.974
Langmuir equation	$q_{\rm m}$ (mg/g)	79.4	73.51
	$K_{\rm a}$ (L/mg)	0.7521	0.589
	$R_{L}$	0.011	0.014
	R <sup>2</sup>	0.9913	0.99

![](_page_6_Figure_9.jpeg)

Fig. 7. Langmuir isotherm model (a), recovery from  $\text{Fe}_{3}\text{O}_{4}$ @SiO<sub>2</sub>-EDTA (b).

Table 4

Comparison of maximum adsorption capacity  $(q<sub>m</sub>)$  of different adsorbents for Cu<sup>+2</sup> and Cd<sup>+2</sup>

Adsorbent	Maximum adsorption capacity (mg/g)		
	$Cu+2$	$Cd+2$	
$TiO2/SiO2/Fe3O4$ nanoparticles		670.9	[31]
Oil tea shell	12.1	14.2	$[27]$
EDTA functionalized magnetic nanoparticle		79.365	[29]
EDTA-functionalized silica spheres	37.59	23.67	[22]
Nanocomposites Fe <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> @SiO <sub>2</sub>	$0.58$ mmol/g	$0.45$ mmol/g	$[16]$
Magnetic EDTA-modified chitosan/SiO <sub>2</sub> /Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	$0.495$ mmol/g	$0.040$ mmol/g	[22]
Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> @SiO <sub>2</sub> -EDTA nano composite	79.4	73.51	This work

![](_page_7_Figure_1.jpeg)

Fig. 8. Effect of various cations on (a)  $Cu^{2+}$  and (b)  $Cd^{2+}$ .

system and a multilayer physical process in the adsorption of the metals by the  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@SiO<sub>2</sub>$ -EDTA [30,31].

# *3.8. Regeneration and reuse of Fe3 O4 @SiO2 -EDTA*

Desorption is an important phenomenon that enables recycling of metal ions and adsorbents, which helps economic sustainability of adsorption-based treatment systems. According to a recent study, many chemicals can be applied as desorption agents. The agent should be selected carefully due to the permanent structural changes and adsorption capacity reductions that different chemicals can induce to adsorbent materials [27]. For this purpose, HCl (0.1 N) solution is used. As it can be seen in Fig. 7b, 80% of the  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@SiO<sub>2</sub>$ -EDTA NPs can be recovered within five adsorption–desorption cycles without any significant loss of adsorption capacity.

#### *3.9. Effect of disturbing cations on the adsorption process*

As illustrated in Fig. 8, the presence of the Na<sup>+</sup>, K<sup>+</sup>, and  $Mg^{2+}$  metal ions does not have any inhibitory effect on adsorption of  $Cd^{2+}$  and  $Cu^{2+}$  onto  $Fe_{3}O_{4}$ @SiO<sub>2</sub>-EDTA. However, the presence of  $Ca^{2+}$  decreases the capacity of  $Cu^{2+}$ adsorption. These findings are due to the stronger interaction and stable chemical bonding of  $Cd^{2+}$  and  $Cu^{2+}$  with the adsorbent relative to Na+,  $K^+$ , Cu<sup>2+</sup>, and Mg<sup>2+</sup>. According to the log *K* values of these ions (log *K* Cu<sup>2+</sup> = 18.8, log *K* Cd<sup>2+</sup> = 16.46,  $\log K$  Na<sup>+</sup> = 1.7,  $\log K$  Mg<sup>2+</sup> = 8.7,  $\log K$  Cu<sup>2+</sup> = 10.69), Cu<sup>2+</sup> can establish a more stable bond relative to the Na<sup>+</sup>, K<sup>+</sup>, and Mg<sup>2+</sup> cations and the chemical bonding of  $Cd^{2+}$  is slightly weaker than that of  $Cu<sup>2+</sup>$ . Therefore, among the four examined coexisting cations,  $Ca^{2+}$  has a better chance for competition with both  $Cd^{2+}$  and  $Cu^{2+}$  ions, and  $Cd^{2+}$  is more vulnerable to being affected by the competition [16,28]. Regardless of the competition, the coexisting cations do not considerably influence adsorption of Cu<sup>2+</sup> and Cd<sup>2+</sup> onto Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@SiO<sub>2</sub>-EDTA.

