

52 (2014) 4547–4552 June



# A comparison of electrolysis and Fenton reaction pretreatment methods for dye wastewater

Feixiang Chen, Chuyin Yang, Jiade Wang\*

College of Biological and Environmental Engineering, Zhejiang University of Technology, Hangzhou 310032, China Tel. +86 571 88320915; Fax: +86 571 88320882; email: jdwang@zjut.edu.cn

Received 1 June 2012; Accepted 1 May 2013

#### ABSTRACT

Electrochemical oxidation is an effective pretreatment method for dye wastewater. In this study, the pretreatment of actual dye wastewater by electrochemical oxidation using a Ti/PbO<sub>2</sub> anode and a Ti/RuO<sub>2</sub> anode were compared with the data obtained by the Fenton reaction. The optimum pH, Fe<sup>2+</sup> dosage, and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> dosage were 3.0, 400 mg/L, and 480 mg/L, respectively, for the Fenton process. The chemical oxygen demand (COD) was reduced by 26.5% by the Fenton reaction at the optimum conditions. Various densities (20–30 mA/cm<sup>2</sup>) were applied in the electrolysis process and the appropriate current density was  $20 \text{ mA/cm}^2$ . The reduction in the COD and color removal increased with time, and pH fluctuations did not have a significant effect on the process. The electrolysis process was more efficient for decolorization than the Fenton process in the presence of chlorine. Among the three methods, electrocatalytic oxidation using a Ti/PbO<sub>2</sub> anode was the most efficient method for COD removal and decolorization. At 90 min, the COD decreased by 25% and color removal was 95% with a high current efficiency of 62%.The cost of this process was 0.308 USD per kilogram reduction in the COD.

Keywords: Fenton reaction; Electrolysis; Ti/RuO2 electrode; Ti/PbO2 electrode

#### 1. Introduction

Due to large-scale production and extensive use of dyes, a large volume of wastewater is generated during dye production, and the color, pH, chemical oxygen demand (COD), salt content, and biodegradability of this wastewater can cause considerable problems in the environment [1–4]. The major pollutants are unreacted dyeing compounds, surfactant, salts, and organics washed out during dyeing process.

Traditional wastewater treatment technologies can hardly meet the increasing standards for color of effluents [3,6,8]. Pretreatment of dye wastewater becomes essential. Consequently, the interest of dye wastewater treatment has increased in recent years [5–7,9–11].

Pretreatment of concentrated effluents would lead to decrease in organic load and color, and the biotreatability of the effluent could be also improved. Processes used for pretreatment of dye wastewater include chemical oxidation with different reagents such as hypochlorite, ozone, Fenton reagents, and some combined technologies [7,11–13,16]. Among

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author.

<sup>1944-3994/1944-3986 © 2013</sup> Balaban Desalination Publications. All rights reserved.

them, Fenton reaction is one of the most widely used and efficient method. In recent years, there is a growing interest in investigating the application of electrochemical oxidation for removing dyes from wastewater [1–3,17–20]. Both ways were proved to be efficient to dye wastewater. But few researchers investigated the comparisons between Fenton reactions and electrochemical oxidation for dye wastewater treatment besides that Szpyrkowicz et al. [12] investigated a comparative study on pretreatment technologies of simulated dye wastewater and concluded that Fenton reagents was the most appropriate method for disperse dyes.

In the Fenton system, hydrogen peroxide reacts with ferrous ions, producing hydroxyl radicals that are powerful oxidants, and can degrade organic pollutants into water and carbon dioxide nonselectively [14-16]. Fenton's reagent is widely used in dye wastewater treatment, but the cost of the Fenton's reagent and disposal of the Fe(OH)<sub>3</sub> sludge that is generated make the Fenton reaction an expensive wastewater treatment method. Electrochemical oxidation, which takes place on the surface of an anode, degrades pollutants directly or indirectly without addition of any chemical reagents [19–21]. Therefore, it is regarded as environment-friendly wastewater treatment an technology. A catalytic electrode material with high activity is required to improve the energy efficiency of this treatment method. The Ti/RuO<sub>2</sub> electrode which has been widely used in the chlor-alkali industry and electrosynthesis is an effective chlorine evolution electrode and considerated as an active anode in wastewater treatment because chloride ion is quite common in effluents [22-23]. The Ti/PbO<sub>2</sub> electrode has attracted several scientific groups for its electrocatalytical activity as well as stability and its applications in dye wastewater treatment have been investigated. The results indicated that the Ti/PbO<sub>2</sub> was quite promising as an anode material [23-28]. Boron-doped diamond (BBD) electrode has been reported recently and has been proved more efficient in simulated dye wastewater [29–31]. But the high cost of BBD electrode has limited itself from industrial application.

