

# Roles of polypropylene beads and photo-oxidation in hybrid water treatment process of alumina MF and photocatalyst-coated PP beads

## Na Young Kim, Jin Yong Park\*

Department of Environmental Sciences and Biotechnology, Hallym University, Gangwon 200-702, Korea, Tel. +82 33 248 2152; Fax: +82 33 256 3420; email: jypark@hallym.ac.kr

Received 23 December 2015; Accepted 9 May 2016

### ABSTRACT

The effect of titanium dioxide (TiO<sub>2</sub>) photocatalyst-coated polypropylene (PP) beads concentration on membrane fouling and treatment efficiency was observed in a hybrid process of tubular alumina microfiltration (MF) and the PP beads with periodic air back-flushing for advanced water treatment. And the results were compared with the previous study of the hybrid process of the same MF and the photocatalyst-loaded polyethersulfone (PES) beads. The optimum concentration of PP beads could be 40 g/L in the experimental range; however, that of PES beads was 20–30 g/L in the previous work to prevent membrane fouling efficiently. The treatment efficiency of turbidity was almost constant from 99.2% to 99.5%, independent of the PP beads concentration; however, that of dissolved organic matters (DOM) was the maximal 87.6% at 40 g/L of the PP beads. Treatment portions of MF, photocatalyst adsorption, and photo-oxidation were investigated by comparing the treatment efficiencies of (MF), (MF+TiO<sub>2</sub>), and (MF+TiO<sub>2</sub>+UV) processes. The role of photo-oxidation by the PP beads and UV was more dominant than that of adsorption by the PP beads in the DOM treatment, which was the same trend in the previous work for the hybrid process of the same MF and the PES beads.

Keywords: Microfiltration; Photocatalyst; Hybrid process; Ceramic membrane; Water treatment; Photo-oxidation

## 1. Introduction

By mineralizing them to small inorganic molecules, photocatalytic oxidation, which was one of new type of water pollution control technologies, with the characteristics of high efficiency, low energy consumption and a wide range of application, can oxidize most organic compounds, especially non-biodegradable organic contaminants. For this reason, photocatalytic oxidation technology has been applied widely for various water treatment fields. Among various semiconductor photocatalysts, there is a general consensus among researchers that TiO<sub>2</sub> is more excellent because of its high activity, large stability to light illumination, and low price [1–4]. In photocatalytic degradation, two modes of TiO<sub>2</sub> application are adopted: (1) TiO<sub>2</sub> immobilized on support materials, and (2) TiO<sub>2</sub> suspended in aqueous medium [5,6]. Application of TiO<sub>2</sub> in suspension instead of immobilizing the TiO<sub>2</sub> on solid carriers has shown an improvement in organic degradation efficiencies due to the uniform distribution and large specific surface area. However, classical solid–liquid separation processes such as sedimentation, centrifugation and coagulation applied for separation of the fine TiO<sub>2</sub> particles (typically less than 1  $\mu$ m), are not effective [7]. In addition to the low reutilization rate, there is also a possibility of secondary pollution caused by fine TiO<sub>2</sub> particles in the effluent. Therefore, the recovery of the photocatalysts is one of the main concerns that affect its engineering application on a large scale. A lot of investigations have been conducted focusing to solve this problem [8–12].

In recent 10 years, titanium microsphere has also been suggested as a means to recover  $\text{TiO}_2$  photocatalyst. In general, the core-shell structured  $\text{TiO}_2$  microspheres with a mesoporous surface made of nano-TiO<sub>2</sub>, have low density, high

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author.

*Presented at the 2015 International Environmental Engineering Conference (IEEC 2015) October 28–30, 2015, Busan, Korea.* 1944-3994/1944-3986 © 2017 Desalination Publications. All rights reserved.

specific surface area and large size favorable for separation [13–16]. However, the preparation method and operating conditions have a great effect on particle morphology associated with photocatalysis, and many problems existing in the current preparation methods need to be solved by optimizing the process conditions or developing new ones [17].

Membrane separation process for separation and purification has been developed dramatically during the past few decades. It can separate and concentrate all pollutants in water simultaneously by retaining its microspores without secondary pollution and phase change. Additionally, with the advantage of low energy consumption, its equipment is compact, easy to operate, and possible of continuous operation at room temperature [18]. However, membrane fouling due to the adsorption-precipitation of organic and inorganic compounds onto or inside membranes leads to a decrease of permeate flux, an increase in membrane cleaning costs and a reduction of the life of the membrane. Although considerable progress has been made in membrane fouling [19,20], techniques for controlling membrane fouling remain inadequate, which is the major obstacle in the successful implementation of membrane separation technology. The TiO<sub>2</sub> photocatalysis-membrane separation coupling technology emerged recently can solve the two problems mentioned above effectively [21]. The coupling technology not only keeps the characteristics and capacity of the two technologies but also produces some synergistic effects to overcome the drawbacks of the single technology. On the one hand, the pollutants are oxidized by the photocatalysis, and the selected membranes show the capability not only to retain the photocatalyst but also to reject partially organic species by controlling the residence time in the reacting system. In other words, the membrane is also a selective barrier for the molecules to be degraded, thus the coupling technology could enhance the photocatalytic efficiency and achieve excellent effluent quality. On the other hand, the coupling of photocatalysis and membrane separation could solve or alleviate the problem of flux decline associated with membrane fouling [22]. Our previous result published recently about effect of water back-flushing in a hybrid water treatment process of tubular ceramic microfiltration (MF) and polyethersulfone beads loaded with photocatalyst [23]. In addition, a few papers for effect of operating conditions in the hybrid water treatment process of various tubular ceramic membranes, and PES or PP beads in our research group have been published in Membrane Journal [24-31] in recent years.

