

Ammonia emissions from soil water of wheat field as affected by different nitrogen and irrigation strategies

Yuhai Tang^{a,b}, Zhengyong Cui^c, Dianliang Peng^b, Yanping Yin^a, Yong Li^a, Zhenlin Wang^{a,*}

^aState Key Laboratory of Crop Biology, Agronomy College of Shandong Agricultural University, Tai'an 271018, China, email: yuhaitang1468@163.com (Y. Tang), yanpingyin39081@163.com (Y. Yin), yongli908751@163.coom ((Y. Li), z1wangsd@sina.com (Z. Wang)

^bJiasixie Agronomy College of Weifang University of Science and Technology, Shouguang 262700, China, email: dianliangpeng1589@21.cn (D. Peng),

^cInstitute of Agro-Food Science and Technology, Shandong Academy of Agricultural Science, Jinan 250100, China, email: zhengyongcui9867@126.com (Z. Cui)

Received 27 March 2018; Accepted 28 May 2018

ABSTRACT

Agricultural activities should be responsible for substantial amounts of ammonia (NH₃) emissions. The fertilization and irrigation strategies both make great contributions to potential N losses via volatilization, but there are very few data regarding NH₃ emissions as affected by the combination of application time of N fertilization and irrigation treatments. The aim of this experiment is to provide the field data on N losses due to NH₃ volatilization following urea application and assess the influence of soil properties and environmental condition on emissions. The experiment including a combination of application time of N fertilization was monitored within 30 d following urea application. NH₃ loss can fall down to the background level with irrigation or rainfall events following urea application. Large amounts of NH₃ emissions occur only if two conditions are simultaneously satisfied: high ammonium nitrogen concentration and low soil water content in topsoil. Irrigation following urea application at jointing stage (JFI) can be adopted in the local management practice to minimize volatilization and maintain financial benefits.

Keywords: Ammonia emissions; Soil water; Wheat field; Nitrogen and irrigation strategies

1. Introduction

With the increasing global demand for food and energy, chemical inputs, especially nitrogen (N) fertilizer, are of utmost importance to promote crop yield increases in modern agricultural production [1]. To obtain high grain yield, a great amount of N fertilizer far greater than crop demand is applied into the field [2]. Urea is widely used as the predominant source of N fertilizer in agriculture worldwide [3], accounting for over half of the global fertilizer N consumption [4].

The loss of applied N is of concern because of excess and inappropriate application of fertilizers [5,6]. Substantial N can be lost through volatilization of ammonia (NH₃), emissions of NO_x (N₂O, NO and NO₂) and dinitrogen (N₂), leaching of nitrate (NO₃⁻) and surface runoff [7]. NH₃ volatilization is an important pathway of N loss after N fertilizer is applied into the soil [8,9]. NH₃ volatilization from urea fertilizer varies from place to place. Some reported losses of N due to NH₃ volatilization from urea are less than 40% of the N applied. However, other NH₃ losses from urea have been

1944-3994 / 1944-3986 © 2018 Desalination Publications. All rights reserved.

^{*}Corresponding author.

Presented at the 4th Annual Science and Technology Conference (Tesseract'17) at the School of Petroleum Technology, Pandit DeenDayal Petroleum University, 10–12 November 2017, Gandhinagar, India

reported up to 50% or more of the N applied [10]. Attention should be focused on NH_3 volatilization not just because of low N utilization efficiency, but also because of significant adverse effect on the environment. Continuous and excess N loads from the release of NH_3 has caused serious ecological and environmental problems, such as eutrophication of surface water bodies, soil acidification, secondary source of N₂O production, formation of particulate 2.5-µm aerosols that lead to potential adverse effects on human health and changes in biodiversity [11,12].

 NH_3 volatilization is associated with soil properties and environmental factors, such as soil moisture, pH, texture, organic matter, temperature, surface residue, rainfall, and wind speed [13]. To achieve the dual goals of reducing NH₃ volatilization and obtaining high grain yield, some effective approaches, such as fertilization, irrigation and cultivation managements, have been proposed to be adopted in agricultural practices [14]. However, many approaches to reducing NH₃ volatilization did not have remarkable effect on the grain yield [15,16]. Hence, the purpose of the current study was to quantify the NH₃ volatilization losses after application of urea as affected by three top dressing periods and irrigation treatments and assess the influence of soil properties and environmental condition on NH₃ volatilization.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Description of the experimental site

The field experiments were carried out at the Tai'an Experimental Station (36°09'N, 117°09'E, and 128 m above sea level) of Shandong Agricultural University; Shandong Province, China from October 2009 to June 2010 and from October 2010 to June 2011. This region was characterized as a semi-humid temperate continental monsoon climate. The

Table 1 The nitrogen and irrigation strategies

soil was classified as a sandy loam, with average pH of 6.8, organic matter of 13.5 g kg⁻¹, total N of 0.89 g kg⁻¹, available N of 77.8 mg kg⁻¹, available P of 26.6 mg kg⁻¹, available K of 75.2 mg kg⁻¹ in the 0–20 cm soil layer.