The efficiency of Fenton reaction and electrochemical oxidation was affected by diverse parameters due to their different mechanism. In the present study, pretreatment of actual dye wastewater by electrochemical oxidation using a Ti/PbO<sub>2</sub> anode and a Ti/RuO<sub>2</sub> anode was compared with the Fenton reaction by analyzing the color and COD reduction in the effluent, and the effects of operating conditions such as current density, initial pH, and reaction time were investigated. The results could be used to guide engineering applications.

## 2. Materials and methods

## 2.1. Electrolysis

Electrolysis was conducted in a rectangular reactor of 0.75 L volume equipped with a  $10.0 \times 10.0$  cm plate anode (Ti/PbO<sub>2</sub> or Ti/RuO<sub>2</sub>) and a  $10.0 \times 10.0$  cm plate cathode (stainless steel) and the electrode spacing is 2.5 cm. A DC-stabilized power source was used to monitor and control the current. The preparations of Ti/PbO<sub>2</sub> electrode and Ti/RuO<sub>2</sub> electrode were reference to literature [32] and literature [33], respectively. A magnetic stirrer was used to assure the mass transfer rate of pollutants at the stirring rate of 100 rpm. The pH of the solution was adjusted with an appropriate volume of NaOH or H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> solutions.

## 2.2. Fenton reaction

Fenton process consists of oxidation and coagulation. Firstly, hydrogen peroxide was added to the dye wastewater sample and the water was mixed rapidly for 10mins. Secondly, ferrous sulfate was added and the coagulation experiments proceeded with rapid mixing 10 min, slow mixing at 40 rpm for 20 min, and then standstill for 20 min.

#### 2.3. Actual effluent

The sample of actual dye wastewater was provided by a company that produces dye intermediates in Zhejiang, China and it was used without any pretreatment in the experiment. The effluent containing dyeing compounds, surfactants, and salts was dark red, had a strong irritating odor, highly acidic, and nonbiodegradable. The results from water quality analysis of the sample are shown in Table 1.

#### 2.4. Analytical methods

Color removal was monitored by measuring absorbance using a Hitachi U-2910 spectrophotometer. COD was determined by the dichromate method. The chloride ion is determined by ion chromatography with a Dionex TCS-2000. Current efficiency was

Table 1 Characteristics of the wastewater sample

Conductivity (ms/cm)	6.82
pH	1.2
TDS (mg/L)	3.42
COD (mg/L)	6,000–6,160
$Cl^{-}$ (mg/L)	435

estimated from COD values, using the following relationship [2,3]:

$$CE \% = \left(\frac{COD_0 - COD_t}{8It}\right) FV \times 0.1 \tag{1}$$

 $COD_0$  (mg/L) and  $COD_t$  refer to the initial COD and COD at reaction time, respectively. *I* is the current (A), *F* is the Faraday constant (96,487 Cmol<sup>-1</sup>), *V* is the electrolyte volume (L), and *t* is the reaction time (s).

#### 3. Results and discussion

#### 3.1. Fenton reagents doses and current density

A series of preliminary experiments were performed to optimize the doses for the Fenton reagents and current density for electrolysis processes. The best experiment conditions for Fenton process proved to be the following: pH=3.0,  $H_2O_2$  dose = 400 mg/L, and  $Fe^{2+}$  dose = 480 mg/L (only small improvement was associated with higher doses). Higher COD removal was obtained as the current density increased. The ideal current density was 20 mA/cm<sup>2</sup> for the actual effluent if the current efficiency (CE %) was taken into account. Higher current efficiency was obtained at lower current density as shown in Fig. 1.

As shown in Fig. 1, highest current efficiency was only obtained at lowest current density and shortest reaction time. More electrons were produced at higher current density leading to the increasing rate of pollutants consumption at the cost of undesirable side-reactions which lowered the current efficiency. The reaction rate was acceptable at the current density of  $20 \text{ mA/cm}^2$ . Thus, the optimal density for the actual dye wastewater was fixed at  $20 \text{ mA/cm}^2$ .