In this study, the effect of TiO<sub>2</sub> photocatalyst-coated PP beads on membrane fouling was observed in a hybrid process of tubular ceramic MF and TiO<sub>2</sub> photocatalyst-coated PP beads with periodic air back-flushing for advanced water treatment. The application of ceramic membrane and photocatalyst-coated polymer beads to the hybrid water treatment is the first trial. A hybrid module was composed of the ceramic MF membrane and the PP beads, which were fluidized between the gap of ceramic membrane and the acryl module case. These results were compared with results using the hybrid process of the same MF membrane and photocatalyst-loaded PES beads with periodic air back-flushing. In addition, treatment portions of membrane filtration, photocatalyst adsorption, and photo-oxidation were investigated by comparing the treatment efficiencies of (MF), (MF+TiO<sub>2</sub>),

and (MF+TiO<sub>2</sub>+UV) processes. The periodic air back-flushing was performed during 10 s per 10-min filtration to reduce membrane fouling. The results were compared with those of the previous study [31] using the hybrid process of the same MF and the PES beads.

## 2. Experiments

## 2.1. Materials

The tubular ceramic MF membrane (NCMT-7231) employed in the study was coated with  $\alpha$ -alumina on a supporting layer of  $\alpha$ -alumina, and its pore size was 0.1  $\mu$ m. The membrane was purchased from Nano Pore Materials in Korea, and the specifications of the tubular ceramic membrane were arranged in Table 1.

The photocatalyst used was 4–6 mm PP beads coated with  $\text{TiO}_2$  powder manufactured by Prof. Kim's group [32] using chemical vapor deposition method, which was presented in Table 2. The PES beads were prepared by loading TiO<sub>2</sub> powder using phase inversion method by Figoli et al. [33,34], which was shown in Table 3. Instead of natural organic matters and fine inorganic particles in natural water source, a quantity of humic acid sodium salt (Aldrich, USA)

## Table 1

Specifications of the tubular ceramic MF membrane (NCMT-7231) employed in this study

| Membrane                        | NCMT-7231                                      |
|---------------------------------|--|
| Pore size (µm)                  | 0.1  |
| Outer diameter (mm)             | 8  |
| Inner diameter (mm)             | 6  |
| Length (mm)                     | 251  |
| Surface area (cm <sup>2</sup> ) | 47.3   |
| Material                        | $\alpha$ -alumina coating on $\alpha$ -alumina |
|                                 | support  |
| Company                         | Nanopore materials in Republic of              |
|                                 | Korea  |

Table 2

Specification of the  $TiO_2$ -coated polypropylene beads employed in this study

| Material of the beads           | Polypropylene (PP)        |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------|
| TiO <sub>2</sub> coating method | Chemical vapor deposition |
| Diameter (mm)                   | 4–6                       |
| Weight (mg)                     | 21.8-48.3                 |
| Average weight (mg)             | 39.9                      |

Table 3

Specification of the  $TiO_2$ -loaded polyethersulfone beads employed in this study [23]

| Material of the beads           | Polyethersulfone (PES) |
|---------------------------------|------------------------|
| TiO <sub>2</sub> coating method | Phase inversion        |
| Diameter (mm)                   | 1.4–1.8                |
| Weight (mg)                     | 1.3–1.8                |
| Average weight (mg)             | 1.5                    |

and kaolin (Sigma-Aldrich, USA) was dissolved in distilled water. It was utilized as synthetic water in the experiment. The humic acid (HA) was selected as an organic matter representative, because it was known that HA was the major humic material of rivers or lakes. UV light with 352 nm was irradiated from outside of the acryl module by 2 UV lamps (F8T5BLB, Sankyo, Japan) having 8 W power.

## 2.2. Hybrid membrane module

For treating the turbidity and dissolved organic matters (DOM), the hybrid module was prepared by packing the PP beads coated with  $\text{TiO}_2$  powder between the module inside and outside of the ceramic membrane. In addition, 100 meshes (0.150 mm), which was extremely smaller than 4–6 mm particle size of the PP beads utilized here, was installed at the outlet of the hybrid module to prevent the PP beads loss with treated water.

#### 2.3. Experimental procedure

The advanced water treatment system utilizing a hybrid module of the tubular ceramic MF and TiO<sub>2</sub> photocatalyst-coated PP beads was demonstrated in Fig. 1, which was utilized at the previous study [35] without the PP beads. The cross-flow filtration was performed inside the tubular ceramic membrane with periodic air back-flushing utilizing nitrogen gas for protecting oxygen effect on water quality. The hybrid module was supplied with the PP beads fluidizing between the gap of ceramic membrane and the acryl module case. Then, the feed tank was filled with 10 L of the synthetic water composed of HA and kaolin, and the temperature of the feed water was constantly maintained by using a constant temperature circulator (Model 1146, VWR, USA). In addition, the synthetic feed water was continuously mixed by a stirrer in order to maintain the homogeneous condition of the feed water, and it was caused to flow into the inside of the tubular ceramic MF membrane by a pump (Procon, Standex Co., USA). The feed flow rate was measured by a flow meter (NP-127, Tokyo Keiso, Japan). The flow rate and pressure of the feed water,



Fig. 1. Apparatus of hybrid water treatment process of ceramic microfiltration and  $\text{TiO}_2$  photocatalyst-coated PP beads with periodic air back-flushing [35].

which flowed into the hybrid module, was constantly controlled by valves of both the bypass pipe of the pump and the concentrating pipe. The permeate flux treated by both the tubular ceramic MF membrane and the PP beads was measured by an electric balance (Ohaus, USA). The treated and the concentrate water were recycled to the feed tank to maintain a constant feed concentration of during operation.

