

57 (2016) 13887–13896 June



Comparison of the chemical precipitation, UV/H₂O₂ and Fenton processes to optimize removal of chronic toxicity from kraft mill effluents

Mayra Jarpa^a, Oscar Rozas^{b,f}, Claudio Salazar^{c,f}, Carolina Baeza^a, José L. Campos^{d,e}, Héctor D. Mansilla^f, Gladys Vidal^{a,*}

^aFaculty of Environmental Science & EULA-Chile Centre, Engineering and Environmental Biotechnology Group, University of Concepción, P.O. Box 160-C, Concepción, Chile, Tel. +56 41 2661033; email: mayjarpa@gmail.com (M. Jarpa), Tel. +56 41 2204068; email: anabaeza@udec.cl (C. Baeza), Tel. +56 41 2204067; email: glvidal@udec.cl (G. Vidal) ^bFaculty of Environmental Science & EULA-Chile Centre, University of Concepción, P.O. Box 160-C, Concepción, Chile, Tel. +56 41 2204073; email: orozas@udec.cl ^cFaculty of Chemical Sciences, Department of Analytical and Inorganic Chemistry, University of Concepción, P.O. Box 160-C, Concepción, Chile, Tel. +56 41 2661431; email: clsalazarn@udec.cl ^dDepartment of Chemical Engineering, School of Engineering, University of Santiago de Compostela, E-15782, Santiago de

"Department of Chemical Engineering, School of Engineering, University of Santiago de Compostela, E-15782, Santiago de Compostela, Spain, Tel. +34881816701; email: joseluis.campos@usc.es

^eFaculty of Engineering and Sciences, University Adolfo Ibáñez, Viña del Mar, Chile, Tel. +56 2 23313716; email: jluis.campos@uai.cl

^fFaculty of Chemical Sciences, Department of Organic Chemistry, University of Concepción, P.O. Box 160-C. Concepción, Chile, Tel. +56 41 2204600; email: hmansill@udec.cl (H.D. Mansilla)

Received 9 December 2014; Accepted 6 June 2015

ABSTRACT

Secondary Treatment Effluents (STE) from Kraft mill effluents are discharged into aquatic ecosystems with high color and chronic toxicity contents owing to the recalcitrance of compounds in the effluents. The goal of the study was to evaluate the chemical precipitation, UV/H_2O_2 , and the Fenton processes (H_2O_2/Fe^{2+}) for chemical oxygen demand (COD) and for removing chronic toxicity from STE. A circumscribed central composite model and a response surface methodology were used to evaluate the effects of variables such as Al₂(SO₄)₃, Fe(II), and H₂O₂ concentration and pH range for each treatment. The optimal conditions were 984.2 mg Al₂(SO₄)₃/L and pH 5.2 for chemical precipitation; 51.4 mM H₂O₂ and pH 5.1 for UV/H2O2; and 5.5 mM Fe(II): 25 mM H2O2 concentration and pH 2.8 for H₂O₂/Fe²⁺. Under such optimal conditions, COD removal was 84.7, 80.0, and 93.6%, with reaction times of 57, 75, and 10 min for the chemical precipitation, UV/H2O2, and $\mathrm{H_2O_2/Fe^{2+}}$ methods, respectively. This study recorded chronic toxicity in STE and sludge formed during chemical precipitation with maximum reductions in percentages of Allometric Growth Rate (AGR) of 11.5 for STE without dilution (100%, p < 0.05). For chemical precipitation sludge, the maximum reduction of AGR was 3.4% for a dilution of 75%. We concluded that all the assessed treatments effectively removed chronic toxicity in the treated effluents.

Keywords: AOPs; COD; Chemical precipitation; Chronic toxicity; Kraft mill effluents

^{*}Corresponding author.

^{1944-3994/1944-3986 © 2015} Balaban Desalination Publications. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Kraft mill effluents require primary treatment to remove suspended solids (80-90%) and secondary treatment for organic matter measured as biological oxygen demand, (BOD₅) and COD (chemical oxygen demand). Secondary treatment removes BOD₅ and COD in the ranges of 73–99% and 30–50%, respectively [1–3]. However, some refractory compounds have been found even after secondary treatment (aerated lagoon, activated sludge). For example, phytosterols have been found in concentrations ranging between 0.01 and 3.4 mg/L [4]. Xavier et al. [5] reported phytosterols removal of between 41 and 99% after activated sludge treatment, although the removal mechanism is not well understood. Phytosterols can be absorbed by sludge due to hydrophobic affinity (log $K_{ow} > 6$) and has been identified as a potential source of chronic toxicity expressed as estrogenic activity in exposed organisms [6,7]. The estrogenic effects of these effluents have been evaluated in invertebrates, such as Daphnia magna, and effects on growth, reproduction and development of sex characteristics have been found [7,8], although Chamorro et al. [4] reported removing between 80 and 83% of estrogenic activity after Moving Bed Biofilm Reactor (MBBR) treatment.