2.2. Experimental setup

The experiments were conducted to evaluate volatilized NH₃ in relation to three nitrogen topdressing periods and irrigation treatments that are shown in Table 1. Based on the results of 2010, nine treatments were added later in 2011 to determine the influence of irrigation on NH₃ volatilization. The field was split into two blocks, which were separated by at least 1 m to avoid interactions between irrigation treatments (2011). Other treatments were arranged in a randomized complete block design with three replications. 112.5 kg N ha⁻¹, 75 kg P_2O_5 ha⁻¹, and 120 kg K_2O ha⁻¹ as basal fertilization and the rest 112.5 kg N ha⁻¹ as topdressing were applied along with irrigation. Except for seedling and topdressing, there was no irrigation during the whole growing season of winter wheat (Triticumaestivum L.). Summer maize (Zea mays) was the previous crop and all the straw was crushed to the field.

The short dashes in the table donate no N fertilizer application or irrigation.

2.3. NH₃ emission measurements

NH₃ volatilization was monitored using venting method as described below [17]. The vented chamber was 10 cm high and 15 cm in internal diameter, which was made of rigid polyvinyl chloride (PVC) tube. Two circular sponges each spread with 15 mL phosphate-glycerol solution (50 mL analytical phosphate and 40 mL glycerol diluted to 1000 mL with pure water) were placed into the chamber. The sponge inside the chamber absorbed NH₃

Year	Treatment	N fertilizer application		Irrigation	
		Time	Rate (kg ha ⁻¹)	Time	Amount (mm)
2010	SF	2010/3/21	112.5	2010/4/2	60
	JF	2010/4/10	112.5	2010/4/2	60
	BF	2010/4/30	112.5	2010/4/2	60
2011	SF	2011/3/20	112.5	-	-
	SFI	2011/3/20	112.5	2011/3/20	60
	SI	-	-	2011/3/20	60
	SCK	-	-	-	-
	JF	2011/4/9	112.5	-	-
	JFI	2011/4/9	112.5	2011/4/9	60
	JI	-	-	2011/4/9	60
	JCK	-	-	-	-
	BF	2011/4/29	112.5	-	-
	BFI	2011/4/29	112.5	2011/4/29	60
	BI	_	-	2011/4/29	60
	BCK	-	_	_	-

volatilized from the soil and the top one was placed to keep off NH₃ from the ambient air.

were extracted with 300 mL of 1 M KCl and shaking for 60

min. AA3 continuous flow analytical system (Bran+Luebbe,

Germany) was used to analyze NH₄⁺ from the samples. NH₃

volatilization rate (*R*) was evaluated as the average volatil-

ization per day between two replacements of the acid trap,

which was calculated as follows:

2.4. Soil samples

keep off NH₃ from the ambient air. The vented chambers were installed 1 cm into each plot immediately after the nitrogen fertilizer was applied. NH₃ samples were replaced at 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14, 16, 18, 20, 22, 24, 26, 28, 30 d (2010) and 1, 3, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 18, 20, 25, 30 d (2011) after application (DAA) of the fertilizer. The collected NH₃ from sponge inside the chamber was for the time between sampling dates. Collected samples

2.5. Statistical analysis

Regression analysis was performed with the SPSS software packages (Version 18.0, SPSS Inc., Chicago, USA). All the figures were constructed using SigmaPlot 10.0 (Systat Software, San Jose, CA). To determine the statistical significance of the mean differences, Duncan tests were carried out at 0.05 probability level.

$$R(kgNha^{-1}d^{-1}) = \frac{M}{(A \times D) \times 10^{-2}}$$
(1)

where *M* is the NH₃ (mg N) absorbed by sponge inside the chamber, *A* is the cross-sectional area (m⁻²) of the vented chamber. *D* (d) is the duration between two sampling periods. 10^{-2} is the factor of unit conversion. NH₃ volatilization losses (*L*) were evaluated as the percentage of N lost as NH₃, which were calculated as the following equation:

$$L(\% of applied N) = \frac{\sum (R_i + R_{i+1})}{\left[2 \times (t_{i+1} - t_i) \times N\right] \times 100}$$
(2)

where *R* is NH₃ volatilization rate (*kg* N *ha*⁻¹*d*⁻¹), *i* is the *i*th measurement, $(t_{i+1} - t_i)$ is the days between two adjacent days of the measurements, and *n* is the total times of the measurements. *N* is the rate of applied nitrogen fertilizer.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Environmental condition

Atmospheric temperature and rainfall events were recorded at a nearby weather station 1 km away. Average atmospheric temperature of three topdressing periods during monitoring in 2010 and 2011 was similar (Fig. 1). In 2010, the average temperatures of three monitoring periods were 11.2, 15.1, and 20.3°C, respectively (Fig. 1a). Those in 2011 were 11.5, 17.2, and 19.8°C. Compared with 2010, large amounts of rainfalls occurred in 2011 despite a low frequency. Two significant rainfall events >50 mm (Fig. 1b) occurred on May 8 and May 10, 2011.