The concentrations of kaolin and HA were fixed at 30 mg/L and 6 mg/L, respectively. The PP beads concentration was changed from 5 to 40 g/L to investigate the effect of PP beads concentration. The air back-flushing time (BT) and filtration time (FT) were fixed at 10 s and 10 min, respectively. Only MF process without the PP beads and UV light (MF), and MF process with the PP beads (MF+TiO<sub>2</sub>) were operated at 40 g/L of the PP beads, respectively. And the results were compared with the hybrid process of the MF and PP beads with UV (MF+TiO<sub>2</sub>+UV) for evaluating a portion of the treatment efficiencies of MF, adsorption, and photo-oxidation.

The permeate flux (*J*) was monitored during total filtration time of 180 min under each condition. At all experimental conditions, trans-membrane pressure (TMP) was maintained constant at 1.8 bar, the air back-flushing pressure at 2.5 bar, the feed flow rate at 1.0 L/min, and the feed water temperature at 20°C. The periodic air back-flushing was performed during 10 s per 10-min filtration.

The quality of feed and treated water was analyzed for evaluating the treatment efficiencies of turbid materials and DOM. Turbidity and UV<sub>254</sub> absorbance were measured by a turbidimeter (2100N, Hach, USA) and a UV spectrophotometer (Genesys 10 UV, Thermo, USA), respectively. The detection limits of turbidimeter and UV spectrophotometer were 0~4,000 NTU (±0.001 NTU) and -0.1~3.0 cm<sup>-1</sup> (±0.001 cm<sup>-1</sup>). Before measuring UV<sub>254</sub> absorbance, the treated sample was filtered once by a 0.2-µm syringe filter to reject turbid materials.

## 3. Results and discussions

The effect of photocatalyst-coated PP beads concentration on membrane fouling was investigated in the hybrid process of tubular ceramic MF and TiO<sub>2</sub> photocatalyst-coated PP beads with periodic air back-flushing for advanced water treatment. Additionally, treatment portions by membrane filtration, photocatalyst adsorption, and photo-oxidation were evaluated by comparing the treatment efficiencies of MF (MF+TiO<sub>2</sub>) and (MF+TiO<sub>2</sub>+UV) processes. Resistances of membrane, boundary layer, and membrane fouling ( $R_{m'}$ ,  $R_{p}$ , were calculated from permeate flux (J) data using the resistance-in-series filtration equation as the same method as the previous study [35].

#### 3.1. Effect of photocatalyst-coated PP beads concentration

The resistances of membrane fouling ( $R_j$ ) decreased dramatically as increasing the photocatalyst-coated PP beads concentration from 5 g/L to 40 g/L, as compared in Fig. 2. However, in the results using the hybrid process of the same MF membrane and the photocatalyst-loaded PES beads with periodic air back-flushing,  $R_j$  showed the minimum point between 20 g/L and 30 g/L of the PP beads, as summarized in Table 4. It means that the optimum concentration of PP beads could be 40 g/L in the experimental range; however, that of PES beads was 20–30 g/L to prevent membrane fouling efficiently on the surface and inside the ceramic membrane. The final  $R_f$  after 180-min operation ( $R_{f,180}$ ) at 5 g/L of PP beads was 2.926 × 10<sup>9</sup> kg/m<sup>2</sup>s, which was 1.61 times higher than 1.813 × 10<sup>9</sup> kg/m<sup>2</sup>s of the  $R_{f,180}$  at 40 g/L, as shown in Table 4. However, in the results of PES beads, the  $R_{f,180}$  at 40 g/L of the PES beads was 1.438 × 10<sup>9</sup> kg/m<sup>2</sup>s, which was 1.16 times higher than 1.245 × 10<sup>9</sup> kg/m<sup>2</sup>s of the  $R_{f,180}$  at 20 and 30 g/L. It means that too many PES beads could not prohibit membrane fouling more effectively, because the PES beads protected UV light to transport deeply inside the hybrid module for water treatment.

Fig. 3 showed the dimensionless permeate flux  $(J/J_0)$ , where  $J_0$  is the initial permeate flux which was estimated by extrapolation using initial 2 data at 1 and 2 min, to investigate a relative decline of permeate flux. The  $J/J_0$ 



Fig. 2. Effect of photocatalyst-coated PP beads on resistance of membrane fouling in hybrid process of tubular ceramic MF and TiO, photocatalyst-coated PP beads.

tended to increase as increasing the photocatalyst-coated PP beads concentration from 5 to 40 g/L, because the PP beads could reduce the membrane fouling formation effectively. The final value of  $J/J_0$  ( $J_{180}/J_0$ ) of 0.246 after 180-min operation at 40 g/L of the PP beads was 1.49 times higher than 0.165 at 5 g/L, as shown in Table 4. However, in the results with the PES beads,  $J_{\rm 180}/J_{\rm 0}$  of 0.361 at 20 and 30 g/L of the PES beads was 1.09 times higher than 0.332 at 40 g/L, which was not a great difference. In addition, the total permeate volume ( $V_{\tau}$ ) of 6.55 L at 40 g/L of PP beads was 1.30 times higher than 5.04 L of  $V_T$  at 5 g/L. Then,  $V_T$  of 7.06 L at 40 g/L of PES beads was 1.08 times higher than 6.56 L of  $V_{\tau}$  at 5 g/L, which was higher than that in the result with the PP beads, because the initial membrane conditions  $(R_{m'})$ resistance of membrane) at the result with the PES beads was the better than those with the PP beads, as compared



Fig. 3. Effect of photocatalyst-coated PP beads on dimensionless permeate flux in hybrid process of tubular ceramic MF and TiO<sub>2</sub> photocatalyst-coated PP beads.

