# Removal of N-2RBL Nylosan red dye from aqueous solution by Fenton using response surface methodology

# Amel Belayachi-Haddad<sup>a,\*</sup>, Nouredine Benderdouche<sup>a</sup>, Hanane Belayachi<sup>a</sup>, Benaouda Bestani<sup>a</sup>, Cherif Haddad<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Laboratoire de: structure, élaboration et application de matériaux moléculaires, SEA2M, Abdelhamid Ibn Badis University, B.P. 227, Mostaganem 27000, Algeria, Tel. +213(0)697183171; Fax: +213(0)45416831; emails: belamel@live.com (A. Belayachi-Haddad), benderdouchen@yahoo.fr (N. Benderdouche), hanane.belayachi@univ-mosta.dz (H. Belayachi), bestanib@yahoo.fr (B. Bestani) <sup>b</sup>LHC DESIGN, R&D, Local N°5, Mekla, Tizi-Ouzou, Algeria, email: phoenixofbida@gmail.com (C. Haddad)

Received 28 October 2021; Accepted 2 March 2022

# ABSTRACT

In this study, the optimization of N-2RBL Nylosan red (NR) dye degradation by Fenton process (Fe<sup>2+</sup>/H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>) using response surface methodology (RSM) was evaluated. A 2<sup>3</sup> full factorial design was employed to design runs and analyse the effects of three independent factors: stirring speed (150–800 rpm), dye concentration (50–500  $\mu$ M) and treatment time (30–1,440 min), as well as the interaction factors on the reaction rate (%). According to the results, treatment time had the most significant impact on the removal efficiency of NR. The interactions factors of dye concentration and stirring speed, and stirring speed and treatment time have the strongest effects, expressed by the high values of interactions coefficient. The analysis of variance showed a coefficient of determination ( $R^2$ ) of 100%. The maximum dye removal efficiency of 96.74% was achieved at optimum conditions with Nylosan red concentration of 50  $\mu$ M, stirring speed of 800 rpm and treatment time of 1,440 min.

Keywords: Azo dye; Advanced oxidation process; Experimental design; Optimization; Degradation

#### 1. Introduction

The first living things were born in water more than two billion years ago. Given the vital importance of water for life on earth, its quality makes it a valuable resource. Often the quality of water is more important than its quantity. The quality of water affects how we use it, but the reverse is also true. When we use water, we alter its quality.

Dyes are an important part of synthetic organic compounds. In general, these synthetic dyes are more attractive in terms of practicality and economy, but they are also more polluting. Synthetic dyes, most of which are toxic, are widely used in the textile industry. These substances are classified into two families, water-soluble and water-insoluble dyes. Water-soluble dyes are harmful to the environment, their discharge into aquatic systems causes environmental damage due to their toxicity [1], which requires treatment. However, the complexity of these pollutants and their colour greatly affect the effectiveness of conventional treatments applied.

The various dyes that are used in excess to improve dyeing discharges from the textile industry are a huge nuisance

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author.

<sup>1944-3994/1944-3986 © 2022</sup> Desalination Publications. All rights reserved.

to human health and aquatic life, especially azo ones, contain in their structure one azo group (–N=N–) linked to at least one aromatic ring [2]. As a result, wastewater is highly concentrated in dyes whose low biodegradability makes biological treatments difficult to apply, which is a source of environmental degradation.

To eliminate or reduce pollution caused by dyes, various processes are currently well mastered on a laboratory scale and applied on a large scale in industry, including several techniques: physical, physicochemical, advanced oxidation, biological, recovery treatment [3,4]. Some of these techniques do not eliminate all the pollution, others are often costly or generate secondary pollution that requires further treatment like adsorption [5,6].

Among the processes that allow the abatement COD of effluents, such as dyes, to be reduced are advanced oxidation processes using the Fenton reagent ( $Fe^{2+}/H_2O_2$ ), which produce, in situ, highly reactive entities such as HO<sup>•</sup> hydroxyl radicals.

The latter have a very high oxidising power. Advanced oxidation processes allow the most recalcitrant molecules to be broken down into biologically degradable molecules or mineral compounds such as  $H_2O$  and  $CO_{2'}$  without by-products [7].