# **4. Conclusion**

In this study, we investigated the efficiency of  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$ @  $\rm SiO_2$  NPs modified with EDTA for adsorption of the Cu<sup>2+</sup> and  $Cd<sup>2+</sup>$  cations from aqueous solutions. According to the conducted evaluations, the adsorption efficiency of the

![](_page_7_Figure_10.jpeg)

cations enhances with the increase of adsorbent dose and contact time. However, our results show that the maximum adsorption efficiency of heavy metals is at pH = 7 with an initial concentration of  $Cu^{2+}$  and  $Cd^{2+}$  cations of 10 mg/g, an adsorbent dose of 0.1 g/L, and a contact time of 60 min. Studying isotherm models indicated that the adsorption process follows the Langmuir isotherm model. It means the adsorption process is homogenous and the adsorbed ions are mono-layered. Investigation of kinetic models suggested that the adsorption process follows pseudo-second-order kinetic model. Finally, a comparison between this study and other studies shows that the  $Fe_{3}O_{4}$ @SiO<sub>2</sub>-EDTA has a high potential to remove  $Cu^{2+}$  and  $Cd^{2+}$  cations simultaneously from aqueous solution.

# **References**

- [1] L. Yang, T. Wen, L. Wang, T. Miki, H. Bai, X. Lu, H. Yu, T. Nagasaka, The stability of the compounds formed in the process of removal Pb(II),  $Cu(II)$  and  $Cd(II)$  by steelmaking slag in an acidic aqueous solution, J. Environ. Manage., 231 (2019) 41–48.
- [2] Q. Yang, Z. Li, X. Lu, Q. Duan, L. Huang, J. Bi, A review of soil heavy metal pollution from industrial and agricultural regions in China: pollution and risk assessment, Sci. Total Environ., 642 (2018) 690–700.
- [3] S. Sobhanardakani, R. Zandipak, Synthesis and application of TiO<sub>2</sub>/SiO<sub>2</sub>/Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticles as novel adsorbent for removal of  $\tilde{C}d(II)$ ,  $Hg(II)$  and  $Ni(II)$  ions from water samples, Clean Technol. Environ. Policy., 19 (2017) 1913–1925.
- [4] R. V. Ferreira, I.L.S. Pereira, L.C.D. Cavalcante, L.F. Gamarra, S.M. Carneiro, E. Amaro, J.D. Fabris, R.Z. Domingues, A.L. Andrade, Synthesis and characterization of silica-coated nanoparticles of magnetite, Hyperfine Interact., 195 (2009) 265–274.
- [5] A. Salido, B.T. Jones, Simultaneous determination of Cu, Cd and Pb in drinking-water using W- coil AAS, Talanta, 50 (1999) 649–659.
- [6] L. Wang, L. Yang, Y. Li, Y. Zhang, X. Ma, Z. Ye, Study on adsorption mechanism of Pb(II) and Cu(II) in aqueous solution using PS-EDTA resin, Chem. Eng. J., 163 (2010) 364–372.
- [7] N. Neyaz, M.S.S. Zarger, W.A. Siddiqui, Synthesis and characterisation of modified magnetite super paramagnetic nano composite for removal of toxic metals from ground water, Int. J. Environ. Sci., 5 (2014) 260–269.
- [8] R. Zandipak, S. Sobhanardakani, Synthesis of NiFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticles for removal of anionic dyes from aqueous solution, Desal. Wat. Treat., 57 (2016) 11348–11360.
- [9] X. Min, X. Wu, P. Shao, Z. Ren, L. Ding, X. Luo, Ultra-high capacity of lanthanum-doped UiO-66 for phosphate capture:

unusual doping of lanthanum by the reduction of coordination number, Chem. Eng. J., 358 (2019) 321–330.