#### Table 4

Effect of photocatalyst-coated PP beads on filtration factors for hybrid process of tubular ceramic MF, and  $TiO_2$  photocatalyst-coated PP beads or photocatalyst-loaded PES beads (BT 10 s, FT 10 min)

| Photocatalyst beads (g/L) |  | 5     | 10    | 20    | 30    | 40    |
|---------------------------|--|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Polypropylene (PP)        | $R_m \times 10^{-9}  (\text{kg/m}^2 \text{s})$       | 0.570 | 0.551 | 0.549 | 0.559 | 0.553 |
|                           | $R_{b} \times 10^{-9}  (\text{kg/m}^2\text{s})$      | 0.008 | 0.033 | 0.037 | 0.031 | 0.040 |
|                           | $R_{f,180} \times 10^{-9}  (\text{kg/m}^2 \text{s})$ | 2.926 | 2.008 | 1.997 | 1.951 | 1.813 |
|                           | $J_0(L/m^2h)$  | 1,100 | 1,086 | 1,083 | 1,078 | 1,071 |
|                           | $J_{180}$ (L/m <sup>2</sup> h)                       | 181   | 245   | 246   | 250   | 264   |
|                           | $J_{180}/J_0$  | 0.165 | 0.225 | 0.227 | 0.232 | 0.246 |
|                           | $V_{_{T}}$ (L)                                       | 5.04  | 5.54  | 6.13  | 6.50  | 6.55  |
| Polyether-sulfone (PES)   | $R_m \times 10^{-9}  (\text{kg/m}^2 \text{s})$       | 0.694 | 0.695 | 0.700 | 0.695 | 0.701 |
|                           | $R_{b} \times 10^{-9}  (\text{kg/m}^2\text{s})$      | 0.021 | 0.007 | 0.003 | 0.008 | 0.016 |
|                           | $R_{f,180} \times 10^{-9}  (\text{kg/m}^2 \text{s})$ | 1.367 | 1.251 | 1.245 | 1.245 | 1.438 |
|                           | $J_0(L/m^2h)$  | 888   | 905   | 904   | 903   | 887   |
|                           | $J_{180}$ (L/m <sup>2</sup> h)                       | 305   | 325   | 326   | 326   | 295   |
|                           | $J_{180}/J_0$  | 0.344 | 0.359 | 0.361 | 0.361 | 0.332 |
|                           | $V_{T}$ (L)  | 6.56  | 6.89  | 6.91  | 6.96  | 7.06  |

in Table 4. It means that the PP beads could be more effective to control the membrane fouling in this hybrid process than the PES beads.

The treatment efficiency of turbidity was very high and almost constant beyond 99.2%, independent of the PP beads concentration, as shown in Table 5. It means that the PP beads could not affect the treatment of suspended particles like kaolin in this hybrid process. However, in the hybrid process of the same MF and PES beads, the treatment efficiency of turbidity increased a little from 95.7% to 98.7%, which were a little lower efficiency than those of the PP beads, as increasing the PES beads concentration from 5 g/L to 40 g/L. It means that the PP beads were the more efficient to remove the turbid materials than the PES beads in the hybrid water treatment process.

Then, the treatment efficiency of DOM, which could be analyzed by  $UV_{254}$  absorbance, increased from 62.8% to 87.6%, as increasing the PP beads concentration from 5 g/L to 40 g/L, as arranged in Table 6. The treatment efficiency of DOM in the results with the PES beads showed the same trend to increase from 70.7% to 85.6%, as increasing the PES beads concentration inside the hybrid module. The PP beads were a little more efficient to remove DOM at 40 g/L of photocatalyst beads than the PES beads; however, the PES beads were much more effective at 5 g/L than the PP beads in this hybrid water treatment process of the tubular ceramic MF and photocatalyst beads. It means that the low photocatalyst beads concentration of the PES beads like as 5 g/L could be enough to remove DOM in the hybrid water treatment process.

## 3.2. Role of membrane filtration, adsorption, and photo-oxidation

For evaluating the role of membrane filtration, adsorption, and photo-oxidation in the hybrid water treatment process of the tubular ceramic MF and the photocatalyst-coated PP beads with the periodic air back-flushing, the process with the PP beads without UV light (MF+TiO<sub>2</sub>), and only MF without any PP beads and UV (MF) were performed at HA 6 mg/L, respectively. And then, they were compared with the hybrid process of MF and the PP beads with UV light (MF+TiO<sub>2</sub>+UV). As shown in Fig. 4, the resistance of membrane fouling  $(R_t)$  of MF, (MF+TiO<sub>2</sub>), and (MF+TiO<sub>2</sub>+UV) processes at HA 6 mg/L were compared during 180-min operation. However, the MF process could be operated until 90 min, because R, increased rapidly and the permeate flux could not be measured after 90 min. The  $R_{i}$  could maintain low at the (MF+TiO<sub>2</sub>+UV) process during 180 min, and increased dramatically as simplifying the process to MF. It means that the photocatalyst adsorption and photo-oxidation by the PP beads and UV irradiation could control the membrane fouling effectively in this hybrid water treatment process.

The  $R_f$  after 90-min operation ( $R_{f90}$ ) was the maximum  $18.41 \times 10^9$  kg/m<sup>2</sup>s at MF process, which was 7.50 times higher than the  $R_f$  after 180-min operation ( $R_{f,180}$ ) of  $2.455 \times 10^9$  kg/m<sup>2</sup>s at (MF+TiO<sub>2</sub>+UV) process, as arranged in Table 7. In addition, the resistance of boundary layer ( $R_b$ ) was the highest at the MF process, and the membrane fouling could increase dramatically during 90-min operation. However, in the hybrid process of the same MF and the photocatalyst-loaded PES beads [31], the  $R_{f,180}$  increased a little from 1.370 to 1.483, as simplifying the process from (MF+TiO<sub>2</sub>+UV) to MF process.