The Fenton process is an efficient process for the synthetic dye's treatment [8–11]. The hydroxyl radicals react very rapidly with the organic compounds to lead to the mineralisation of the organic material [7].

The formation of hydroxyl radicals (OH<sup>•</sup>) known to be strong oxidants of organic pollutants and also to the production of ferric ions Fe<sup>3+</sup>, according to the following mechanism [12].

$$Fe^{2+} + H_2O_2 \rightarrow Fe^{3+} + OH + HO^-$$
(1)

$$OH + Pollutants \rightarrow Degradation by products$$
 (2)

The experimental design consists of simultaneously varying the levels of one or more factors in each test. This will make it possible, on the one hand, to greatly reduce the number of experiments to be carried out while increasing the number of factors studied and, on the other hand, to detect the interactions between the factors and the determination of the so-called optimal setting of these factors in relation to a response. This technique is used to reduce the time and cost of the treatment [7]. The tricky point in using experimental designs is to minimise the number of experiments to be carried out without sacrificing the precision of the results [13–16].

The main advantages of the Fenton process are mainly the generation of HO<sup>•</sup> radicals which lead to the mineralization of dyes. On the other hand, the response surface methodology provides a simple method to predict the results. However, using experimental designs to minimize the number of experiments should be conducted without sacrificing precision of the results.

There are several parameters that influence the Fenton process, for example: reagents concentration – ratio  $[Fe^{2+}]/[H_2O_2]$ , dye concentration, temperature, treatment time, initial pH and stirring speed.

In this study, we selected three factors (parameters) for the optimisation of the degradation of N-2RBL Nylosan red (NR) dye by the Fenton process with a full two-level factorial design 2<sup>3</sup>. The experiment matrix is formed by 08 combinations of the three selected factors. The effect of factors and their interactions between factors, comparison of predicted and experimental responses had been studied.

The aim of this work is to study the prediction of Nylosan red dye removal rate using response surface methodology in comparison to the experimental results. Experimentally, we used an anionic dye widely used in the textile industry in contact with a Fenton solution composed of  $[Fe^{2+}] = 0.2$  mM and the concentration ratio  $[Fe^{2+}]/[H_2O_2] = 1/20$ , at pH = 3. To the best of our knowledge, the study of N-2RBL Nylosan red (NR) dye removal from aqueous solution by the Fenton process has not been reported elsewhere, in particular using the response surface methodology.

#### 2. Materials and methods

#### 2.1. Reagents

The chemical structure of N-2RBL Nylosan red (NR) dye ( $C_{24}H_{21}ClN_4O_6S_2$  Na, MW = 587.97 g/mol,  $\lambda_{max}$  = 500 nm) is shown in Fig. 1. Ferrous sulphate FeSO<sub>4</sub>·7H<sub>2</sub>O, the sulfuric acid H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, sodium hydroxide NaOH and hydrogen peroxide H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (30%) were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (Germany).

### 2.2. Experimental approach

For each experiment, a 200  $\mu$ M solution was prepared by dissolving a proper amount of N-2RBL Nylosan red (NR) dye in distilled water. The pH of the solution was adjusted to 3, by using H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and NaOH, the concentration ratio [Fe<sup>2+</sup>]/[H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>] was set to 1/20 with [Fe<sup>2+</sup>] = 0.2 mM [17].

The general method followed in all our experiments, according to the literature [7,18], is as follows: in a beaker containing NR stock solution at designed concentration, the pH is adjusted. pH values were measured using a (HI pH-211, HANNA Instruments, Woonsocket, Rhode Island, USA) pH-meter. Then the required mass of FeSO<sub>4</sub>·7H<sub>2</sub>O was added. The Fenton reaction was initiated by adding the required volume of  $H_2O_2$ . The mixture was stirred with a magnetic stirrer (SB 161-3 Stuart, Stone, Staffordshire, UK) at a constant temperature of 303 K using a thermostatic bath. The absorbance of solution should be analysed immediately because the reaction continued after sampling, so the absorbance measurement should be completed within 1 min [19].

Analyses were performed using a UV-Vis spectrophotometer (Jenway 7305 Spectrophotometer, Staffordshire, UK) to determine the extent of colour removal.