In order to remove potential sources of chronic toxicity from STE, post-treatment is needed. Currently, chemical precipitation treatment based on the addition of coagulants is mainly used in the industry, accounting for 90-96% color removal. However after chemical precipitation, there are several refractory compounds remaining in solution [9]. Advanced Oxidation Processes (AOPs) could be used as an alternative tertiary treatment [10]. In the last decade, AOPs have been used as efficient methods for transforming pollutants, based on the in situ generation of hydroxyl radicals ('HO) for mineralization of organic and recalcitrant compounds due to their high reduction potential of 2.8 V [11,12]. Previous studies of treating Kraft mill effluents with AOPs have mainly been focused on color removal (82-85%) and organic matter removal, with COD removal of over 60% and between 20 and 94% for TOC (Total Organic Carbon) removal using different AOPs: UV/H_2O_2 , the Fenton process (H_2O_2/Fe^{2+}), $UV/H_2O_2/$ Fe^{2+} , and O₃, UV/TiO₂ [13–15]. Although AOPs have proven highly effective, there have been few studies assessing the removal of chronic toxicity with AOP technologies. Studies have found effects on the reproduction of Ceriodaphnia dubia, with decrease in concentration from 19.2 to 6 UTc (UTc: concentration that could inhibit 25% of reproduction).

Among the AOPs, H_2O_2/Fe^{2+} (Fenton reaction) and UV/H_2O_2 are alternatives for tertiary treatment of Kraft mill effluents by removing potential sources of chronic toxicity. The H₂O₂/Fe²⁺ system produces •HO by interaction of H₂O₂ with ferrous salts (Eq. (1)). Additionally, Fe(III) can react with H₂O₂ in Fenton-like reactions (Eqs. (2)-(4)) regenerating Fe(II). The advantage of this system is the low cost of reagents and the low energy demand. On the other hand, the UV/H₂O₂ system (Eq. (5)) generates 'HO by splitting hydrogen peroxide by UV radiation with concomitant energy consumption [14,16]. The main drawback of the Fenton reaction is the formation of iron sludge that ultimately requires disposal, while the UV/H_2O_2 system can be adversely affected by the high levels of turbidity found in Kraft mill effluents [12,17].

$$Fe(II) + H_2O_2 \rightarrow Fe(III) + HO^{-} HO$$
(1)

$$Fe(III) + H_2 O_2 \rightarrow FeOOH^2 + H^+$$
(2)

$$Fe - OOH_2^+ \rightarrow HO_2^{\cdot} + Fe(II)$$
 (3)

$$Fe(III) + HO_2 \rightarrow Fe(II) + O_2 + H^+$$
(4)

$$H_2O_2 + hv \to 2 HO$$
 (5)

Consequently, the goal of this work was to optimize the reaction conditions of three treatments (chemical precipitation treatment, UV/H_2O_2 , and H_2O_2/Fe^{2+}) to remove COD and chronic toxicity in Kraft mill effluents. Optimization was obtained with a Circumscribed Central Composite (CCC) model.

2. Experimental

2.1. Effluent

The Kraft mill effluents used in this study were obtained after secondary treatment by a local Kraft mill industry that processes *Pinus radiata* with an Elementary Chlorine Free bleaching system. The effluent was stored in darkness at $4^{\circ}C \pm 1$ [18]. The following parameters of the effluent were characterized: COD, BOD₅ (biological oxygen demand), volatile suspended solids (VSS), total nitrogen (TN), total phosphorus (TP), TOC, Total phenolic compounds (UV₂₁₅), aromatics compounds (UV₂₅₄), lignin derivates (UV₂₇₂, UV₂₈₀), color (VIS₄₄₀) and chronic toxicity.

2.2. Tertiary treatments

2.2.1. Chemical precipitation

Chemical precipitation was determined in a Test Jar (VELP ScientificaFC4S) with 1 L sample and $Al_2(SO_4)_3$ as a coagulant at 20 ± 1.1 °C. The experimental pH ranged from 5.0 to 6.5. The samples were stirred for 4 min at 200 rpm followed by coagulation for 8 min at 45 rpm and flocculation for 13 min at 15 rpm. The samples were allowed to settle for 30 min. The aqueous phase and solid phase were stored at 4 °C ± 1 for subsequent physicochemical analysis and toxicity tests.

2.2.2. UV/H_2O_2

Direct irradiation was applied in Petri dishes (0.2 L) with 4×8 W lamps (UVC, λ 254 nm). The radiation energy was 500 μ W/cm² (measured with a UVX radiometer with a UV dosage of 200 kW h/m³, Shortwave Meter UVP J—225) at a distance of 0.2 m from the lamp above the solution at 25.4 ± 1.7° C, adding a H₂O₂ concentration with constant stirring. The experimental pH ranged from 3.0 to 7.0 and 75 min of reaction time.

2.2.3. H_2O_2/Fe^{2+}

This treatment was performed in Petri dishes (0.2 L) using $FeSO_4 \cdot 7H_2O$ and H_2O_2 at a temperature of 19.4 ± 1.1 °C with constant stirring and protected from light. The experimental pH was 2.8, with a reaction time of 10 min.

In each experiment, pH was adjusted with NaOH (1.0 N) or HCl (1.0 N) solutions.

2.3. Optimization and response surface modeling

A CCC model (2^2) was used to optimize reaction conditions for the chemical precipitation, UV/H₂O₂, and H₂O₂/Fe²⁺ treatments, resulting in a polynomial equation and a three-dimensional representation with a response factor (*Y*) for COD removal. Two variables were studied for each treatment, each at two levels (high and low, or +1 and -1) and the interaction between the variables was assessed. Eleven experiments were conducted including three central points (0) to give the model statistical consistency and star point (+1.4, -1.4). Different variables were considered for each treatment: (a) Al₂(SO₄)₃ concentration and pH for chemical precipitation; (b) H₂O₂ concentration for H₂O₂/Fe²⁺. Mode 7.0TM software was used for data analysis and determination of the polynomial response surfaces and optimizations. Statistical validity for each treatment was determined by ANOVA with a confidence level of 95% [16].