Fig. 1. Variation of current efficiency with current density (20–30 mA/cm<sup>2</sup>) and interval time (15–150 min). *T*, 25 °C; Use Ti/PbO<sub>2</sub> as anode.

#### 3.2. Effect of initial pH

The wastewater was treated by electrolysis processes at initial pH values of 0, 1.0, 2.0, 3.0, 4.0, 5.0, 7.0, and 9.0 and by the Fenton at initial pH values of 1.0, 2.0, 3.0, 4.0, and 5.0. Changes in the COD and color are shown in Fig. 2.

The initial pH had a significant impact on the Fenton reaction (Fig. 2(A)). The COD was reduced by about 26.5% at initial pH values between 1.0 and 3.0. By comparison, at pH values of 4.0, the COD only decreased by 13.5%. At the pH equal to 5.0, the COD was apparently increased, even exceeded the initial value. This phenomenon induced that Fenton reaction was suppressed at the pH of 5.0 or above and unreacted hydrogen peroxide was calculated in the COD values. The initial pH had a minimal effect on the electrolysis processes with the Ti/PbO<sub>2</sub> and Ti/RuO<sub>2</sub> anodes. The reduction in the COD was stable at all pH values at about 24% with the Ti/PbO<sub>2</sub> electrode and 15% with the Ti/RuO<sub>2</sub> electrode, and the Ti/PbO<sub>2</sub> electrode seemed to have more surface activity than the Ti/RuO2 anodes. The Fenton reaction failed to decolorize the wastewater and even intensified the wastewater color (Fig. 2(B)). This induced that Fenton reaction has a priority to consume other organics rather than dyes in the actual effluent. By contrast, the electrolysis process was effective for decolorization. The color removal by electrolysis was not dependent on the pH, and the color decreased by about 90% with Ti/PbO<sub>2</sub> and 30% with Ti/RuO<sub>2</sub>. The electrolysis process was better for decolorization than the Fenton reaction because of the presence of chloride ions in the wastewater (Table 1). Chlorine plays an important role in indirect electrochemical oxidation and the decolorization efficiency would increase by electrolysis as the following reactions take place [1,18,20]:

$$2\mathrm{Cl}^- \to \mathrm{Cl}_2 + 2e^- \tag{2}$$

$$Cl_2 + H_2O \rightarrow HOCl + H^+ + Cl^-$$
 (3)

$$HOCl \to H^+ + OCl^- \tag{4}$$

Hypochlorous acid, HOCl, is a strong decolorant that is generated on the anode surface and oxidizes the pollutants. The chloride ion concentration decreased from 435 to 387 mg/L at 90 min. And the fact that color removal occurred greater than COD reduction implies that dyes were converted into many intermediates which are quite resistant to electro-oxidation. Decolorization occurs more significantly than



Fig. 2. Changes in reduction of the COD and color of the wastewater with increasing initial pH (0–9.0). Electrolysis conditions: current density,  $20 \text{ mA/cm}^2$ ; reaction time, 90 min; *T*, 25 °C. Fenton reaction conditions: Fe<sup>2+</sup> dosage, 400 mg/L; H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> dosage, 480 mg/L; reaction time, 40 min; *T*: 25 °C.

COD reduction for dye wastewater because of the unpleasant color which attracts much attention from the public. And several previous studies have shown color decreased faster than COD in dye-containing solutions in electrolysis processes [2,3,19]. These results indicate that the electrolysis process, especially with the Ti/PbO<sub>2</sub> anode, is more suitable than the Fenton reaction for treatment of dye wastewater.

#### 3.3. Effect of reaction time

The wastewater was treated by the Fenton reaction and electrolysis for 15, 30, 45, 60, 90, 120, and 150 min (Fig. 3).