The dye removal efficiency was calculated using Eq. (3).

Dye removal efficiency 
$$\binom{\%}{=} = \frac{A_0 - A}{A_0} \times 100$$
 (3)

where  $A_0$  is initial absorbance and A is absorbance after reaction.



Fig. 1. The molecular structure of Nylosan red dye.

#### 3. Results and discussion

In the present study, three factors at two variation levels (stirring speed (150–800 rpm), dye concentration (50–500  $\mu$ M) and treatment time (30–1,440 min)) were chosen to optimize the Fenton treatment. The response surface methodology (RSM) was used to determine the relative significance of several affecting factors, even in the presence of complex interactions [20] while reducing number of experiments, time and cost. For a full factorial design, 8 runs ( $N = 2^3$ ) are needed to evaluate the effects.

The experimental factors, their selected levels and the results of the response studied are presented in Table 1 using Ellistat software (version 6.9.2 2021/07 by Pillet Consulting).

According to the polynomial equation based on the first-order model, the three second-order interactions have approximately identical values but different signs. The third order interaction is practically zero. The results obtained make it possible to write the model giving NR removal rate as a function of the three factors levels as follows:

$$Y(\%) = 52.7825 + 6.28x_1 - 7.84x_2 + 31.9x_3 + 2.19x_1x_2 + 2.32x_1x_3 - 1.17x_2x_3$$
(4)

With this model, all responses in the study area can be calculated. It is sufficient to assign values to the  $x_1$ ,  $x_2$  and  $x_3$  levels to immediately obtain the elimination rate.

The relationship in legal units, given by the software, is as follows:

 $Y(\%) = 14.01 + 0.03499 \times \text{Speed} - 0.02606 \times \text{Concentration}$  $+ 0.04799 \times \text{Time} - 2.991 \times 10^{-5} \times \text{Speed} \times \text{Concentration}$  $- 1.014 \times 10^{-5} \times \text{Speed} \times \text{Time} + 7.392 \times 10^{-6} \times \text{Time}$  $\times \text{Concentration}$ (5)

where  $x_1$ ,  $x_2$  and  $x_3$  are codified (±1) values of stirring speed, dye concentration and treatment time, respectively.

#### 3.1. Effect of studied factors

The effect of stirring speed, dye concentration and treatment time on removal of NR dye at different levels of factors are presented in Fig. 2.

# 3.1.1. Effect of the stirring speed

The stirring speed of the solution increases contact between HO<sup>•</sup> hydroxyl radicals and NR dye particles.

The dye removal rate increases, on average, from 46.505% to 59.06% as stirring speed is increased from 150 to 800 rpm. The dye removal rate in the centre is half of the two averages: 52.7825%.

The dye removal rate increased, on average, from 52.7825% to 59.06% as stirring speed was increased from 475 to 800 rpm. This increase of 6.28% represents the limit effect of the stirring speed factor. The results mean that dye removal efficiency increases when the stirring speed changes from low level to high level [21]. The reason for the "limit" status of the stirring speed according to analysis of variance (ANOVA), may be due to the aspiration of gases such as O<sub>2</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> into solutions [22,23]. Under the influence high velocity of stirring CO, in the solution which produce  $HCO_{2}^{-}$  and  $CO_{2}^{2-}$  ions [Eqs. (6) and (7)] [23,24]. These ions are capable to complex ferrous iron [Eqs. (8)-(11)], and scavenge the production of hydroxyl radical 'OH which is important for dye degradation, according to the following equations proposed by Tariq and Khan, and Ghiselli et al. [Eqs. (12) and (13)] [23-25].

 $CO_2 + H_2O \rightarrow HCO_3^- + H^+$ (6)



Fig. 2. Effect of stirring speed, dye concentration and time on the removal rate of Nylosan red dye by Fenton process.