Fig. 1. Atmospheric temperature, precipitation and irrigation from a meteorological station located in the experimental field. Only these treatments above the arrows were irrigated at one time.

3.2. NH₃ volatilization rates

NH₃ volatilization rates increased above the background values immediately after urea was applied. Peaks in NH₃ volatilization for 2010 were observed 8 d after urea application in BF, 10 d in SF, but not until 16 d in JF. Not only was lower peak of NH₂ volatilization observed in JF, but they also occurred later after urea application than the other two treatments (Fig. 2a). This was likely the result of the irrigation before urea application which prevented NH, release from soil. The first peak rates for 2011 were reached 7 d after urea application in both JF and BF, and then 9 d in SF. Peak of volatilization in BF was lower than the other two treatments. There was a second peak of volatilization in 2011 at about day 15 that did not occur in 2010 (Fig. 2b). Evidently it was the irrigation on 2 April and the following high intensity rainfall events (Fig. 1a) that prevented NH₃ release from soil. The amount and intensity of rainfall determined the movement of urea or ammonium into the soil matrix, thereby affecting NH₃ volatilization [18]. There were no significant differences in NH₃ volatilization rate between the remaining treatments that are not listed in Fig. 2 The volatilization rates fluctuated around the background values, ranging from 0.00 to 0.11 kg N ha⁻¹ d⁻¹. It can be inferred that N lost as NH₂ in these treatments was negligible. These results demonstrated that irrigation or rainfall is effective in mitigating NH₃ volatilization immediately following urea application. NH₃ volatilization losses were still substantial when irrigation or rainfall events occurred after urea hydrolysis.

There was an immediate step increase in ammonium concentrations in 0–10 cm soil after urea was applied into the soil (Figs. 3a, c, e). Ammonium concentrations in the fertilizer treatments (F) did not decrease until about 12 d after application, but ammonium concentration in BF decreased after 7 d because of the intensive rainfall events (51.8, 5.2 and 52.4 mm from Day 9 to 11, respectively). Ammonium concentrations in the irrigation following

fertilization treatments (FI) decreased rapidly to the background value, although they increased more rapidly than the other treatments within the first 5 d following application. These results together with other literature evidence [19] illustrates that NH₃ volatilization rates coincide with ammonium concentrations in surface soil. NH₃ volatilization rates fell down rapidly as the substrate (ammonium) concentrations decreased. The soil water contents in irrigation treatments (FI and I) were always greater than in F and CK, but gradually the gaps between them began to be close (Figs. 3b, d, f). It seemed that ammonium concentrations and soil water content were two necessary conditions. Only both two certain conditions were satisfied did large amount of NH₃ volatilization occur: (1) there was a high ammonium concentration in topsoil; (2) soil water content in topsoil should be low enough. These results suggested that irrigation (or rainfall events) and appropriate N rate would be effective measures to mitigate NH₃ volatilization.

Temperature also played an important role in NH₃ volatilization. Except for JF in 2010, peak emission rates in SF were reached later than JF and BF (Fig. 2). The longer duration of emission in SF was likely the result of lower average temperature after urea was applied which limited hydrolysis of urea and nitrification. Nitrification potential is usually high in agricultural soils and ammonium is rapidly oxidized. A more rapid formation of ammonium and fast diffusion in the soil water and air likely resulted in higher NH₃ volatilization. Nevertheless, temperature did not appear to be as significant a factor as other soil and climatic variables [20].The total loss may not be less at low than at high temperature due to a longer period of high emissions.

3.3. NH₃ volatilization losses

As shown in Fig. 4c, measured background NH_3 volatilization losses were below 1.2% of the N applied, much



Fig. 2. NH₃ volatilization rate following urea application as affected by three topdressing periods.



Fig. 3. Soil ammonium nitrogen concentration (a, c, e) and water content (b, d, f) following urea application from depths of 0–10 cm as affected by various nitrogen and irrigation strategies.