In the Fenton process, the reaction was almost complete with a maximum COD reduction of 26.5% at 30 min, but the color increased during this time because the Fenton reagents were consumed by other organics rather than the chromophores. In the electrolysis process, the COD and color decreased as the reaction time increased. After 120 min with the Ti/PbO<sub>2</sub> anode, the COD decreased by 26.5% and the color removal was 95%. The COD reduction was significantly more than that observed with the Fenton reaction, and higher COD and color removal could be obtained if the reaction prolonged but the COD reduction rate began to slow down. But as shown in Fig. 1, the current efficiency drastically decreased from 97% (15 min) to 40% (150 min). The current efficiency is a key indicator of electrochemical applications as electrochemical oxidation is widely known as a intensely energy consumption process. About 95% of color removal and 60% of current efficiency were obtained at 90 min which was considered as an appropriate reaction time. Current efficiency was also investigated in previous researchers [2,3,21,30]. In their work, usually only about 40% of current efficiency could be obtained. This can be explained that they all tried to treated the wastewater to standards for discharge and too long reaction time was needed which resulted in lower current efficiency. Thus, electro-oxidation is more appropriate as a pretreatment method. The Ti/PbO<sub>2</sub> showed better catalytic activity than the Ti/RuO<sub>2</sub> anode for reducing the COD and color (Fig. 3(A) and (B)). These results indicate Ti/PbO2 would be more suitable than Ti/RuO<sub>2</sub> for treatment of dye wastewater. Although the Fenton process was fast, the electrolysis process provided more complete degradation of color. The electrolysis process with the Ti/PbO<sub>2</sub> anode was the best among the three treatment methods.

# 3.4. Cost comparison

The unit cost for treatment of pollutants is important for evaluation of the economic practicality of a technique. The cost per kilogram decrease in the COD was compared for the three methods, taking into consideration the reagent, electrode, and sludge disposal costs, and energy consumption (Table 2).

Costs for the Fenton reaction include consumption of ferrous sulfate and hydrogen peroxide, and disposal of sludge. The market prices of ferrous sulfate and 30% hydrogen peroxide are 45.16 and 241.95 USD/ton, respectively. The sludge from the



Fig. 3. Changes in the COD and color removal profiles with time (15–150 min). Electrolysis conditions: current density,  $20 \text{ mA/cm}^2$ ; *T*, 25 °C; pH, 1.2. Fenton conditions: Fe<sup>2+</sup> dosage, 400 mg/L; H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> dosage, 480 mg/L; *T*, 25 °C; pH, 3.0.

Table 2 Cost of per kg COD removed

Terms	Fenton reaction USD/kg COD	Ti/RuO <sub>2</sub> electrode USD/kg COD	Ti/PbO <sub>2</sub> electrode USD/kg COD
Reagent	0.121	/	/
Electrode	/	0.071	0.071
Energy	/	0.395	0.237
Sludge disposal	0.352	/	/
Total	0.473	0.466	0.308

Note: USD = US dollars.



Fig. 4. Cost comparison of the three methods. Electrolysis conditions: current density,  $20 \text{ mA/cm}^2$ ; reaction time, 90 min; initial pH, 1.2; *T*, 25 °C. Fenton reaction conditions: Fe<sup>2+</sup> dosage, 400 mg/L; H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> dosage, 480 mg/L; initial pH, 3.0; reaction time, 40 min; *T*: 25 °C.

Fenton reaction is processed at specialized units for 241.95 USD/ton. The electrolysis process costs include the initial cost of the electrode and how often it needs to be replaced, and electricity consumption. Electrodes used for processing 100 m<sup>3</sup>/d of wastewater cost about 4,839 USD with a depreciation rate of 20% each year. The electrolysis process used 5 kW h of electricity to treat every cubic meter of wastewater. The costs of three methods are compared in Fig. 4. The cost of electrolysis process using the Ti/PbO<sub>2</sub> anode was half that of the Fenton process and about 35% cheaper than the electrolysis process using Ti/RuO<sub>2</sub>. Therefore, the electrolysis process using Ti/PbO<sub>2</sub> electrode as an anode is best for dye wastewater treatment.

# 4. Conclusion

The reduction in COD was similar for the electrolysis and Fenton processes, and both processes were short (<120 min). However, the electrolysis process was much cheaper than the Fenton process. In electrolysis, the rate of reduction in the COD and color decreased at reaction time >120 min. The COD reduction with the Ti/PbO<sub>2</sub> electrolysis process was higher than that of Fenton process after 120 min. Fenton reaction depends heavily on the pH of the wastewater while fluctuations in the pH had a minimal effect on the electrolysis reaction. Therefore, the electrolysis process is more suitable than the Fenton reaction for dye wastewater treatment, because of pH fluctuations and the complex composition of dye wastewater. Furthermore, the Fenton process had low efficiency for removal of color from the dye wastewater because of competitive responses in the actual dye wastewater. The electrolysis process was quite efficient for decolorization in the presence of chlorine and color decreased drastically than COD as dyes were converted into intermediate organics. The color removal was >95% for the process using Ti/PbO<sub>2</sub> as the anode at the current efficiency of 62%. In comparison to the Ti/RuO<sub>2</sub> anode, the Ti/ PbO<sub>2</sub> anode performed better for reduction of the COD and color, and in cost-benefit analysis. Among the three methods, electrolysis with Ti/PbO<sub>2</sub> is the most suitable for actual dye wastewater pretreatment.