Table 1	
2 <sup>3</sup> factorial	design matrix

Run	Stirring speed (rpm)	Concentration (µM)	Time (T) min	Removal rate <sub>experimental</sub> (%)	Removal rate <sub>predicted</sub> (%)		
1	-1	-1	-1	18.41	19.13		
2	-1	-1	+1	85.9	85.18		
3	-1	+1	-1	6.21	5.49		
4	-1	+1	+1 75.5		76.22		
5	+1	-1	-1	41.43	40.71		
6	+1	-1	+1	96.74	97.46		
7	+1	+1	-1	17.59	18.31		
8	+1	+1	+1	80.48	79.76		
	Factor	Level					
		-1	+1				
	Stirring speed (rpm)	150	800				
	Concentration (µM)	50	500				
	Time (min)	30	1,440				

(7)

 $HCO_3^- \rightarrow CO_3^{2-} + H^+$ 

 $\operatorname{Fe}^{2+} + \operatorname{HCO}_{3}^{-} \rightarrow \operatorname{Fe}\operatorname{HCO}_{3}^{+}$  (8)

 $Fe^{2+} + CO_3^{2-} \rightarrow FeCO_3 \tag{9}$ 

 $Fe^{2+} + 2CO_3^{2-} \rightarrow Fe(CO_3)_2^{2-}$  (10)

 $\operatorname{Fe}^{2+} + \operatorname{CO}_{3}^{2-} + \operatorname{OH}^{-} \leftrightarrow \operatorname{Fe}(\operatorname{CO}_{3})(\operatorname{OH}^{-})$ (11)

 $^{\bullet}OH + HCO_{3}^{-} \rightarrow H_{2}O + CO_{3}^{\bullet-}$ (12)

 $^{\bullet}\mathrm{OH} + \mathrm{CO}_{3}^{2-} \rightarrow \mathrm{OH}^{-} + \mathrm{CO}_{3}^{\bullet-}$ (13)

#### 3.1.2. Effect of dye concentration

The dye removal rate decreases, on average, from 60.62% to 44.945% as concentration is increased from 50 to 500  $\mu$ M. The dye removal rate in the centre is half of the two averages: 52.7825%.

The dye removal rate decreases, on average, from 52.7825% to 44.945% as concentration is increased from 275 to 500  $\mu$ M. This increase of –7.84% represents the effect of the concentration factor.

These results show that the effect of the concentration factor is negative. This is consistent with the results found in the literature, where the authors studied the degradation of malachite green (MG) dye by Fenton process, the concentration of MG had a negative effect [7,23]. However, increasing the dye concentration increases the number of dye molecules in the solution for the same number

of hydroxyl radicals, which leads to the decrease of the degradation rate. This can also be seen in the response surface illustrated in Fig. 5, which is representation of Eq. (5).

#### 3.1.3. Effect of treatment time

The dye removal rate increases, on average, from 20.91% to 84.655% as treatment time is increased from 30 to 1,440 min. The dye removal rate in the centre is half of the two averages: 52.7825%.

The dye removal rate increases, on average, from 52.7825% to 84.655% as treatment time is increased from 735 to 1,440 min. This 31.9% increase represents the significant effect of the time factor. These results mean that dye removal efficiency increases as treatment time changes from low level to high level, which indicates that longer reactions better facilitated dye degradation. Such behaviour has already been reported in the literature [21,26–28].

These results show that the time factor is the most influential in this study.

#### 3.2. Interaction effects between factors

In Fig. 3 the interaction graphs show the effects of one factor at the low level and the high level of another factor.

The interaction between the stirring speed and concentration factors is 2.19%. This means that the effect of stirring speed is higher at low concentrations. When the concentration is 500  $\mu$ M, the effect of stirring speed is 4.09%. When the concentration is 50  $\mu$ M, the effect of the stirring speed is 8.465%.

This also means that the effect of concentration is greater when the stirring speed is fast. When the stirring speed is 800 rpm, the concentration effect is -10.025%. When the stirring speed is 150 rpm, the concentration effect is -5.65%.

The interaction between the stirring speed and time factors is 2.32%. This means that the effect of stirring speed is higher at low time. When the time is 1,440 min, the effect of stirring speed is 3.955%. When the time is 30 min, the effect of the stirring speed is 8.6%.

This also means that the time effect is greater when the stirring speed is slow. When the stirring speed is 800 rpm, the time effect is 29.55%. When the stirring speed is 150 rpm, the time effect is 34.195%.