- [10] D. You, X. Min, L. Liu, Z. Ren, X. Xiao, S.G. Pavlostathis, J. Luo, X. Luo, New insight on the adsorption capacity of metallogels for antimonite and antimonate removal: from experimental to theoretical study, J. Hazard. Mater., 346 (2018) 218–225.
- [11] S. Sobhanardakani, R. Zandipak, R. Sahraei, Removal of Janus Green dye from aqueous solutions using oxidized multi-walled carbon nanotubes, Toxicol. Environ. Chem., 95 (2013) 909–918.
- [12] Z. Han, J. Li, X. Han, X. Ji, X. Zhao, A comparative study of ironbased PAN fibrous catalysts for peroxymonosulfate activation in decomposing organic contaminants, Chem. Eng. J., 358 (2019) 176–187.
- [13] W.H.M. Abdelraheem, M.K. Patil, M.N. Nadagouda, D.D. Dionysiou, Hydrothermal synthesis of photoactive Nitrogen- and Boron- codoped  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  nano-particles for the treatment of Bisphenol A in wastewater: synthesis, photocatalytic activity, degradation byproducts and reaction pathways, Applied Catal. B, (2018), doi: 10.1016/j.apcatb.2018.09.039.
- [14] R. Zandipak, S. Sobhanardakani, Novel mesoporous Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> /  $SiO_2/CTAB-SiO_2$  as an effective adsorbent for the removal of  $\sin^2$  amoxicillin and tetracycline from water, Clean Technol. Environ. Policy, 20 (2018) 871–885.
- [15] E. Repo, T.A. Kurniawan, J.K. Warchol, M.E.T. Sillanpää, Removal of Co(II) and Ni(II) ions from contaminated water using silica gel functionalized with EDTA and/or DTPA as chelating agents, J. Hazard. Mater., 171 (2009) 1071–1080.
- [16] Y. Liu, R. Fu, Y. Sun, X. Zhou, S.A. Baig, X. Xu, Multifunctional nanocomposites  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@SiO<sub>2</sub>$ -EDTA for Pb(II) and Cu(II) removal from aqueous solutions, Appl. Surf. Sci., 369 (2016) 267–276.
- [17] X. Zhao, Y. Shi, T. Wang, Y. Cai, G. Jiang, Preparation of silica-magnetite nanoparticle mixed hemimicelle sorbents for extraction of several typical phenolic compounds from environmental water samples, J. Chromatogr. A, 1188 (2008) 140–147.
- [18] H. Sun, L. Cao, L. Lu, Magnetite/reduced graphene oxide nanocomposites: one step solvothermal synthesis and use as a novel platform for removal of dye pollutants, Nano Res., 4 (2011) 550–562.
- [19] C. Zhao, L. Ma, J. You, F. Qu, R.D. Priestley, EDTA- and aminefunctionalized graphene oxide as sorbents for Ni(II) removal, Desal. Wat. Treat., 57 (2016) 8942–8951.
- [20] Y.F. Shen, J. Tang, Z.H. Nie, Y.D. Wang, Y. Ren, L. Zuo, Preparation and application of magnetic  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  nanoparticles for wastewater purification, Sep. Purif. Technol., 68 (2009) 312–319.
- [21] N. Karapinar, R. Donat, Adsorption behaviour of Cu<sup>2+</sup> and Cd<sup>2+</sup> onto natural bentonite, Desalination, 249 (2009) 123–129.
- [22] Y. Ren, H.A. Abbood, F. He, H. Peng, K. Huang, Magnetic EDTA-modified chitosan/SiO<sub>2</sub>/Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> adsorbent: preparation, characterization, and application in heavy metal adsorption, Chem. Eng. J., 226 (2013) 300–311.
- [23] R. Kumar, M.A. Barakat, Y.A. Daza, H.L. Woodcock, J.N. Kuhn, EDTA functionalized silica for removal of Cu(II), Zn(II) and Ni(II) from aqueous solution, J. Colloid Interface Sci., 408 (2013) 200–205.
- [24] D. Naghipour, K. Taghavi, M. Moslemzadeh, Removal of methylene blue from aqueous solution by Artist's Bracket fungi: kinetic and equilibrium studies, Water Sci. Technol., 73 (2016) 2832–2840.
- [25] Z. Li, B. Yu, H.L. Cong, X.-Y. Zhang, Q.-H. Peng, EDTAmodified DR/SiO<sub>2</sub> adsorbent: preparation, characterization, and application in heavy metal adsorption, Integr. Ferroelectr., 169 (2016) 1–6.
- [26] S. Pourkarim, F. Ostovar, M. Mahdavianpour, M. Moslemzadeh, Adsorption of chromium(VI) from aqueous solution by Artist's Bracket fungi, Sep. Sci. Technol., 52 (2017) 1733–1741.
- [27] D.Q. Melo, V.O.S. Neto, J.T. Oliveira, A.L. Barros, E.C.C. Gomes, G.S.C. Raulino, E. Longuinotti, R.F. Nascimento, Adsorption equilibria of  $Cu^{2+}$ ,  $Zn^{2+}$ , and  $Cd^{2+}$  on EDTA-functionalized silica spheres, J. Chem. Eng. Data., 58 (2013) 798–806.
- [28] Y. Huang, A.A. Keller, EDTA functionalized magnetic nanoparticle sorbents for cadmium and lead contaminated water treatment, Water Res., 80 (2015) 159–168.
- [29] L. Cui, Y. Wang, L. Gao, L. Hu, L. Yan, Q. Wei, B. Du, EDTA functionalized magnetic graphene oxide for removal of Pb(II), Hg(II) and Cu(II) in water treatment: adsorption mechanism and separation property, Chem. Eng. J., 281 (2015) 1–10.
- [30] O.R. Pal, A.K. Vanjara, Removal of malathion and butachlor from aqueous solution by clays and organoclays, Sep. Purif. Technol., 24 (2001) 167–172.
- [31] J. Liu, C. Hu, Q. Huang, Adsorption of Cu<sup>2+</sup>, Pb<sup>2+</sup>, and Cd<sup>2+</sup> onto oiltea shell from water, Bioresour. Technol., 271 (2019) 487–491.