



## Dynamic cross-flow filtration of oilfield produced water by rotating ceramic filter discs

M. Ebrahimi<sup>a,\*</sup>, O. Schmitz<sup>a</sup>, S. Kerker<sup>a</sup>, F. Liebermann<sup>b</sup>, P. Czermak<sup>a,c,d</sup>

<sup>a</sup>*Institute of Bioprocess Engineering and Membrane Technology, University of Applied Sciences Mittelhessen, Wiesenstrasse 14, Giessen 35390, Germany*

*Tel. +49 6413092552; email: mehrdad.ebrahimi@kmub.thm.de*

<sup>b</sup>*Novoflow GmbH, Rain/Lech, Germany*

<sup>c</sup>*Faculty of Biology and Chemistry, Justus Liebig University of Giessen, Giessen, Germany*

<sup>d</sup>*Department of Chemical Engineering, Kansas State University, Manhattan, KS, USA*

Received 20 March 2012; Accepted 10 May 2012

---

### ABSTRACT

The purpose of this research paper is to assess the effects of the process parameters—membrane rotational speed (1,200, 1,500 and 1,800 rpm), volume concentration factor (VCF) and feed characteristics—in terms of oil and total organic carbon (TOC) separation capability, permeability and permeate quality. For this, a series of membrane filtration experiments were carried out systematically using rotating ceramic filter discs in a fed-batch operating mode. The variation of membrane rotational speed was found to minimize the membrane fouling in a significant amount. High oil (>99%) and TOC rejection (>98%) was achieved with both microfiltration (MF) and ultrafiltration (UF) membranes, independent of the rotational speed and the feed concentration. The experimental results are presented for the dynamic cross-flow MF (0.2- $\mu\text{m}$  pores) and UF (7-nm pores) of oilfield produced water as well as oily model solutions (OMS).

*Keywords:* Oilfield; Produced water treatment; Ceramic membrane; Rotating filter; Membrane fouling

---

### 1. Introduction

The term “produced water” (PW) describes the largest wastewater stream that is generated and brought to the surface along with oil or gas during exploration and production operations. On a global spectrum, it is estimated that three barrels of water are produced for every barrel of crude oil [1]. PW is a hazardous waste, difficult to treat and generally contains a mixture of native formation water, dissolved

salts, different types of oil (dispersed/dissolved/free), dispersed solid particles (sand and silt), trace heavy metals and any small amount of chemicals added during the production [2]. Major pollutant in oilfield wastewater is oil; the concentration of which may range between 100 and 1,000 mg/L or still higher [3].

Due to the increasing volume of waste all over the world in the current decade, the outcome and effect of discharging PW on the environment has lately become a significant issue of environmental

---

\*Corresponding author.

concerns [4]. At present, PW is typically disposed in injection wells as waste or for the pressure maintenance of the reservoir. Treatment of this wastewater could improve the economic viability of these oil and gas fields and lead to a new source of water for beneficial use [5]. Several techniques to treat PW are already deployed. Current technologies are based on gravity separators, air or gas flotation, chemical flocculation, plate coalescers, hydrocyclones and disposable filters/absorbers [6]. None of these technologies is capable of removing all types of dissolved components within a single process; by now, multiple processes must always be run in series [7]. Therefore, there is a need for new PW treatment technologies due to increased focus on water conservation and environmental regulation.

Membrane separation is a useful method for the treatment of oily wastewater. Several studies have shown that cross-flow microfiltration (MF), ultrafiltration (UF) and nanofiltration membrane processes are suitable and effective techniques in concentrating O/W emulsions and for producing reusable water [8–12]. Decline of permeate flux with time observed at high oil concentrations is a fundamental problem in classical cross-flow membrane separation processes during the treatment of oily wastewater. This is mostly attributed to the phenomenon of concentration polarization and fouling at high oil concentrations. To overcome these problems, different types of high-shear devices have been developed.

Dynamic cross-flow filtration has several essential advantages compared with conventional cross-flow systems. Substantial energy savings, high specific filtrate flux rates and independence of transmembrane pressure (TMP) from cross-flow speed are some of these advantages. With rotating cross-flow systems, overflow—that is cake layer control—is generated by filter elements rotating through the feed. Since the entire overflow is realized by rotation, only the permeate outflow is compensated, that is pumped through the filter module [13]. In general, industrial dynamic filtration modules are of three types: discs or rotors rotating near fixed membranes, rotating organic/ceramic disc membranes and vibrating systems [14].