The interaction between the concentration and time factors is -1.17%. This means that the effect of concentration is higher when the time is low. When the time is 1,440 min, the

concentration effect is -6.665%. When the time is 30 min, the concentration effect is -9.01%. This also means that the time effect is greater when the concentration is high. When the concentration is 500  $\mu$ M, the time effect is 33.045\%. When the concentration is 50  $\mu$ M, the time effect is 30.7\%.

These results show that interactions between concentration and stirring speed, and stirring speed and time are the most important interactions, because the two lines in each interaction graph do not have the same slope [29,30]. Subsequently, Figs. 4 and 5 show the response surface presented as a function of stirring speed and time at a dye concentration of 100  $\mu$ M and dye concentration and stirring speed at treatment time of 900 min, respectively. Fig. 4 shows that the dye removal efficiency increases with the increase in stirring speed and the increase in time. In Fig. 5, it can be observed that the dye removal efficiency increases with the increase in stirring speed and the diminution in dye concentration.

#### 3.3. Analysis of variance (ANOVA)

Table 2 shows the ANOVA analysis for dye removal efficiency using Fenton process. Parameters with *P*-values less than 0.05 (for 95% of statistical meaning) were considered to be significant, also as *F*-value increases, the effect of the parameters on the response increases [31]. When the hypothesis *F*-value is greater than *F*-critical, this shows that the model is significant [32] ( $F_{\rm crit}$  = 233.99). It can be seen that the interactions between stirring speed and concentration, stirring speed and time and concentration and time are statistically not significant, because these parameters present *P*-values greater than 0.05 (for 95% of statistical meaning). The ANOVA results show that the most important factor contributing to the dye removal efficiency is treatment time and the regression model is statically significant.

#### 3.4. Validity of the model

A high  $R^2$ -values, close to 1, is necessary to ensure a satisfactory adjustment of the model and the experimental data [32]. Fig. 6 clearly shows that the removal rates calculated from the developed model *Y* (%) are nearly identical to the removal rates found experimentally. In this study, the value of the determination coefficient ( $R^2$ ) is 100%, also, the adjusted  $R^2$  is a standard for how well the model is improved if an extra variable is added, whereas predicted  $R^2$  is an expression of the model's ability to predict



Fig. 3. Diagram of the interactions between stirring speed, concentration and time.





Fig. 4. Response surface as a function of stirring speed and time, at a dye concentration of 100  $\mu M.$ 

a new point [33]. The adjusted determination coefficients  $R^2$  Adj. = 99.7% which indicate to the model is significant, The  $R^2$  Pred. was in reasonable agreement with the  $R^2$  Adj., where  $R^2$  Pred. is 97.0%. In Table 1, as an example, for a stirring speed of 150 rpm, dye concentration of 50 µM and treatment time of 30 min, the experimental dye removal rate obtained was 18.41% and the dye removal rate predicted by the software was 19.13%, while for a stirring speed of 150 rpm, dye concentration of 500 µM and treatment time of 30 min, the respective results were 6.21% and 5.49%. This result shows very good agreements between the experimental and predicted values, so we can confirm that our model is valid.

# 3.5. Model verification

From the model equation, the software can calculate the dye removal rate for a stirring speed, dye concentration and treatment time that belong to the chosen range.

Fig. 7 shows the result of an experiment done in the laboratory, with a stirring speed of 800 rpm, a dye concentration of 50  $\mu$ M and a treatment time of 180 min. The dye removal

Table 2	
Analysis of variance for NR dye removal	

Fig. 5. Response surface as a function of stirring speed and dye concentration, at a time duration of 900 min.

rate obtained experimentally is: y = 44.27% and the dye removal rate predicted by the software is: y = 46.75%. In conclusion, the result obtained by the model and that obtained experimentally are very close, with a loss of 2.7%. This confirms the validity of the model in the chosen field of study.