Table 5

Water quality and treatment efficiency of turbidity in the hybrid process of tubular ceramic MF and TiO<sub>2</sub> photocatalyst-coated PP beads for effect of the PP beads (BT 10 s, FT 10 min)

| TiO <sub>2</sub> photocatalyst-coated PP | Turbidity (NTU) |      |               |         | Average treat       | tment efficiency (%) |
|--|-----------------|------|---------------|---------|---------------------|----------------------|
| beads (g/L)                              | Feed water      |      | Treated water |         | Photocatalyst beads |                      |
|  | Range Average   |      | Range         | Average | PP                  | PES                  |
| 5  | 41.6~47.9       | 44.8 | 0.291~0.487   | 0.374   | 99.2                | 95.7                 |
| 10                                       | 37.8~45.8       | 41.8 | 0.251~0.322   | 0.289   | 99.3                | 96.8                 |
| 20                                       | 38.7~47.5       | 43.3 | 0.193~0.315   | 0.249   | 99.4                | 97.5                 |
| 30                                       | 37.4~47.1       | 43.0 | 0.247~0.410   | 0.314   | 99.3                | 96.9                 |
| 40                                       | 38.7~43.1       | 40.7 | 0.198~0.252   | 0.223   | 99.5                | 98.7                 |

Table 6

Water quality and treatment efficiency of dissolved organic matters ( $UV_{254}$  absorbance) in the hybrid process of tubular ceramic MF and TiO<sub>2</sub> photocatalyst-coated PP beads for effect of the PP beads (BT 10 s, FT 10 min)

| TiO <sub>2</sub> photocatalyst-coated PP | UV <sub>254</sub> absorbar | nce (cm <sup>-1</sup> ) | Average treatment efficiency (%) |               |      |                     |  |
|--|----------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------|------|---------------------|--|
| beads (g/L)                              | Feed water                 | Feed water              |                                  | Treated water |      | Photocatalyst beads |  |
|  | Range                      | Average                 | Range                            | Average       | PP   | PES                 |  |
| 5  | 0.224~0.314                | 0.262                   | 0.081~0.112                      | 0.097         | 62.8 | 70.7                |  |
| 10                                       | 0.189~0.254                | 0.230                   | 0.052~0.091                      | 0.076         | 67.1 | 74.3                |  |
| 20                                       | 0.213~0.308                | 0.256                   | 0.054~0.081                      | 0.069         | 72.9 | 78.0                |  |
| 30                                       | 0.122~0.166                | 0.139                   | 0.020~0.031                      | 0.026         | 81.3 | 80.3                |  |
| 40                                       | 0.178~0.231                | 0.207                   | 0.017~0.035                      | 0.026         | 87.6 | 85.6                |  |



Fig. 4. Role of membrane filtration, adsorption and photooxidation on resistance of membrane fouling in hybrid process of tubular ceramic MF and  $\text{TiO}_2$  photocatalyst-coated PP beads.

As shown in Fig. 5, the dimensionless permeate flux  $(J/J_0)$ could maintain the highest at the (MF+TiO<sub>2</sub>+UV) process after 30 min, and the lowest at the MF process after 60 min. It means that the  $J/J_0$  at the (MF+TiO<sub>2</sub>+UV) could be the higher than those at the  ${\rm MF}$  and  $({\rm MF}{+}{\rm Ti}{\rm \tilde{O}}_{_2})$  processes, because the photocatalyst adsorption and photo-oxidation could control efficiently the membrane fouling. The  $J_{180}/J_0$  after 180-min operation at the (MF+TiO<sub>2</sub>+UV) process was the maximal 0.195, which was 5.42 times higher than 0.036 at the MF process, as summarized in Table 7. The  $J/J_0$  could maintain the highest at (MF+TiO2+UV) process, because the membrane fouling was reduced the more excellently by photocatalyst adsorption and photo-oxidation at (MF+TiO2+UV) process, compared with the MF or (MF+TiO<sub>2</sub>) processes. Additionally, the total permeate volume  $(V_{\tau})$  could be acquired the maximal 4.62  $\hat{L}$  at the (MF+TiO<sub>2</sub>+UV) process, and the minimal 2.00 L at the MF process. In the previous work [31] for the hybrid process of the same MF and the PES beads, the maximal  $J_{180}/J_0$ could be acquired at (MF+TiO2+UV) process, and decreased a little as simplifying the process from (MF+TiO<sub>2</sub>+UV) to MF process, which was the same trend with this study. In addition, the  $V_{\tau}$  values in the result [31] could be the highest 7.61 L at the (MF+TiO<sub>2</sub>+UV) process with the PES beads, which was the higher than 4.62 L in this study with PP beads, because the initial membrane condition ( $R_{m'}$  resistance of membrane) at the previous work [31] was better than that in this study, as compared in Table 7.

The turbidity treatment efficiencies decreased very little from 99.4% to 98.1%, as simplifying the process from (MF+TiO<sub>2</sub>+UV) to MF with the PP beads; however, those decreased clearly from 99.1% to 96.6% in the result [31] with the PES beads, as shown in Table 8. Then, the treatment efficiencies of DOM (UV<sub>254</sub> absorbance) decreased dramatically from 86.3% to 67.9%, as simplifying the process from (MF+TiO<sub>2</sub>+UV) to MF with the PP beads; however, those decreased from 82.4% to 70.6% in the result [31] with the PES beads, as shown in Table 9. The portions of treatment efficiency by membrane filtration, adsorption and photooxidation could be calculated by reducing sequentially the



Fig. 5. Role of membrane filtration, adsorption and photooxidation on dimensionless permeate flux in hybrid process of tubular ceramic MF and TiO<sub>2</sub> photocatalyst-coated PP beads.