The main purpose of this study is to investigate the application and the potential of a compact rotating disc (CRD) device, as it is one of the few dynamic filtration systems available with ceramic membranes, for the efficient treatment of oilfield PW generated from tank dewatering-produced water (TDPW) and different prepared model solutions (MS). The effects of operating variables on permeate flux of the rotating ceramic MF and UF filter discs and rejection efficiency

for oil and total organic carbon (TOC) were investigated.

## 2. Material and methods

### 2.1. Rotating membrane device

The CRD filter used in all MF and UF steps, and designed for up to three membrane discs with 152 mm diameter, was manufactured by Novoflow GmbH (Rain/Lech, Germany) for test, laboratory and remote applications. A schematic presentation of the rotating disc system and the experimental apparatus is given in Fig. 1. The system was equipped with a ceramic membrane disc (Table 2 and Fig. 2) and two dummies mounted on a hollow rotating shaft. The shaft can rotate at adjustable speeds, ranging from 60 to 1,800 rpm. The MF and UF experiments were carried out fed-batch-wise. Fresh feed solution is added to the temperature-controlled and stirred feed tank at the same rate as permeate is collected. Moreover, the feed solution was/will be concentrated over time. All experiments were performed in duplicate. The feed stream entered the vessel housing under pressure and was distributed across the ceramic disc surface by hydraulic action. Permeate was collected in a beaker placed on an electronic scale connected to an automation and process control system to measure the permeate flux.

Experiments were performed so that the effects of membrane rotational speed (1,200, 1,500 and 1,800 rpm) on flux, total oil and TOC removal can be properly understood. During MF and UF of oily MS and TDPW, two process parameters were kept constant: the applied TMP was maintained at 1 bar and the process temperature at 50°C.

### 2.2. Membranes

Ceramic membranes used in the CRD were micro- and UF discs made by KERAFOIL Keramische Folien GmbH, Eschenbach, Germany. The membrane characteristics, according to the manufacturer, are summarized in Table 1.

### 2.3. Membrane and system cleaning procedure

After every experiment, the system was shut down and the membrane housing was drained. In the following the disc membrane and the system were cleaned with lye solutions: 1% (w/w) NaOH solution, alternatively, Ultrasil P3-14 or Ultrasil P3-10 (Henkel,




Fig. 1. Schematic diagram of the laboratory-scale rotating disc system for the dynamic cross-flow MF and UF.

Table 1  
Characteristics of ceramic disc membranes used in this investigation

Membrane	MF	UF
Material	Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> /MgAl <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub>
Pore size	0.2 μm	7 nm
Outer radius	152 mm	152 mm
Inner radius	25 mm	25 mm
Thickness	4.5 mm	4.5 mm
Area	360 cm <sup>2</sup>	360 cm <sup>2</sup>
pH	0–14	1–14
Max temperature	121 °C	121 °C

Germany) dissolved in distilled water. Finally, the system was drained and flushed again with hot distilled water. After completing the cleaning procedure, the clean water fluxes were measured with distilled water at different temperatures (room temperature and 50 °C), varied rotating speed (1,200, 1,500 and 1,800 rpm) and 1 bar TMP.

#### 2.4. Oily wastewater

To investigate the performance of ceramic filter discs, OMS and TDPW were used. OMS were pre-

pared by premixing the crude oil and water with a rotor stator homogenizer in concentrations of approximately 5,000 ppm oil in water and subsequently passing this emulsion in single pass through a high-pressure homogenizer. For adjusting the needed oil concentration, the prepared emulsion was diluted with water and measured with the OMD-32 (explanation, see chapter 2.5), simultaneously. Samples of TDPW were obtained from German BP AG, Oil Refinery Emsland, Lingen, Germany. The general characteristics of TDPW and OMS are presented in Table 2.

#### 2.5. Online oil-in-water measurement

The continuous oil-in-water measurement down to part-per-million (ppm) levels was performed using an innovative prototype "OMD-32." It is a next-generation online monitoring device, developed for industrial applications by DECKMA HAMBURG GmbH, Hamburg, Germany. The measuring principle is based on light scattering. An optical sensor array measures a combination of light scattered and absorbed by oil droplets in the sample stream. The sensor signals are then processed by a microprocessor to produce a linearized output [15].