2.4. Analytical determinations

COD, BOD₅, VSS, TSS, TN, and TP were measured according to Standard Methods [19]. TOC was determined by ANOVA kit spectrophotometer. Aromatic compounds (UV₂₅₄), lignin derivatives (UV₂₇₂, UV₂₈₀), and color (VIS₄₄₀) were determined by spectrophotometer Model Spectronic Unicam UV–visible Genesys TM Series 10 [1]. Total phenolic compounds (UV₂₁₅) concentration was measured by UV absorbance in a 1-cm quartz cell at 215 nm, pH 8.0 (0.2 M KH₂PO₄ buffer) and transformed into concentrations using a calibration curve with phenol as a standard solution. The pH level was determined with a selective electrode (Model inoLab[®] Level1/pH). Samples were membrane filtered through 0.45- μ m pore size filters.

2.5. Chronic toxicity

Chronic toxicity was determined in Kraft mill effluents before and after tertiary treatments with female D. Magna (neonates < 24 h) following standard procedures for chronic toxicity bioassays [20]. Stock cultures and bioassays were maintained at 20.0 ± 1.1 °C with 16 h light: 8 h dark-photoperiods. The Daphnids were fed three times weekly with unicellular green algae Selenastrum capricornatum and supplemented with a baker's yeast suspension, trout chow, and alfalfa with an equivalent carbon content of 7.2 mg C/L on Mondays and Wednesdays, and 10.8 mg C/L on Fridays. To minimize test organism mortality, the ranges of dilution of Kraft mill effluents for chronic toxicity studies were established after acute toxicity testing (data not shown). Each bioassay of chronic toxicity consisted of 10 replicates of 70 mL (containing one organism) was established for each dilution, including control. The dilutions used for STE were 25, 50, and 100% (diluted with reconstituted water), while for Kraft mill effluent after tertiary treatment the dilutions were 12.5, 25, 50, and 75% (diluted with reconstituted water). Only sludge from chemical precipitation was used in bioassays [20,21]. Chronic toxicity was assessed by reproduction (neonate production) and variations in the proportions of body length to width, expressed as the percentage of the Allometric Growth Ratio (% AGR), calculated according to López et al. [7], as shown in Eq. (6).

13890

$$AGR(\%) = \left[\left(\frac{Body \ Length}{Body \ Width} \right) - 1 \right] \times 100$$
(6)

Data obtained were processed statistically by checking the normality (χ^2 test) and homogeneity of variances (Bartlett's test). The significance of differences in reproductive parameters and allometric growth was determined by an ANOVA, followed by Tukey's test, all with the TOXSTAT statistical package [20].

3. Results and discussion

Table 1 shows the physicochemical characterization of STE. The pH values ranged from 6.9 to 7.6. The average values for COD, TOC, and BOD₅ were 268.9, 116.2, and 30.0 mg/L, respectively. The calculated biodegradability index (BOD₅/COD ratio) was low, between 0.09 and 0.13, which indicates the presence of recalcitrant organic matter in this kind of effluent [22,23]. To clarify which pollutant groups are present in STE, the specific compounds were measured by a spectrophotometer in the UV-Vis region. However, some compounds, especially saturated hydrocarbons and carbohydrates, either do not absorb or only absorb below 200 nm, and thus cannot be detected by UV spectrophotometry [2]. Total phenolic compounds concentrations were between 25.6 and 35.1 mg/L, color 2.6 (AU), concentration of 0.2-mg TSS/L and lignin derivatives (UV₂₇₂ and UV₂₈₀) and aromatic compounds (UV_{254}). The values recorded in this study are consistent with other research [3,24,25]. Furthermore, the STE presented low nutrient concentrations, corresponding to 0.5 mg/L for TP, while TN concentration was below the detection limit (0.50 mg/L). The presence of nutrient is generally related to their addition during the secondary treatment to ensure performance of micro-organisms in removing BOD₅ [24].

UV/H₂O₂ and H₂O₂/Fe²⁺ treatments were applied to remove COD to determine the minimum reaction time needed for maximum COD removal prior to the factorial experimental design (Fig. 1). The ranges used in this study for COD removal were based on previous investigations with Kraft mill effluents [14,22,26]. The experiments for UV/H₂O₂ were conducted at pH 3 and 35 mM H₂O₂, reaching a maximum at 75 min with 50.7 and 78.7% COD removed at pH 3 and 7, respectively. The H₂O₂/Fe²⁺ experiments were conducted at pH 2.8 at a concentration of 4.2 mM Fe(II) and 42.5 mM H₂O₂ (1: 10), reaching a maximum at 10 min with 74.4% COD removed. Chemical precipitation required 57 min [27].