Table 7

Roles of membrane filtration, adsorption, and photo-oxidation on filtration factors in hybrid process of tubular ceramic MF and TiO, photocatalyst-coated PP beads at HA 6 mg/L

| Photocata- | Process  | MF +         | MF +             | MF          |
|------------|--|--------------|------------------|-------------|
| lyst beads |  | $TiO_2 + UV$ | TiO <sub>2</sub> |             |
| PP         | $R_m \times 10^{-9}  (\text{kg/m}^2 \text{s})$       | 0.567        | 0.550            | 0.563       |
|            | $R_b \times 10^{-9}  (\text{kg/m}^2 \text{s})$       | 0.028        | 0.004            | 0.134       |
|            | $R_{f,180} \times 10^{-9}  (\text{kg/m}^2 \text{s})$ | 2.455        | 4.292            | $18.41^{*}$ |
|            | $J_0$ (L/m <sup>2</sup> h)                           | 1,067        | 1,147            | 911         |
|            | $J_{180}$ (L/m <sup>2</sup> h)                       | 208          | 131              | 33*         |
|            | $J_{180}/J_0$  | 0.195        | 0.114            | 0.036*      |
|            | $V_{T}$ (L)  | 4.62         | 3.25             | 2.00*       |
| PES [31]   | $R_m \times 10^{-9}  (\text{kg/m}^2 \text{s})$       | 0.699        | 0.700            | 0.702       |
|            | $R_b \times 10^{-9}  (\text{kg/m}^2 \text{s})$       | 0.008        | 0.002            | 0.001       |
|            | $R_{f,180} \times 10^{-9}  (\text{kg/m}^2 \text{s})$ | 1.370        | 1.440            | 1.483       |
|            | $J_0$ (L/m <sup>2</sup> h)                           | 898          | 905              | 904         |
|            | $J_{180}$ (L/m <sup>2</sup> h)                       | 306          | 297              | 291         |
|            | $J_{180}/J_0$  | 0.340        | 0.328            | 0.321       |
|            | $V_{T}$ (L)  | 7.61         | 7.32             | 7.08        |

\*After 90 min.

turbidity or DOM treatment efficiencies of (MF+TiO<sub>2</sub>+UV), (MF+TiO<sub>2</sub>) and MF, which were compared with the result [31] of the PES beads in Table 10, in the hybrid water treatment process of the tubular ceramic MF and photocatalyst polymer beads.

In turbidity treatment efficiency, the treatment portion of membrane filtration was very high 99.4% at HA 6 mg/L. The treatment portion of adsorption by the photocatalyst-coated PP beads was very low 1.3%; however, that of photo-oxidation by the PP beads and UV light was 0.0%. In the result [31] with the PES beads, those of adsorption and photo-oxidation by Table 8

Water quality and treatment efficiency of turbidity in the hybrid process of tubular ceramic MF and  $TiO_2$  photocatalyst-coated PP beads for roles of membrane filtration, adsorption, and photo-oxidation at HA 6 mg/L

| Experimer | tal condition           | Turbidity (NT | U)      |                    |         | Average treatme     | nt efficiency (%) |
|-----------|-------------------------|---------------|---------|--------------------|---------|---------------------|-------------------|
| HA        | Process                 | Feed water    |         | Treated water      |         | Photocatalyst beads |                   |
| (mg/L)    |                         | Range         | Average | Range              | Average | PP                  | PES [31]          |
| 6         | MF+TiO <sub>2</sub> +UV | 32.4~40.8     | 35.4    | 0.191~0.253        | 0.215   | 99.4                | 99.1              |
|           | MF+TiO <sub>2</sub>     | 40.6~56.7     | 50.3    | $0.171 \sim 0.474$ | 0.278   | 99.4                | 97.1              |
|           | MF                      | 27.6~29.1     | 28.3    | 0.237~0.825        | 0.540   | 98.1                | 96.6              |

Table 9

Water quality and treatment efficiency of dissolved organic matters (UV $_{254}$  absorbance) in the hybrid process of tubular ceramic MF and TiO<sub>2</sub> photocatalyst-coated PP beads for roles of membrane filtration, adsorption, and photo-oxidation at HA 6 mg/L

| Experimental of | condition UV <sub>254</sub> absorbance (cm <sup>-1</sup> ) |             |         | Average treatmen | t efficiency (%) |                     |          |
|-----------------|--|-------------|---------|------------------|------------------|---------------------|----------|
| HA              | Process  | Feed water  |         | Treated water    |                  | Photocatalyst beads |          |
| (mg/L)          |  | Range       | Average | Range            | Average          | PP                  | PES [31] |
| 6               | MF+TiO <sub>2</sub> +UV                                    | 0.118~0.177 | 0.147   | 0.016~0.025      | 0.020            | 86.3                | 82.4     |
|                 | MF+TiO <sub>2</sub>  | 0.175~0.228 | 0.197   | 0.030~0.092      | 0.051            | 74.0                | 72.5     |
|                 | MF   | 0.155~0.161 | 0.158   | 0.042~0.058      | 0.051            | 67.9                | 70.6     |

Table 10

Treatment efficiency portions of membrane filtration, adsorption, and photo-oxidation in the hybrid process of tubular ceramic MF and TiO<sub>2</sub> photocatalyst-coated PP beads at HA 6 mg/L

| Portion of treatment    | Turb        | idity | UV <sub>254</sub> absorbance |          |  |
|-------------------------|-------------|-------|------------------------------|----------|--|
| efficiency              | PP PES [31] |       | PP                           | PES [31] |  |
| Membrane filtration (%) | 98.1        | 96.6  | 67.9                         | 70.6     |  |
| Adsorption (%)          | 1.3         | 0.5   | 6.1                          | 1.9      |  |
| Photo-oxidation (%)     | 0.0         | 2.0   | 12.3                         | 9.9      |  |
| Total treatment         | 99.4        | 99.1  | 86.3                         | 82.4     |  |
| efficiency (%)          |             |       |                              |          |  |

the PES beads and UV were 0.5% and 2.0%, respectively. It means that the role of adsorption by the photocatalyst-loaded PES beads [31] was the more excellent than that by the photocatalyst-coated PP beads; however, that of photo-oxidation by the PP beads and UV was the more dominant than that by the PES beads [31] for treatment of the suspended particles like kaolin in this hybrid process.