# Acknowledgments

This work was financially supported by the National Key Project of Scientific and Technical Supporting Programs Funded by Ministry of Science & Technology of China (No. 2011BAE07B09).

## References

- B.K. Körbahti, Response surface optimization of electrochemical treatment of textile dye wastewater, J. Hazard. Mater. 145 (2007) 277–286.
- [2] N. Bensalah, M.A. Quiroz Alfaro, C.A. Martínez-Huitle, Electrochemical treatment of synthetic wastewaters containing Alphazurine A dye, Chem. Eng. J. 149 (2009) 348–352.
- [3] E. Chatzisymeon, N.P. Xekoukoulotakis, A. Coz, N. Kalogerakis, D. Mantzavinos, Electrochemical treatment of textile dyes and dyehouse effluents, J. Hazard. Mater. 137 (2006) 998–1007.
- [4] A. Maljaei, M. Arami, N.M. Mahmoodi, Decolorization and aromatic ring degradation of colored textile wastewater using indirect electrochemical oxidation method, Desalination 249 (2009) 1074–1078.
- [5] X.J. Wang, X.Y. Gu, D.X. Lin, F. Dong, X.F. Wan, Treatment of acid rose dye containing wastewater by ozonizing e biological aerated filter, Dyes Pig. 74 (2007) 736–740.
- [6] H.L. Kong, H.F. Wu, Pretreatment of textile dyeing wastewater using an anoxic baffled reactor, Bioresour. Technol. 99 (2009) 7886–7891.
- [7] E. Forgacs, T. Cserháti, G. Oros, Removal of synthetic dyes from wastewater: A review, Environ. Int. 30 (2004) 953–971.
- [8] A. Sakalis, K. Mpoulmpasakos, U. Nickel, K. Fytianos, A. Voulgaropoulos, Evaluation of noval electrochemical pilot plant process for azo dyes removal from textile wastewater, Chem. Eng. J. 111 (2005) 63–70.
- [9] W.S. Kuo, M.T. Li, Mineralization of AV dye in water by solar Fenton-like process, Desalin. Water Treat. 48 (2012) 155–162.
- [10] D. Maneesuwannarat, S. Maneesuwannarat, S. Nilratnisakorn, P. Thiravetyan, Effect of silicon on calcium, proline, growth, rate and salt stress of narrow-leaved cattails in synthetic reactive dye wastewater, Int. J. Phytorem. 15 (2013) 24–37.
- [11] Senthilkumar, C. Ahmed Basha, M. Perumalsamy, H.J. Prabhu. Electrochemical oxidation and aerobic biodegradation with isolated bacterial strains for dye wastewater: Combined and integrated approach, Electrochim. Acta 77 (2012) 171–178.
- [12] L. Szpyrkowicz, C. Juzzolino, S.N. Kaul, A comparative study on oxidation of disperse dyes by electrochemical process, ozone, hypochlorite and Fenton reagent, Water Res. 35 (2001) 2129–2136.
- [13] L.H. Huang, G.P. Sun, T. Yang, B. Zhang, Y. He, X.H. Wang, A preliminary study of anaerobic treatment coupled with micro-electrolysis for anthraquinone dye wastewater, Desalination 309 (2013) 91–96.