The CCC model used to optimize the reaction conditions for chemical the precipitation, UV/H_2O_2 , and H_2O_2/Fe^{2+} methods are described in Table 2. The variables chosen for chemical precipitation had ranges of 500–1,500 mg Al₂(SO₄)₃/L and pH 5.0–6.5. The range of variables for the UV/H_2O_2 and H_2O_2/Fe^{2+} treatments were based on the literature on the oxidation of Kraft mill effluents, and were 10.5-60 mM H_2O_2 and pH 3.0-7.0 for UV/ H_2O_2 and 1.5-8.0 mM Fe (II) and 7.0–40.0 mM H_2O_2 for H_2O_2/Fe^{2+} . Columns 1 and 2 (chemical precipitation), 5 and 6 (UV/H₂O₂), and 9 and 10 (H_2O_2/Fe^{2+}) present the real and codified values (in parenthesis) for the studied variables. Columns 4, 8, and 12 indicate the factor response (COD removal) for chemical precipitation (Y_{CHP}), UV/H₂O₂ (Y_{H}), and H₂O₂/Fe²⁺ (Y_{FP}), respectively. Eqs. (6)-(8) present the polynomials describing the

Table 1

Physicochemical characterization of secondary treatment outlet effluent (STE)

Unit	Range	Average	
	6.9–7.6	7.2 ± 0.5	
mg/L	267.7-270.1	268.9 ± 1.7	
mg/L	25.7-34.2	30.0 ± 6.0	
mg/L	112.5-120.0	116.2 ± 5.2	
mg/L	25.6-35.1	30.3 ± 6.6	
abs	4.5	4.5 ± 0.0	
abs	2.3—2.6	2.4 ± 0.2	
abs	2.1—2.3	2.2 ± 0.1	
abs	2.6	2.6 ± 0.0	
mg/L	LDT	LDT	
mg/L	0.5	0.5 ± 0.0	
mg/L	0.2—0.3	0.2 ± 0.0	
	Unit mg/L mg/L mg/L abs abs abs abs abs abs mg/L mg/L mg/L	Unit Range 6.9–7.6 6.9–7.6 mg/L 267.7–270.1 mg/L 25.7–34.2 mg/L 112.5–120.0 mg/L 25.6–35.1 abs 4.5 abs 2.3—2.6 abs 2.1—2.3 abs 2.6 mg/L LDT mg/L 0.5 mg/L 0.2—0.3	

LDT: Low detection time (<0.5).



Fig. 1. COD removal kinetics in STE.UV/H₂O₂ experiment 35 mM H₂O₂: pH 7 (**■**) and pH 3 (**□**). $T = 25.4 \pm 1.7$ °C (UV dosage of 500 µW cm⁻²). H₂O₂/Fe²⁺experiment 4.2 mM Fe (II): 42.5 mM H₂O₂ (**●**). pH 2.8, $T = 19.4 \pm 1.1$ °C.

response factor calculated by Mode 7.0TM software. Each term in the polynomial consists of a coefficient that represents the variable's weight, a codified value of the variable, and the relative error associated with the coefficient. In the case that the relative error is greater than the coefficient, this term is not represented in the polynomial due to the lack of statistical consistency [28]. In the case of chemical precipitation, pH is the most important variable for removing COD, while Al₂(SO₄)₃ concentration is the least significant. For the UV/H₂O₂ and H₂O₂/Fe²⁺ systems, the most important variables are H₂O₂ and Fe(II) concentrations, respectively. Fig. 2 shows a contour diagram that represents the polynomials.

The optimal variables for maximum COD removal in the treatments were: (a) between 918.9 and 1049.4 mg of $Al_2(SO_4)_3/L$ and pH 5.0–5.3 for chemical precipitation, achieving 76.3% COD removal (Fig. 2(a)) and (b) between 48.3 and 54.5 mM H_2O_2 and pH 4.6–5.6 for UV/ H_2O_2 , achieving 74.0% COD

Table 2 Circumscribed central composite (2^2) for chemical precipitation, UV/H₂O₂ and H₂O₂/Fe²⁺

	Chemical precipitation			UV/H ₂ O ₂			$H_2O_2/2$	H_2O_2/Fe^{2+}				
Column	1	2	3	4	5	6	7 Үн	8 Υ ₁₁	9	10	11	12
Run	Al ₂ (SO ₄) ₃ mg/L	pН	Y _{CHP} calc. %	Υ _{CHP} exp. %	H ₂ O ₂ mM	pН	calc. %	exp. %	Fe(II) mM	H ₂ O ₂ mM	Y _{FP} calc. %	Y _{FP} exp. %
1	500(-1)	5.0 (-1)	62.6	56.8	10.5 (-1)	3.0 (-1)	34.4	35.7	1.5 (-1)	7.0 (-1)	43.0	46.1
2	1,500(+1)	5.0 (-1)	55.9	60.9	60.0 (+1)	3.0 (-1)	72.3	71.2	8.0 (+1)	7.0 (-1)	81.5	82.1
3	500(-1)	6.5 (+1)	25.9	23.8	10.5 (-1)	7.0 (+1)	55.1	56.5	1.5 (-1)	40.0 (+1)	52.7	50.4
4	1,500(+1)	6.5 (+1)	53.0	61.7	60.0 (+1)	7.0 (+1)	68.7	67.7	8.0 (+1)	40.0 (+1)	86.0	81.2
5	293(-1.4)	5.8(0)	33.0	39.3	0.3 (-1.4)	5.0(0)	32.5	30.7	0.2 (-1.4)	23.5 (0)	32.2	31.3
6	1,707 (+1.4)	5.8(0)	47.4	38.3	70.2 (+1.4)	5.0(0)	68.9	70.4	9.3 (+1.4)	23.5 (0)	82.9	85.6
7	1,000(0)	4.7 (-1.4)	72.5	73.7	35.3 (0)	2.2 (-1.4)	58.6	58.5	4.8(0)	0.2 (-1.4)	69.0	66.1
8	1,000(0)	6.8 (+1.4)	44.4	40.4	35.3 (0)	7.8 (+1.4)	70.6	70.4	4.8(0)	46.8 (+1.4)	79.1	83.9
9	1,000(0)	5.8(0)	73.2	76.8	35.3 (0)	5.0(0)	69.8	68.7	4.8(0)	23.5 (0)	82.5	80.8
10	1,000(0)	5.8(0)	73.2	73.1	35.3 (0)	5.0(0)	69.8	71.8	4.8(0)	23.5 (0)	82.5	81.7
11	1,000(0)	5.8(0)	73.2	69.8	35.3 (0)	5.0(0)	69.8	68.8	4.8(0)	23.5 (0)	82.5	85.1