# 3.6. UV-Visible spectrum of the NR dye

The UV-Visible absorption spectra of the NR dye were studied at different times of the Fenton process. The spectrum of the NR solution (Fig. 8) has a large band in the visible range, located around 500 nm related to the azo bond and absorption band in the UV region attributed to the presence of aromatic rings [34]. The peak at 300 nm can be attributed to the cycles of naphthalene. After treatment by the Fenton process, the band at 500 nm, characteristic of the chromophore group tends to decrease with treatment time. This peak disappeared almost completely after 24 h of Fenton treatment time indicating the decolorization of NR dye. In the UV region, a decrease of peaks intensity was observed, indicating the degradation of the aromatic structure of the NR molecules [35,36].

Source	F	Р	Contribution	Status
Regression	360.2267	0.0403		Significant
Stirring speed	69.1924	0.0762	11.9%	Limit
Concentration	15.5923	0.1579	2.68%	Not significant
Time	473.6461	0.0292	81.4%	Significant
Stirring speed × Concentration	9.1669	0.2031	1.58%	Not significant
Stirring speed × Time	10.3332	0.1920	1.78%	Not significant
Concentration × Time	2.6336	0.3516	0.453%	Not significant
Residuals			0.172%	



Fig. 6. Variation of the calculated values depending to the measured values.



Fig. 7. Predicted response vs. measured response.

# 3.7. Effect of reaction time

Measurements of absorbance at  $\lambda_{max}$  of NR dye as a function of time gave the results shown in Fig. 9. This figure shows that for the Fenton system, the oxidation kinetics is divided into two steps: The first is fast characterized by the formation of hydroxyl radicals HO<sup>•</sup>, which are very reactive towards compounds existing in the dye. The second-stage, its kinetics is slow, which corresponds to the degradation of radical intermediates more refractory to oxidation.

This result is confirmed by other works found in the literature [27,37,38].

# 4. Conclusion

The degradation of NR dye by the Fenton process was studied using 2<sup>3</sup> factorial designs. Effect of three factors: stirring speed, dye concentration and treatment time was



Fig. 8. UV-Vis spectrum of NR dye at different treatment times of the Fenton: pH=3,  $[Fe^{2+}]=0.2$  mM,  $[Fe^{2+}]/[H_2O_2]=1/20$ ,  $[RN]=500 \mu$ M, T=303 K.



Fig. 9. Evolution of removal efficiency as a function of time.  $Fe^{2+}/H_2O_2 = 1/20$ ,  $[Fe^{2+}] = 0.2$  mM, T = 303 K, pH = 3, [RN] = 200  $\mu$ M.

investigated. The optimal reaction conditions to degrade the NR dye were: stirring speed = 800 rpm, [NR] =  $50 \mu$ M and t = 1,440 min. The corresponding experimental and predicted results of NR removal rate by Fenton treatment at the same conditions were: 96.74% and 97.46% respectively. According to the regression model and ANOVA results, the treatment time had the most significant effect on NR of 31.9%. Moreover, the interaction between dye concentration and stirring speed, and stirring speed and treatment time were the most important interactions. This finding was evaluated and confirmed using ANOVA. The high values of the determination coefficient  $(R^2 = 100\%)$  and the adjusted determination coefficients  $(R^2$ Adj. = 99.7%), giving good agreement between the model and experimental data which confirmed the validity of the adopted first-order model. The experimental results obtained during this study show that the Fenton process is effective for the degradation of NR dye and the design of experiments using (RSM) can be considered as a good method for the experimental design and statistical analysis.

#### References

- S. Aoudj, A. Khelifa, N. Drouiche, M. Hecini, H. Hamitouche, [1] Electrocoagulation process applied to wastewater containing dyes from textile industry, Chem. Eng. Process. Process Intensif., 49 (2010) 1176-1182.
- S. Wang, A comparative study of Fenton and Fenton-like [2] reaction kinetics in decolourisation of wastewater, Dyes Pigm., 76 (2008) 714-720.
- [3] Y.M. Slokar, A.M. Le Marechal, Methods of decoloration of textile wastewaters, Dyes Pigm., 37 (1998) 335-356.
- [4] A.J. Greaves, D.A.S. Phillips, J.A. Taylor, Correlation between the bioelimination of anionic dyes by an activated sewage sludge with molecular structure. Part 1: literature review, Color Technol., 115 (1999) 363-365.
- T.J. Al-Musawi, N. Mengelizadeh, O. Al Rawi, D. Balarak, [5] Capacity and modeling of Acid Blue 113 dye adsorption onto chitosan magnetized by Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> nanoparticles, J. Polym. Environ., 30 (2022) 344-359.
- M. Sillanpää, A.H. Mahvi, D. Balarak, A.D. Khatibi, [6] Adsorption of Acid orange 7 dyes from aqueous solution using

polypyrrole/nanosilica composite: experimental and modelling, J. Environ. Anal. Chem., (2021) 1-18.