Table 2  
Characteristics of the OMS and TDPW used in this investigation

Index	Variation range of prepared OMS	Variation range of TDPW
Dispersed oil	30–200 mg/l	200–1,000 mg/l
pH value	6.2–6.9	6.0–8.0
Conductivity	8.3–15.7 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$	20,000–80,000 $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$
COD	105–169 mg/l	NA
TOC	50–658 mg/l	200–2000 mg/l
Iron	NA	66 mg/l
Zinc	NA	0.55 mg/l

Note: NA: not available

### 2.6. Transmembrane pressure

The rotation of the membrane causes a backpressure in the membrane housing, which reduces the effective average TMP. This pressure depends on the applied rotation speed and the diameter of the membrane. To estimate the pressure offset for a certain rotation speed, the permeate and the retentate side of the filtration system were filled with reverse osmosis (RO)-water. When the system was filled, the feed pump was turned off, the inlet of the retentate side was closed and the rotation speed was set. After stabilizing the displayed pressure, the value was recorded and used as a TMP-offset in the subsequent filtration experiment.

### 3. Definitions

#### Permeate flux ( $J$ )

The permeate flux was measured during the filtration process and is defined as follows:

$$\text{Permeate flux } (J) = \frac{\text{permeate volume collected}}{\text{disk membrane area} \times \text{collection time}}$$

#### TOC removal efficiency

The percentage of retention of the TOC at time  $t$  was calculated by comparing the concentrations of the TOC in permeate with those in the concentrate as follows:

$$R = \left(1 - \frac{C_{p(t)}}{C_{c(t)}}\right) \times 100\%$$

where  $R$  is the retention of TOC in% at time  $t$ ,  $C_{p(t)}$  is the concentration in the permeate samples in mg/l at time  $t$ , and  $C_{c(t)}$  is the concentration in the concentrate in mg/l at the same time.

#### Volume concentration factor (VCF)

The VCF of the feed solution in semi-batch operation is given as follows:

$$\text{VCF} = \frac{V_{\text{feed}}}{V_{\text{retentate}}} = 1 + \frac{V_{\text{permeate}}}{V_{\text{retentate}}}$$

where VCF is the concentration factor,  $V_{\text{permeate}}$  is the volume of permeate produced at any given time,  $V_{\text{feed}}$  is the feed volume and  $V_{\text{retentate}}$  is the retentate volume.

## 4. Results and discussion

### 4.1. Effect of the rotational speed on MF-membrane performance

In Fig. 3, the variation of permeate flux and VCF vs. operation time at two different membrane rotational speeds (1,200 and 1,800 rpm) are presented for a 0.2- $\mu\text{m}$  MF ceramic disc during the treatment of OMS. Every run was performed at a constant temperature of 50°C, under a constant TMP of 1 bar and a feed oil concentration of 30 ppm. For both membrane rotational speeds it was shown that permeate flux decay could be divided into three distinctive regions such as




Fig. 2. Cross-section of the rotating ceramic membrane disc.




Fig. 3. Variation of permeate flux and VCF with time for two rotation speeds (1,200 and 1,800 rpm) using a 0.2  $\mu\text{m}$  MF rotating disc; OMS, 30 ppm; TMP, 1 bar; temperature, 50 °C.

rapid flux decay (after 200 min of operation time), slow flux decay (after 900 min) and constant periods (after 1,100 min) which corresponds to a balanced mass transfer through the membrane. The stabilized permeate flux at the lower rotational speed (1,200 rpm) was 64% lower than that at 1,800 rpm.

It is well known that high shear rates at the membrane, caused by high rotation speeds, could reduce the concentration polarization and cake formation during the dynamic cross-flow filtration [16].

Fig. 4 shows the effects of the variation of rotational speed (1,200, 1,500, and 1,800 rpm) on the permeate flux obtained with a 0.2- $\mu\text{m}$  MF ceramic membrane. The permeate flux, however, increased from 82 to 170  $\text{L}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$  by increasing the rotational speed from 1,200 rpm up to 1,800 rpm.




Fig. 4. Effect of three rotational speeds (1,200, 1,500 and 1,800 rpm) on flux at a particular instant of time ( $t=500$  min) using a 0.2  $\mu\text{m}$  MF rotating disc; OMS, 30 ppm; TMP, 1 bar; temperature, 50 °C.