Response factor: Y is defined as COD removal for Chemical precipitation (Y_{CHP}), UV/H₂O₂ (Y_{H}) and H₂O₂/Fe²⁺ (Y_{FP}) after 57, 75 and 10 min, respectively. Y calc.: Y calculated for software; Y exp.: Y experimental.



Fig. 2. Contour diagram of response surface models for (a) chemical precipitation, (b) UV/H_2O_2 and (c) H_2O_2/Fe^{2+} .

removal (Fig. 2(b)) and (c) between 10.9 and 39.7 mM H_2O_2 and 4.9–7.0 mM Fe(II) for H_2O_2/Fe^{2+} , achieving 84.6% COD removal (Fig. 2(c)). Rodrigues et al. [22] noted that the variable pH plays an important role in treating Kraft mill effluents by chemical precipitation to remove TSS, turbidity, and color. As mentioned above, Stephenson and Duff [29] determined pH ranges for different coagulants: 4.0–6.5 for FeCl₃, over 7.4 for FeSO₄, 5.0–6.0 for AlCl₃ and between 5.5 and 6.8 for Al₂(SO₄)₃, although these values depend on the characteristics of the effluent

to be treated. For UV/H₂O₂, Jamil et al. [12] indicated that 'HO generated from the direct photolysis of H₂O₂ is the main species responsible for COD removal, but noted that above 147-mM H₂O₂, it can combine with hydrogen peroxide or react with these radicals, thus inhibiting COD removal. Excess H₂O₂ or Fe(II) may be detrimental since these species can react with intermediaries like 'HO, which is responsible for the direct oxidation of organic matter [16,30]. However, according to Lucas et al. [23], the presence of Fe(II) is the main factor slowing down the H₂O₂/ Fe²⁺ process because the regeneration of Fe(II) from Fe(III) is slower that the consumption of ferrous iron [17]. Consequently, the typical range for H₂O₂/Fe²⁺ reactants is about 1 part Fe(II) per 5–25 parts H₂O₂.

$$\begin{split} Y_{\text{CHP}} &= 73.2(\pm 4.5) + 5.1 [\text{Al}_2(\text{SO}_4)_3](\pm 2.7) \\ &- 9.9 [\text{pH}](\pm 2.7) - 16.4 [\text{Al}_2(\text{SO}_4)_3]^2(\pm 3.3) \\ &- 7.3 [\text{pH}]^2(\pm 3.3) + 8.4 [\text{Al}_2(\text{SO}_4)_3] [\text{pH}](\pm 3.8) \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} Y_{\rm H} &= 69.7(\pm 1.1) + 12.8[{\rm H_2O_2}](\pm 0.6) + 4.2[{\rm pH}](\pm 0.6) \\ &- 9.5[{\rm H_2O_2}]^2(\pm 0.7) - 2.5[{\rm pH}]^2(\pm 0.7) \\ &- 6.1[{\rm H_2O_2}][{\rm pH}](\pm 0.9) \end{split}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{FP} &= 82.5(\pm 2.4) + 17.9[Fe(II)](\pm 1.4) + 3.5[H_2O_2](\pm 1.4) \\ &- 12.4[Fe(II)]^2(\pm 1.7) - 4.2[H_2O_2]^2(\pm 1.7) \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

The mid-points of the optimal variables obtained with the contour diagram of the response surface were selected to analyze the kinetics of COD removal. Fig. 3 depicts the COD removal profile obtained with the selected optimal reaction variables for UV/H2O2 and H_2O_2/Fe^{2+} . In these experiments, the UV/ H_2O_2 system reaches a maximum COD removal of 80% after reaction time of 75 min,51.4-mM H₂O₂ concentration and pH 5.1. For the Fenton reaction (H_2O_2/Fe^{2+}) , maximum COD removal was 93.6% after a reaction time of 10 min using 5.5-mM Fe(II) and 25-mM H₂O₂. In the case of chemical precipitation, after 57 min, 84.7% of COD was removed using 984.2 mg Al₂(SO₄)₃/L and pH 5.2. These results are summarized in Table 3. Srivastava et al. [31] and Garg et al. [32] determined COD removal between 63 and 74% for chemical precipitation using $Al_2(SO_4)_3$ at concentrations above 2 g/L, pH 5.0, with a reaction time of 120 min. Jamil et al. [12] reported COD removal of over 50% by UV/H2O2 under conditions of pH 3.0 and 147.1 mM of H₂O₂ at 75 min [12]. While for H_2O_2/Fe^{2+} , COD removal is



Fig. 3. COD removal with the optimal variables obtained with the Contour diagram of response surface, (\blacksquare) UV/H₂O₂ system, conditions: 51.4-mM H₂O₂: pH 5.1; (\bullet) H₂O₂/Fe²⁺ system, conditions 5.5-mM Fe(II): 25-mM H₂O₂, pH 2.8.

between 55 and 90% with 0.9–13.6 mM Fe(II) and 29.4 and 58.8-mM H_2O_2 at pH 3 [12,17]. In summary, in the present work we have improved the removal of COD using fewer reagents and shorter treatment time, thus reducing the cost of treatment.