- [7] A. Elhalil, H. Tounsadi, R. Elmoubarki, F.Z. Mahjoubi, M. Farnane, M. Sadiq, M. Abdennouri, S. Qourzal, N. Barka, Factorial experimental design for the optimization of catalytic degradation of malachite green dye in aqueous solution by Fenton process, Water Resour. Ind., 15 (2016) 41-48.
- W.G. Kuo, Decolorizing dye wastewater with Fenton's reagent, [8] Water Res., 26 (1992) 881-886.
- J.B. De Heredia, J. Torregrosa, J.R. Dominguez, J.A. Peres, Kinetic model for phenolic compound oxidation by Fenton's [9] reagent, Chemosphere, 45 (2001) 85–90. [10] J.T. Spadaro, L. Isabelle, V. Renganathan, Hydroxyl radical
- mediated degradation of azo dyes: evidence for benzene generation, Environ. Sci. Technol., 26 (1994) 1389–1383. [11] S.H. Lin, C.C. Lo, Fenton process for treatment of desizing
- wastewater, Water Res., 31 (1997) 2050-2056.
- [12] H.L. Wang, W.Z. Liang, Q.A. Zhang, W.F. Jiang, Solar-lightassisted Fenton oxidation of 2,4-dinitrophenol (DNP) using Al,O,-supported Fe(III)-5-sulfosalicylic acid (ssal) complex as catalyst, Chem. Eng. J., 164 (2010) 115-120.
- [13] L.C. Almeida, S. Garcia-Segura, N. Bocchi, E. Brillas, Solar photoelectro-Fenton degradation of paracetamol using a flow plant with a Pt/air-diffusion cell coupled with a compound parabolic collector: process optimization by response surface methodology, Appl. Catal., B, 103 (2011) 21-30.
- [14] R. Leardi, Experimental design in chemistry: a tutorial, Anal. Chim. Acta, 652 (2009) 161–172
- [15] V.A. Sakkas, M.A. Islam, C. Stalikas, T.A. Albanis, Photocatalytic degradation using design of experiments: a review and example of the Congo red degradation, J. Hazard. Mater., 175 (2010) 33 - 44
- [16] M.P. Callao, Multivariate experimental design in environmental analysis, TrAC, Trends Anal. Chem., 62 (2014) 86-92.
- [17] A. Belayachi-Haddad, Elimination of Anionic Dye by Adsorption, Electrocoagulation, Fenton, Ph.D. Thesis, Mostaganem University, Algeria, 2017.
- [18] H. Kusic, N. Koprivanac, L. Srsan, Azo dye degradation using Fenton type processes assisted by UV irradiation: a kinetic study, Photochem. Photobiol. Chem., 181 (2006) 195-202.
- [19] H.S. Jian, P.S. Sheng, Y.S. Jing, X.S. Rui, P.Q. Li, Q.G. Hui, H.F. Mao, Degradation of azo dye Acid black 1 using low concentration iron of Fenton process facilitated by ultrasonic irradiation, Ultrason. Sonochem., 14 (2007) 761-766.
- [20] S.P. Criado, M.J. Gonçalves, L.B.B. Tavares, S.L. Bertoli, Optimization of electrocoagulation process for disperse and reactive dyes using the response surface method with reuse

application, J. Cleaner Prod., 275 (2020) 122690, doi: 10.1016/j. jclepro.2020.122690.