The permeate flux modifications plotted against the rotating speed at VCR 1–14 are demonstrated in Fig. 5. On the basis of these data, an increase in VCF from 1 to 10 could be observed, which results in a rapid decrease in the membrane filtrate flow at both rotational speeds considering the same oil concentration of 30 ppm of the OMS. The reason is the high concentration polarization for concentrated feeds. For a given VCF higher than 1, however, the increase in the disc rotational speed caused an increase in the filtrate flow.

The data illustrated in the Fig. 6 showed that the 0.2  $\mu\text{m}$  ceramic membrane disc gave an excellent oil and TOC rejection of more than 99 and 98%, respectively, during the treatment of OMS. It was also remarkable that the removal efficiency of oil and TOC was independent of membrane rotational speed.

#### 4.2. Effect of the rotational speed on UF-membrane performance

To investigate the effect of membrane rotational speed on the performance of UF during the treatment of OMS, the permeate flux profile for two membrane speeds (1,200 and 1,800 rpm) operated over an applied TMP of 1 bar and feed concentration of 30 ppm has been shown in Fig. 7. It can be observed that under fixed operation conditions, membrane rotation plays an important role in determining the permeate flux. The permeate flow rate rises from 198  $\text{L}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$  at membrane rotational speed of 1,200 rpm to 250  $\text{L}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$  at a speed of 1,800 rpm. High UF membrane rotation speed creates substantial turbulence




Fig. 5. Variation of permeate flux vs. VCF for two rotation speeds (1,200 and 1,800 rpm) using a 0.2  $\mu\text{m}$  MF rotating disc as well.




Fig. 6. Oil and TOC rejection for three rotation speeds using a 0.2 μm MF rotating disc; OMS, 30 ppm; TMP, 1 bar; temperature, 50 °C.




Fig. 8. Variation of permeate flux vs. VCF for two rotation speeds (1,200 and 1,800 rpm) using a 7 nm UF rotating disc.

near the membrane surface, which minimizes the extent of concentration polarization and may reduce fouling at the membrane surface (Fig. 7).

Fig. 8 shows that the permeate flux at any fixed VCF was found to be higher at a rotational speed of 1,800 rpm in comparison with 1,200 rpm.

Fig. 9 represents the measured rejection efficiency of oil and TOC for the investigated 7 nm UF membrane at three rotating speeds (1,200, 1,500 and 1,800 rpm) under fixed operating conditions (TMP=1 bar). For oil and TOC rejection values >99 and >98%, respectively, could be reached.

Fig. 10 shows the measured filtrate flow rate and the fouling behaviour vs. time for a 7 nm ceramic UF




Fig. 9. Rest oil and TOC rejection for three rotation speeds (1,200, 1,500 and 1,800 rpm) using a 7 nm UF rotating disc OMS, 30 ppm; TMP, 1 bar; temperature, 50 °C.




Fig. 7. Variation of permeate flux and oil content in concentrate stream with time for three rotation speeds (1,200 and 1,800 rpm) using a 7 nm UF rotating disc; OMS, 30 ppm; TMP, 1 bar; temperature, 50 °C.

membrane disc during the treatment of TDPW with an initial oil concentration of 40 ppm in a dynamic filtration experiment. Although real PW had higher oil and TOC concentrations, compared with to OMS used (Table 2), the rejection efficiency for oil was more than 99%, indicating that UF treatment of TDPW by CRD module could obtain very high permeate quality for reuse, and VCF could be raised to a level between 2 and 6. The stability of the permeate flow after 150 min of operation time represents the stabilization of the filling of the membrane due to shear rates caused by the rotation speed of the membrane disc.




Fig. 10. Variation of permeate flux and oil content in concentrate stream with time for three rotation speeds (1,200 and 1,800 rpm) using a 7 nm UF rotating disc; TDPW, 40 ppm; TMP, 1 bar; temperature, 50 °C.

## 5. Conclusions

The main purpose of this study was to investigate the application of rotating ceramic filter discs and the potential of the CRD, as it is one of the few dynamic filtration systems available with ceramic membranes, for the treatment of OMS and TDPW. On the basis of Figs. 3–10, it follows that for both, MF and UF membranes, the permeate flux was dependent on the rotational speed. The overall results indicate that ceramic MF and UF disc membranes used appear to be very effective in removing oil (>99%) and TOC (>98%) from TDPW and MS. Additionally, it could be observed that the rejection efficiency was independent of membrane rotational speed. Furthermore, it could be shown that the increase in membrane rotational speed minimizes membrane fouling in a significant amount.