As shown in Fig. 4, the two AOP methods reached similar levels of mineralization, with TOC/TOC_o ratios of almost 80%, but with very different reaction times; 10 min for H_2O_2/Fe^{2+} and 75 min for UV/H_2O_2 . These results could be because Fe(II) consumption takes only a few minutes, allowing most of the TOC to mineralize rapidly [28]. Garcia-Segura and Brillas [33] noted that the effectiveness of AOPs in mineralizing organic matter is limited by the formation of refractory carboxylic acids, such as oxalic acid. Oxalic acid is the most common byproduct of aromatic compounds in Kraft mill effluents. An Average Oxidation State (AOS) near 2.4 is characteristic of short-chain carboxylic acids, achieving a higher level of mineralization [34]. In this study, an AOS value of 1.2 was obtained by the H₂O₂/Fe²⁺ system (calculated with



Fig. 4. TOC mineralization by UV/H_2O_2 (\blacksquare) and H_2O_2/Fe^{2+} (\bullet).



Fig. 5. Biodegradability index (BOD₅/COD) evolution in chemical precipitation (\blacktriangle), UV/H₂O₂ (\blacksquare) and H₂O₂/Fe²⁺ (\bigcirc).

 Table 3

 Optimized values for variables and maximum COD removal for each treatment

-1						
Variables	Unit	Chemical precipitation	UV/H ₂ O ₂	H_2O_2/Fe^{2+}		
pН	_	5.2	5.1	_		
$Al_2(SO_4)_3$	mg/L	984.2	_	_		
H2O2	nM	_	51.4	25		
Fe(II)	nM	_	_	5.5		
COD	%	84.7	80.0	93.6		



Fig. 6. Evaluation of chronic toxicity in different effluents by D. magna.

Eq. (9)), indicating incomplete oxidation and probably obtaining aldehyde or keton intermediates.

Previous studies with UV/H₂O₂ have determined TOC removal rates between 8.0 and 45%, with concentrations of H₂O₂ between 25 and 50 mM and a pH of 3–11 [14,26]. In the case of H_2O_2/Fe^{2+} , TOC removal has been reported to range from 20 to 40%, with concentrations between 147.1 mM H₂O₂-294.1 mM H₂O₂, both at 1.8 mM Fe(II) and pH 3.0 [28], while Catalkaya and Kargi [14] registered TOC removal between 63.4 and 84.6%, with 5-mM Fe(II) and 50-mM H₂O₂and a pH of 3.0-5.0. This study shows that AOPs are more efficient (in terms of TOC removal) than chemical precipitation. Chemical precipitation obtained TOC removal of 43.6%. Fig. 5 shows the biodegradability index BOD_5/COD). When the effluent was submitted to H_2O_2/Fe^{2+} , the BOD₅/COD index rapidly increased to 0.49, indicating that recalcitrant compounds in the COD decreased. In contrast, with the chemical precipitation and UV/H₂O₂ processes, the BOD₅/COD index was more or less unchanged after an hour of treatment. With AOPs, BOD₅/COD removal rates were 22.65 and 46.82% for the UV/H_2O_2 and H_2O_2/Fe^{2+} processes, respectively. In conclusion, H_2O_2/Fe^{2+} seems to be the most efficient treatment, because recalcitrant compounds are transformed into biodegradable compounds. Furthermore, Eskelinen et al. [15] and Rodrigues et al. [22] obtained BOD₅/COD ratios between 0.14 and 0.22 after chemical precipitation, whereas the UV/H_2O_2 and photocatalysis processes resulted in ratios of 0.11 and between 0.63 and 0.71, respectively, confirming the levels of refractory organic matter removal by AOPs.

$$AOS = \frac{4(TOC - COD)}{TOC}$$
(10)

Although AOPs are highly effective, there have been few studies of chronic toxicity removal by these technologies. One exception is the work of Eskelinen et al. [15], who obtained phytosterol removal of over 90%, specifically for β -sitosterol and stigmastanol with 5-g CaO/L and pH 12. AOPs are a promising alternative for mineralizing recalcitrant compounds due to the high potential for 'HO reduction [11,12]. Fig. 6 shows chronic toxicity in D. magna obtained in this study for STE before and after tertiary treatment. López et al. [7] determined the presence of chronic toxicity by variations in length-to-width body proportions expressed as reductions in the AGR. Chronic toxicity was observed in STE with AGR reductions of between 6.1 and 11.5%, presenting significant differences with respect to the control only for undiluted STE (100%, p < 0.05). Furthermore, chemical precipitation sludge shows AGR reductions of 0.6 and 3.4% for dilutions of 50 and 75%. Nevertheless, the UV/H_2O_2 and H_2O_2/Fe^{2+} treatments did not register % AGR reductions and therefore are efficient in removing chronic toxicity.