- [14] P. Kular, T.T. Teng, S. Chand, K.L. Wasewar, Fenton oxidation of carpet dyeing wastewater for removal of COD and color, Desalin. Water Treat. 28 (2011) 260–264.
- [15] C.W. Li, Y.M. Chen, Y.C. Chiou, C.K. Liu, Dye wastewater treated by Fenton process with ferrous ionselectrolytically generated from iron-containing sludge, J. Hazard. Mater. 144 (2007) 570–576.
- [16] E. Rosales, O. Iglesias, M. Pazos, M.A. Sanromán, Decolourisation of dyes under electro-Fenton process using Fe alginate gel beads, J. Hazard. Mater. 213–214 (2012) 369–377.
- [17] G.B. Raju, M.T. Karuppiah, S.S. Latha, D.L. Priya, S. Parvathy, S. Prabhakar, Electrochemical pretreatment of textile effluents and effect of electrode materials on the removal of organics, Desalination 249 (2009) 167–174.
- [18] P. Kariyajjanavara, N. Jogttappa, Y.A. Nayakab, Studies on degradation of reactive textile dyes solution by electrochemical method, J. Hazard. Mater. 190 (2011) 952–961.
- [19] K.V. Radha, V. Sridevi, K. Kalaivani, Electrochemical oxidation for the treatment of textile industry wastewater, Bioresour. Technol. 100 (2009) 987–990.
- [20] G.H. Chen, Electrochemical technologies in wastewater treatment, Sep. Purif. Technol. 38 (2004) 11–41.
- [21] A.G. Vlyssides, D. Papaioannou, M. Loizidoy, P.K. Karlis, A. A. Zorpas, Testing an electrochemical method for treatment of textile dye wastewater, Waste Manage. 20 (2000) 569–574.
- [22] S. Kim, T. Kim, C. Park, E. Shin, Electrochemical oxidation of polyvinyl alcohol using a RuO<sub>2</sub>/Ti anode, Desalination 155 (2003) 49–57.
- [23] W. Simka, J. Piotrowski, G. Nawrat, Influence of anode material on electrochemical decomposition of urea, Electrochim. Acta 52 (2007) 5696–5703.
- [24] Y. Samet, L. Agengui, R. Abdelhédi, Anodic oxidation of chlorpyrifos in aqueous solution at lead dioxide electrodes, J. Electroanal. Chem. 650 (2010) 152–158.
- [25] L.S. Andrade, T.T. Tasso, D.L. Silva, R.C. Rocha-Filho, N. Bocchi, S.R. Biaggio, On the performances of lead dioxide and borondoped diamond electrodes in the anodic oxidation of simulated wastewater containing the Reactive Orange 16 dye, Electrochim. Acta 54 (2009) 2024–2030.
- [26] M. Hamza, S. Ammar, R. Abdelhédi, Electrochemical oxidation of 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene in aqueous solutions at gold oxide and lead dioxide electrodes, Electrochim. Acta 56 (2011) 3785–3789.
- [27] L.S. Andrade, L.M. Ruotolo, R.C. Rocha-Filho, N. Bocchi, S.R. Biaggio, J. Iniesta, V. García-Garcia, V. Montiel, On the performance of Fe and Fe, F doped Ti–Pt/PbO2 electrodes in the electrooxidation of the Blue Reactive 19 dye in simulated textile wastewater, Chemosphere 66 (2007) 2035–2043.
- [28] L.S. Andrade, R.C. Rocha-Filho, N. Bocchi, S.R. Biaggio, J. Iniesta, V. Garcı´a-Garcia, V. Montiel, Degradation of phenol using Co- and Co, F-doped PbO2 anodes in electrochemical filter-press cells, J. Hazard. Mater. 153 (2008) 252–260.
- [29] E. Weiss, K. Groenen-Serrano, A. Savall, A comparison of electrochemical degradation of phenol on boron doped diamond and lead dioxide anodes, J. Appl. Electrochem. 38 (2008) 329–337.
- [30] N. Mohana, N. Balasubramanian, C. Ahmed Basha, Electrochemical oxidation of textile wastewater and its reuse, J. Hazard. Mater. 147 (2007) 644–651.
- [31] T. Bechtold, A. Turcanu, W. Schrott, Electrochemical decolourisation of dispersed indigo on boron-doped diamond anodes, Diamond Relat. Mater. 15 (2006) 1513–1519.
- [32] S.K. Trabelsi, N.B. Tahar, B. Trabelsi, R. Abdelhedi, Electrochemical oxidation of ferulic acid in aqueous solutions at gold oxide and lead dioxide electrodes, J. Appl. Electrochem. 35 (2005) 967–973.
- [33] A.J. Terezo, E.C. Pereira, Preparation and characterisation of Ti/RuO<sub>2</sub> anodes obtained by sol–gel and conventional routes, Mater. Lett. 53 (2002) 339–345.