In the treatment efficiency of DOM (UV<sub>254</sub> absorbance), the treatment portion of membrane filtration was still very high 67.9%; however, that of adsorption was a little 6.1% and that of photo-oxidation was high 12.3% at HA 6 mg/L. It means that the role of photo-oxidation by the photocatalyst-coated PP beads and UV light was the more dominant than that of adsorption by the PP beads for the DOM treatment in this hybrid process. The photo-oxidation by the PP beads and UV could reduce the membrane fouling effectively, because the photo-oxidation had the major role of DOM reduction in this hybrid water treatment process with the PP beads. In addition, in the previous work [31] for the hybrid process of the same MF and the PES beads, the role of photo-oxidation

was the more important than that of adsorption for DOM treatment, which was the exact same trend with this study.

## 4. Conclusions

In this study, the effect of photocatalyst-coated PP beads on membrane fouling was observed in a hybrid process of tubular alumina MF and the PP beads with periodic air back-flushing for advanced water treatment. In addition, treatment portions by membrane filtration, photocatalyst adsorption, and photo-oxidation were investigated by comparing the treatment efficiencies of (MF), (MF+TiO<sub>2</sub>), and (MF+TiO<sub>2</sub>+UV) processes. The results were compared with the previous study [31] of the hybrid process of the same MF and photocatalyst-loaded PES beads. The following results could be induced finally:

- (1) The optimum concentration of PP beads could be 40 g/L in the experimental range, but that of the PES beads was 20–30 g/L to prevent membrane fouling efficiently on the surface and inside the ceramic membrane. It means that too many PES beads could not prohibit membrane fouling more effectively, because the PES beads protected UV light to transport deeply inside the hybrid module. However, the total permeate volume was the maximum at 40 g/L of both the PP and PES beads.
- (2) The turbidity treatment efficiency was very high and almost constant, independent of the PP beads concentration. It means that the PP beads could not affect the treatment of suspended particles like kaolin in this hybrid process. However, the treatment efficiency of DOM increased dramatically as increasing the PP beads concentration. Then, the results with PES beads showed the same trend. The low PES beads concentration like as 5 g/L could be enough to remove DOM effectively.

- (3) As a result of investigating the role of membrane filtration, adsorption, and photo-oxidation, the membrane fouling resistance was the minimum, and the final permeate flux was the maximum at (MF+TiO<sub>2</sub>+UV) process. This result proved that photocatalyst adsorption and photo-oxidation could control the membrane fouling in this hybrid water treatment process. The trend was the same with the result of the PES beads.
- (4) The adsorption and photo-oxidation by the photocatalyst-coated PP beads could play the more dominant role to remove DOM than that of turbidity in this hybrid water treatment process. The role of photo-oxidation by the PP beads and UV was the more dominant than that of adsorption by the PP beads for the DOM treatment, which was the same trend in the previous work for the hybrid process of the same MF and the PES beads.

## References

- N. Lydakis-Simantiris, D. Riga, E. Katsivela, D. Mantzavinos, N.P. Xekoukoulotakis, Disinfection of spring water and secondary treated municipal wastewater by TiO<sub>2</sub> photocatalysis, Desalination, 250 (2010) 351–355.
- [2] J.-M. Herrmann, C. Duchamp, M. Karkmaz, B. Hoai, H. Lachheb, E. Puzenat, C. Guillard, Environmental green chemistry as defined by photocatalysis, J. Hazard. Mater., 146 (2007) 624–629.
- [3] X.H. Wu, P.B. Su, H.L. Liu, L.L. Qi, Photocatalytic degradation of Rhodamine B under visible light with Nd-doped titanium dioxide films, J. Rare Earth., 27 (2009) 739–743.
  [4] A. Fujishima, X.T. Zhang, Titanium dioxide photocatalysis:
- [4] A. Fujishima, X.T. Zhang, Titanium dioxide photocatalysis: present situation and future approaches, C.R. Chim., 9 (2006) 750–760.
- [5] S. Matsuzawa, C. Maneerat, Y. Hayata, T. Hirakawa, N. Negishi, T. Sano, Immobilization of TiO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles on polymeric substrates by using electrostatic interaction in the aqueous phase, Appl. Catal. B Environ., 83 (2008) 39–45.
- [6] R. Molinari, L. Palmisano, E. Drioli, M. Schiavello, Studies on various reactor configurations for coupling photocatalysis and membrane processes in water purification, J. Membr. Sci., 206 (2006) 399–415.
- [7] V. Augugliaro, M. Litter, L. Palmisano, J. Soria, The combination of heterogeneous photocatalysis with chemical and physical operations: a tool for improving the photoprocess performance, J. Photochem. Photobiol. C: Photochem. Rev., 7 (2006) 127–144.
- [8] R. Pelton, X. Geng, M. Brook, Photocatalytic paper from colloidal TiO<sub>2</sub>-fact of fantasy, Adv. Colloid Interface Sci., 127 (2006) 42–53.
- [9] X.Z. Li, H. Liu, L.F. Cheng, H.J. Tong, Photocatalytic oxidation using a new catalyst–TiO<sub>2</sub> microsphere-for water and wastewater treatment, Environ. Sci. Technol., 37 (2003) 3989–3994.
- [10] K. Azrague, E. Puech-Costes, P. Aimar, M.T. Maurette, M.F. Benoit-Marquie, Membrane photoreactor (MPR) for the mineralisation of organic pollutants from turbid effluents, J. Membr. Sci., 258 (2005) 71–77.
- [11] M. Pidou, S.A. Parsons, G. Raymond, P. Jeffery, T. Stephenson, B. Jefferson, Fouling control of a membrane coupled photocatalytic process treating greywater, Water Res., 43 (2009) 3932–3939.
- [12] R. Molinari, C. Grande, E. Drioli, L. Palmisano, M. Schiavello, Photocatalytic membrane reactors for degradation of organic pollutants in water, Catal. Today, 67 (2001) 273–279.
- [13] R.H.S. Jansen, J.W. de Rijk, A. Zwijnenburg, M.H.V. Mulder, M. Wessling, Hollow fiber membrane contactors – a means to study the reaction kinetics of humic substance ozonation, J. Membr. Sci., 257 (2005) 48–59.
- [14] K.W. Park, K.H. Choo, M.H. Kim, Use of a combined photocatalysis/microfiltration system for natural organic matter removal, Membr. J., 14 (2004) 149–156.
- [15] Y.T. Lee, J.K. Oh, Membrane fouling effect with organic-inorganic materials using the membrane separation in drinking water treatment process, Membr. J., 13 (2003) 219–228.