López et al. [7] studied different combinations of STE (*P. radiata*, mixture *P. radiata* 50: 50% *Eucalyptus globulus*) containing added phytosterols (β -sitosterol, stigmasterol), and obtained AGR reductions of 17 and 27% at days 14 and 21, respectively. Olmstead and LeBlanc [8] found increases of 20 and 2% in body width relative to body length when *D. magna* were exposed to STE of 10 and 20%, respectively. These results may be associated with recalcitrant compounds remaining in the STE, such as phytosterols, because of which chronic toxicity has been found in effluent discharge in concentrations between 0.16 and 0.33 mg/L for β -sitosterol, 0.02–0.07 mg/L for stigmasterol, and 0.06 mg/L for campesterol [4,5]. These effluents are a potential source of chronic toxicity in aquatic

ecosystems because they are associated with particles or dissolved organic matter and sediment [35,36].

4. Conclusions

The chemical precipitation, UV/H₂O₂, and H₂O₂/Fe²⁺ methods were tested under optimal conditions for the CCC model and found to be efficient in removing COD, achieving 84.7, 80.0, and 93.6% removal with reaction times of 57, 75, and 10 min, respectively. Further, these treatments were able to remove chronic toxicity from the STE, although chronic toxicity was recorded in both treated STE and sludge formed during chemical precipitation, with maximum AGR reductions of 11.5% for undiluted STE (100%, p < 0.05) and reductions of 3.4% for 75% diluted STE.

Acknowledgements

This work was partially supported by Fondecyt Grant No (1120664) and a Conicyt AT 24100082 and CONICYT/FONDAP/15130015. The authors also thank the Doctoral Network REDOC.CTA, MINEDUC Grant (UCO1202) of the University of Concepcion.

References

- S. Chamorro, C. Xavier, G. Vidal, Behavior of aromatic compounds contained in Kraft mill effluents treated by an aerated lagoon, Biotechnol. Progr. 21 (2005) 1567–1571.
- [2] C.A. Villamar, M. Jarpa, J. Decap, G. Vidal, Aerobic moving bed bioreactor performance: a comparative study of removal efficiencies of Kraft mill effluents from *Pinus radiata* and *Eucalyptus globulus* as raw material, Water Sci. Technol. 59 (2009) 507–514.
- [3] M. Jarpa, G. Pozo, R. Baeza, M. Martínez, G. Vidal, Polyhydroxyalkanoate biosynthesis from paper mill wastewater treated by a moving bed biofilm reactor, J. Environ. Sci. Health., Part A 47 (2012) 1–8.
- [4] S. Chamorro, G. Pozo, M. Jarpa, V. Hernandez, J. Becerra, G. Vidal, Monitoring endocrine activity in Kraft mill effluent treated by aerobic moving bed bioreactor system, Water Sci. Technol. 62 (2010) 154–161.
- [5] C. Xavier, A. Mosquera-Corral, J. Becerra, V. Hernández, G. Vidal, Activated sludge versus aerated lagoon treatment of Kraft mill effluents containing β-sitosterol and stigmasterol, J. Environ. Sci. Health., Part A 44 (2009) 327–335.
- [6] C. Xavier, S. Chamorro, G. Vidal, Chronic effects of Kraft mill effluents and endocrine active chemicals on *Daphnia magna*, Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 75 (2005) 670–676.
- [7] D. López, S. Chamorro, J. Silva, E. Bay-Schmith, G. Vidal, Chronic effects of *Pinus radiata* and *Eucalyp*tus globulus Kraft mill effluents and phytosterols

on Daphnia magna, Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 87 (2011) 633–637.

- [8] A. Olmstead, G. LeBlanc, Effects of endocrine-active chemicals on the development of sex characteristics of *Daphnia magna*, Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 19 (2000) 2107–2113.
- [9] W. De los Santos Ramos, T. Poznyak, I. Chairez, I. Córdova R., Remediation of lignin and its derivatives from pulp and paper industry wastewater by the combination of chemical precipitation and ozonation, J. Hazard. Mater. 169 (2009) 428–434.
- [10] N. Merayo, D. Hermosilla, L. Blanco, L. Cortijo, A. Blanco, Assessing the application of advanced oxidation processes, and their combination with biological treatment, to effluents from pulp and paper industry, J. Hazard. Mater. 262 (2013) 420–427.
- [11] I. Gültekin, N. Ince, Synthetic endocrine disruptors in the environment and water remediation by advanced oxidation processes, J. Environ. Manage. 85 (2007) 816–832.
- [12] T. Jamil, M. Ghaly, I. El-Seesy, E. Souaya, R.A. Nasr, A comparative study among different photochemical oxidation processes to enhance the biodegradability of paper mill wastewater, J. Hazard. Mater. 185 (2011) 353–358.
- [13] V. Fontanier, V. Farines, J. Albet, S. Baig, J. Molinier, Study of catalyzed ozonation for advanced treatment of pulp and paper mill effluents, Water Res. 40 (2006) 303–310.
- [14] E. Catalkaya, F. Kargi, Color, TOC and AOX removals from pulp mill effluent by advanced oxidation processes: A comparative study, J. Hazard. Mater. 139 (2007) 244–253.
- [15] K. Eskelinen, H. Särkkä, T. Kurniawan, M. Sillanpää, Removal of recalcitrant contaminants from bleaching effluents in pulp and paper mills using ultrasonic irradiation and Fenton-like oxidation, electrochemical treatment, and/or chemical precipitation: A comparative study, Desalination 255 (2010) 179–187.
- [16] M. Pérez-Moya, M. Graells, L. del Valle, E. Centelles, H. Mansilla, Fenton and photo-Fenton degradation of 2-chlorophenol: Multivariate analysis and toxicity monitoring, Catal. Today 124 (2007) 163–171.
- [17] D. Hermosilla, N. Merayo, R. Ordoñez, A. Blanco, Optimization of conventional Fenton and ultravioletassisted oxidation processes for the treatment of reverse osmosis retentate from a paper mill, Waste Manage. 32 (2012) 1236–1243.
- [18] G. Vidal, R. Navia, L. Levet, M. Mora, M. Diez, Kraft mill anaerobic effluent color enhancement by a fixedbed adsorption system, Biotechnol. Lett. 23 (2001) 861–865.
- [19] Standard Methods for Examination of Water and Wastewater, twentieth ed., APHA-AWWA-WPCF, Washington DC, 1998, p. 1325.
- [20] USEPA, Short-term methods for estimating the chronic toxicity of effluents and receiving waters to freshwater organisms, EPA/600/4-91/002, Office of Research and Development, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, DC, 1994, p. 370.
- [21] R. Sotero-Santos, O. Rocha, J. Povinelli, Evaluation of water treatment sludges toxicity using the *Daphnia bioassay*, Water Res. 39 (2005) 3909–3917.