- [21] Y. Aşçı, E.A. Demirtas, C.F. Iscen, A.S. Anagun, A statistical experimental design to determine the azo dye decolorization and degradation by the heterogeneous Fenton process, R.G., 24 (2015) 3717–3726.
- [22] J. Sun, S. Sun, G. Wang, L. Qiao, Degradation of azo dye Amido black 10B in aqueous solution by Fenton oxidation process, Dyes Pigm., 74 (2007) 647–652.
- [23] M. Tariq, J. Khan, Inquest of efficient photo-assist advanced oxidation processes (AOPs) for removal of azo dye (acid yellow 17) in aqueous medium: a comprehensive study on oxidative decomposition of AY 17, SN Appl. Sci., 2 (2020), doi: 10.1007/ s42452-020-03701-2.
- [24] S. Meddah, I. Djeghader, M.E.H. Samar, Effect of the operating parameters on the discoloration of the Black Azo Dye Blue Naphtol (NBB) by the Fenton process, Sci. Technol., 26 (2020) 10–24.
- [25] G. Ghiselli, W.F. Jardim, M.I. Litter, H.D. Mansilla, Destruction of EDTA using Fenton and photo-Fenton-like reactions under UV-A irradiation, J. Photochem. Photobiol., A, 167 (2004) 59–67.
- [26] N.C. Fernandesa, L.B. Britoc, G.G. Costac, S.F. Taveiraa, M.S.S. Cunha-Filhod, G.A.R. Oliveirac, R.N. Marreto, Removal of azo dye using Fenton and Fenton-like processes: evaluation of process factors by Box–Behnken design and ecotoxicity tests, Chem. Biol. Interact., 291 (2018) 47–54.
- [27] S.A. Mousavi, S. Nazari, Applying response surface methodology to optimize the Fenton oxidation process in the removal of Reactive Red 2, Environ. Stud., 26 (2017) 765–772.
- [28] M. Seyyed Alireza, S. Parastoo, M. Parviz, D.N. Seyyed Majid, Investigation of the efficiency of UV/H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> process on the removal of Rhodamine B from aqueous solutions, IRJABS, 10 (2016) 456.
- [29] K.E. Lee, N. Morad, T.T. Teng, B.T. Poh, Factorial Experimental design for reactive dye flocculation using inorganic-organic composite polymer, APCBEE Procedia, 1 (2012) 59–65.

- [30] J. Goupy, Introduction to Experimental Design, 3e Ed Dunod, France, 2006.
- [31] N. Askari, M. Farhadian, A. Razmjou, Simultaneous effects of pH, concentration, pressure on dye removal by a polyamide nanofilter membrane; optimization through response surface methodology, Environ. Nanotechnol. Monit. Manage., 10 (2018) 223–230.
- [32] G.K. Mariah, K.S. Pak, Removal of brilliant green dye from aqueous solution by electrocoagulation using response surface methodology, Mater. Today: Proc., 20 (2020) 488–492.
- [33] F. Ansari, M. Ghaedi, M. Taghdiri, A. Asfaram, Application of ZnO nanorods loaded on activated carbon for ultrasonic assisted dyes removal: experimental design and derivative spectrophotometry method, Ultrason. Sonochem., 33 (2016) 197–209.
- [34] A. Belayachi-Haddad, N. Benderdouche, B. Bestani, L. Duclaux, Synthetic textile wastewater treatment: removal of Nylosan (N-2RBL) dye by electrocoagulation, Desal. Water Treat., 63 (2017) 78–86.
- [35] S. Dutta, R. Saha, H. Kalita, A. Bezbaruah, Rapid reductive degradation of azo and anthraquinone dyes by nanoscale zero-valent iron, Environ. Technol. Innov., 5 (2016) 176–187.
- [36] V. Kecic, Đ. Kerkez, M. Prica, O. Luzanin, M. Becelic-Tomin, D.T. Pilipovic, B. Dalmacija, Optimization of azo printing dye removal with oak leaves-nZVI/H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> system using statistically designed experiment, J. Cleaner Prod., 202 (2018) 65–80.
- [37] C.J. Israilides, A.G. Vlyssides, V.N. Mourafeti, G. Karvouni, Olive oil wastewater treatment with the use of an electrolysis system, Bioresour. Technol., 61 (1997) 163–170.
- [38] I.A. Balcioglu, I. Arslan, M.T. Sacan, Homogenous and heterogenous advanced oxidation of two commercial reactive dyes, Environ. Technol., 22 (2001) 813–822.