- [16] J.H. Xu, W.L. Dai, J. Li, Novel core-shell structured mesoporous titania microspheres: preparation, characterization and excellent photocatalytic activity in phenol abatement, J. Photochem. Photobiol. A: Chem., 195 (2008) 284–294.
- [17] V. Abetz, T. Brinkmann, M. Dijkstra, K. Ebert, D. Fritsch, K. Ohlrogge, Developments in membrane research: from material via process design to industrial application, Adv. Eng. Mater., 8 (2006) 328–358.
- [18] F.G. Meng, S.R. Chae, A. Drews, M. Kraume, H.-S. Shin, F. Yang, Recent advances in membrane bioreactors (MBRs): membrane fouling and membrane material, Water Res., 43 (2009) 1489– 1512.
- [19] C.X. Liu, D.R. Zhang, Y. He, X.S. Zhao, R. Bai, Modification of membrane surface for anti-biofouling performance: effect of anti-adhesion and anti-bacterial approaches, J. Membr. Sci., 346 (2010) 121–130.
- [20] Y. Yoon, R.M. Lueptow, Removal of organic contaminants by RO and NF membranes, J. Membr. Sci., 261 (2005) 76–86.
- [21] E. Erdim, E. Soyer, S. Tasiyici, I. Koyuncu, Hybrid photocatalysis/submerged microfiltration membrane system for drinking water treatment, Desal. Wat. Treat., 9 (2009) 165–174.
- [22] S. Mozia, Photocatalytic membrane reactors (PMRs) in water and wastewater treatment. A Review, Sep. Purif. Technol., 73 (2010) 71–91.
- [23] B. Amarsanaaa, J.Y. Park, A. Figoli, E. Drioli, Optimum operating conditions in hybrid water treatment process of tubular ceramic MF and polyethersulfone beads loaded with photocatalyst, Desal. Wat. Treat., 51 (2013) 5260–5267.
- [24] S.T. Hong, J.Y. Park, Effect of pH, saturated oxygen, and back-flushing media on hybrid water treatment of tubular ceramic MF and photocatalyst loaded polyethersulfone beads, Membr. J., 24 (2014) 123–135.
- [25] J.Y. Park, S.W. Park, H. Byun, Effect of pH and oxygen back-flushing on hybrid water treatment of tubular ceramic MF and photocatalyst loaded polyethersulfone beads, Membr. J., 24 (2014) 39–49.
- [26] J.Y. Park, S.W. Park, H. Byun, Hybrid water treatment of tubular ceramic MF and photocatalyst loaded polyethersulfone beads: effect of water back-flushing period and time, Membr. J., 23 (2013) 267–277.
- [27] J.Y. Park, M.J. Choi, J.G. Ma, Hybrid water treatment of tubular alumina MF and polypropylene beads coated with photocatalyst: effect of nitrogen back-flushing period and time, Membr. J., 23 (2013) 226–236.
- [28] J.Y. Park, J.H. Hwang, Hybrid water treatment of photocatalyst coated polypropylene beads and ceramic membranes: effect of membrane and water back-flushing period, Membr. J., 23 (2013) 211–219.
- [29] S.W. Park, J.Y. Park, Hybrid water treatment of tubular ceramic MF and photocatalyst loaded polyethersulfone beads: effect of organic matters, adsorption and photo-oxidation at water back-flushing, Membr. J., 23 (2013) 159–169.
- [30] S.T. Hong, J.Y. Park, Hybrid water treatment of tubular ceramic MF and photocatalyst loaded polyethersulfone beads: effect of nitrogen back-flushing period and time, Membr. J., 23 (2013) 70–79.
- [31] S.T. Hong, J.Y. Park, Hybrid water treatment of tubular ceramic MF and photocatalyst loaded polyethersulfone beads: effect of organic matters, adsorption and photo-oxidation at nitrogen back-flushing, Membr. J., 23 (2013) 61–69.
- [32] D.-J. Kim, J.-Y. Kang, K.-S. Kim, Preparation of TiO<sub>2</sub> thin films on glass beads by a rotating plasma reactor, J. Ind. Eng. Chem., 16 (2010) 997–1000.
- [33] A. Figoli, G. De Luca, E. Longavita E. Drioli, PEEKWC capsules prepared by phase inversion technique: a morphological and dimensional study, Sep. Sci. Technol., 42 (2007) 2809–2827.
- [34] M.G. Buonomenna, A. Figoli, I. Spezzano, M. Davoli, E. Drioli, New PVDF microcapsules for application in catalysis, Appl. Catal. B: Environ., 80 (2008) 185–194.
- [35] J.Y. Park, S.J. Choi, B.R. Park, Effect of N<sub>2</sub>-back-flushing in tubulars ceramic microfiltration system for paper wastewater treatment, Desalination, 202 (2007) 207–214.