- [22] A. Rodrigues, M. Boroski, N. Shimada, J. Garcia, J. Nozaki, N. Hioka, Treatment of paper pulp and paper mill wastewater by coagulation–flocculation followed by heterogeneous photocatalysis, J. Photochem. Photobiol., A 194 (2008) 1–10.
- [23] M. Lucas, J. Peres, C. Amor, L. Prieto-Rodríguez, M. Maldonado, S. Malato, Tertiary treatment of pulp mill wastewater by solar photo-Fenton, J. Hazard. Mater. 225–226 (2012) 173–181.
- [24] A. Slade, R. Ellis, M. vandenHeuvel, T. Stuthridge, Nutrient minimisation in the pulp and paper industry: An overview, Water Sci. Technol. 50 (2004) 111–122.
- [25] R. Navia, L. Levet, M.L. Mora, G. Vidal, M.C. Diez, Allophanic soil adsorption system as a bleached Kraft mill aerobic effluent post-treatment, Water Air Soil Pollut. 148 (2003) 323–333.
- [26] E. Catalkaya, F. Kargi, Advanced oxidation treatment of pulp mill effluent for TOC and toxicity removals, J. Environ. Manage. 87 (2008) 396–404.
- [27] P. Westerhoff, Y. Yoon, S. Snyder, E. Wert, Fate of endocrine-disruptor, pharmaceutical, and personal care product chemicals during simulated drinking water treatment processes, Environ. Sci. Technol. 39 (2005) 6649–6663.
- [28] M. Pérez, F. Torrades, J. García-Hortal, X. Domènech, J. Peral, Removal of organic contaminants in paper pulp treatment effluents under Fenton and photo-Fenton conditions, Appl. Catal., B Environ. 36 (2002) 63–74.
- [29] R. Stephenson, S. Duff, Coagulation and precipitation of a mechanical pulping effluent—II. Toxicity removal and metal salt recovery, Water Res. 30 (1996) 793–798.

- [30] P. Gogate, A. Pandit, A review of imperative technologies for wastewater treatment I: Oxidation technologies at ambient conditions, Adv. Environ. Res. 8 (2004) 501–551.
- [31] V. Srivastava, I. Mall, I. Mishra, Treatment of pulp and paper mill wastewaters with poly aluminium chloride and bagasse fly ash, Colloids Surf., A 260 (2005) 17–28.
- [32] A. Garg, I.M. Mishra, S. Chand, Effectiveness of coagulation and acid precipitation processes for the pretreatment of diluted black liquor, J. Hazard. Mater. 180 (2010) 158–164.
- [33] S. Garcia-Segura, E. Brillas, Mineralization of the recalcitrant oxalic and oxamic acids by electrochemical advanced oxidation processes using a boron-doped diamond anode, Water Res. 45 (2011) 2975–2984.
- [34] O. Rozas, D. Contreras, M.A. Mondaca, M. Pérez-Moya, H.D. Mansilla, Experimental design of Fenton and photo-Fenton reactions for the treatment of ampicillin solutions, J. Hazard. Mater. 177 (2010) 1025–1030.
- [35] S. Chamorro, V. Hernández, V. Matamoros, C. Domínguez, J. Becerra, G. Vidal, B. Piña, J. Bayona, Chemical characterization of organic microcontaminant sources and biological effects in riverine sediments impacted by urban sewage and pulp mill discharges, Chemosphere 90 (2013) 611–619.
- [36] R. Orrego, A. Burgos, G. Moraga-Cid, B. Inzunza, M. Gonzalez, A. Valenzuela, R. Barra, J. Gavilán, Effects of pulp and paper mill discharges on caged rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*): Biomarker responses along a pollution gradient in the biobio river, Chile. Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 25 (2006) 2280 –4287.