For studying the impact of the TMP and the feed characteristics on the fouling behaviour of the membranes, further investigations are already in progress.

## Acknowledgement

The authors gratefully thank the Federal Ministry of Economics and Technology Germany for the financial support (FKZ: KF2829802RH1). Furthermore, the authors would like to thank Dipl.-Ing. Martin Müller, German BP AG, Oil Refinery Emsland, Lingen, Germany, for providing us with the TDPW.

## References

- [1] M.J. Plebon, Marc A. Saad, Xue Jun Chen, Serge Fraser, De-oiling of produced water from offshore oil platforms using a recent commercialized technology which combines adsorption, coalescence and gravity separation, in: Proceedings of the Sixteenth (2006) International Offshore and Polar Engineering Conference San Francisco, CA, USA, May 28–June 2, 2006. pp. 503–507, ISBN 1-880653-66-4.
- [2] J.A. Veil, M.G. Puder, D. Elecock, R.J. Redweik, Jr. A White Paper Describing Produced Water from Production of Crude Oil, Natural Gas, and Coal Bed Methane, US Department of Energy, National Energy Technology Laboratory, Pittsburgh, 2004.
- [3] Rupesh M. Bande, B. Prasad, I.M. Mishra, Kailas L. Wasewar, Oil field effluent water treatment for safe disposal by electroflotation, *Chem. Eng. J.* 137 (2008) 503–509.
- [4] G.T. Tellez, N. Nirmalakhandan, J. Gardea-Torresdey, Performance evaluation of an activated sludge system for removing petroleum hydrocarbons from oilfield produced water, *Adv. Environ. Res.* 6 (2002) 455–470.
- [5] S. Mondal, S. Ranil Wickramasinghe, Produced water treatment by nanofiltration and reverse osmosis membranes, *J. Membr. Sci.* 322 (2008) 162–170.
- [6] F. Ahmadun, A. Pendashteh, L.C. Abdullah, D.R. Awang Biak, S.S. Madaeni, Z.Z. Abidin, Review of technologies for oil and gas produced water treatment, *J. Hazard. Mater.* 170 (2009) 530–551.
- [7] P. Czermak, M. Ebrahimi, Multiphase cross-flow filtration process for efficient treatment of oil-field produced water using ceramic membranes, in: Proceedings 7th Produced Water Workshop, paper 5, TUV NEL, Aberdeen, UK. 2009. pp. 1–12.
- [8] J. Mueller, Y. Cen, R.H. Davis, Crossflow microfiltration of oily water, *J. Membr. Sci.* 129 (1997) 221–235.
- [9] M. Ebrahimi, Z. Kovacs, M. Schneider, P. Mund, P. Bolduan, P. Czermak, Multistage filtration process for efficient treatment of oil-field produced water using ceramic membranes, *Desalin. Water Treat.* 42(1–3) (2012) 17–23.
- [10] M. Ebrahimi, P. Czermak, Ceramic membranes for oilfield produced water treatment, *Explor. Product. Oil Gas Rev.* 9(1) (2011) 98–102.
- [11] M. Ebrahimi, K. Shams Ashaghi, L. Engel, P. Mund, P. Bolduan, P. Czermak, Investigations on the use of different ceramic membranes for efficient oil-field produced water treatment, *Desalination* 250 (2010) 991–996.
- [12] M. Ebrahimi, K.S. Ashaghi, L. Engel, D. Willershausen, P. Mund, P. Bolduan, P. Czermak, Characterization and application of different ceramic membranes for the oil-field produced water treatment, *Desalination* 245 (2009) 533–540.
- [13] F. Liebermann, Dynamic cross flow filtration with Novoflow's single shaft disc filters, *Desalination* 250 (2010) 1087–1090.
- [14] Y. Jaffrin Michel, Dynamic filtration with rotating discs, and rotating and vibrating membranes: An update, *Curr. Opin. Chem. Eng.* 1 (2012) 1–7.
- [15] A.A. Schmidt, Jochen Offermann, Oil-zin-water monitoring using advanced light scattering technology—theory and applications, in: Oil-in-Water Monitoring Workshop, TUV NEL, Aberdeen, UK. 2003. pp. 1–10.
- [16] A.S. Jönsson, Influence of shear rate on the flux during ultrafiltration of colloidal substances, *J. Membr. Sci.* 79 (1993) 93.