262



Fig. 4. Cumulative NH₃ volatilization following urea application as affected by various nitrogen and irrigation strategies. Ammonia volatilization losses were expressed as percentage of total N applied.

lower than those of the fertilizer treatments (F). So the results of determining approached the losses of the fertilizer treatments. Most of the total loss occurred from 5 to 15 DAA. BF in 2010 lost 20.8% of the N applied, which was lower than SF with loss of 25.4% of the N applied but higher than JF, which lost 9.6% of the N applied (Fig. 4a). SF in 2011 lost 28.5% of the N applied, which was slightly lower

than JF with loss of 30.1% of the N applied but both higher than BF, which lost 19.0% of the N applied (Fig. 4b). Compared with these treatments, the remaining ones only lost few of the N applied. As described above, large amount of irrigation transported the urea directly into the soil matrix as a dissolved component, thus decreasing the substrate concentration of NH₃ volatilization before the hydrolysis of urea. Losses of the treatments J were lower than those of the corresponding treatments of B, but greater than the corresponding treatments of S (Fig. 4c). This illustrates that temperature also plays a key role in NH₃ volatilization, which matters less than irrigation (or rainfall events) and fertilization. Compared with the same topdressing period, the control treatments (CK) lost lower N applied than FI but higher than I. These results demonstrated that irrigation following urea would effectively mitigate NH₃ volatilization [10].

3.4. Grain yield

Grain yield of JF in 2010 was greater than SF and BF. No significant differences in grain yield were found between SF and BF (Fig. 5a). N fertilizer of JF was applied at the critical period when the winter wheat required a large amount of nutrients. The irrigation before fertilization, together with the N fertilizer, led to the gap in yield. Grain yield of JFI in 2011 was greater than SFI and BFI. There were also no significant differences in grain yield between SFI and BFI. Yields of the irrigation (I) treatments were lower than those of fertilization (F) treatments but greater the control treatments (CK) in the same topdressing periods (Fig. 5b). The differences in yields could be explained by the appropriate time of N fertilizer application and irrigation. The treatment JFI should be adopted to achieve the dual goals of reducing NH₃ volatilization and obtaining high grain yield.

4. Conclusion

Irrigation or rainfall events after N fertilizer application are effective in reducing NH₃ loss. High ammonium concentration and low soil water content in topsoil seem to be two essential condition of a large amount of NH₃ loss. Temperature also plays an important role in NH₃ volatilization, but is not as significant as irrigation (or rainfall events) and fertilization. Up to 30 percent of the N applied could be lost without



Fig. 5. Grain yields as affected by various nitrogen and irrigation strategies.

irrigation or rainfall events. Hence NH_3 volatilization is an important pathway of N losses in arid and semi-arid region where there is no irrigation and little rain. The treatment JFI can be recommended in the local management practice when NH_3 loss and grain yield are taken into consideration.

Acknowledgements

The research was financially supported by the National Basic Research (973) Program of China (2009CB118602), the Special Fund for Agro-scientific Research in the Public Interest of China (No. 201203100, 201203029), and the National Science and Technology Support Program of China (No. 2012BAD04B05). The Natural Science Foundation of Shandong Province (No. ZR2017BC105), the Science and Technology Development Program of Weifang (No.2017GX074), the Doctoral Fund Project of Weifang University of Science and Technology (No.2017BS01)

References

- M.J. Alijani, K. Bahrani, S.A. Kazemeini, Short-term responses of soil and wheat yield to tillage, corn residue management and nitrogen fertilization, Soil Tillage Res., 124 (4) (2012) 78–82.
- [2] L. Shan, Y. He, J. Chen, Q. Huang, H. Wang, Ammonia volatilization from a Chinese cabbage field under different nitrogen treatments in the Taihu Lake Basin, China, J. Environ. Sci., 38(12) (2015) 14–23.
- [3] D. Abalos, L. Sanchezmartin, L. Garciatorres, J.W. Groenigen, A. Vallejo, Management of irrigation frequency and nitrogen fertilization to mitigate GHG and NO emissions from drip-fertigated crops, Sci. Total Environ., 490 (2014) 880–888.
- [4] S.C. Alberto, M. Thomash, A. Augusto, M. Juani, D. Joseantonio, V. Antonio, An inhibitor of urease activity effectively reduces ammonia emissions from soil treated with urea under Mediterranean conditions, Agric. Ecosyst. Environ., 126(3–4) (2008) 243–249.
- [5] P. Rochette, D.A. Angers, M.H. Chantigny, M.O. Gasser, J.D. MacDonald, D.E. Pelster, NH₃ volatilization, soil, concentration and soil pH following subsurface banding of urea at increasing rates, Canad. J. Soil Sci., 93(2) (2013) 261–268.
- [6] A. Chen, B. Lei, W. Hu, Y. Lu, Y. Mao, Z. Duan, Characteristics of ammonia volatilization on rice grown under different nitrogen application rates and its quantitative predictions in Erhai Lake Watershed, China, Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosyst., 101(1) (2015) 139–152.

- [7] J. Delgado, Quantifying the loss mechanisms of nitrogen, J. Soil. Water. Conserv., 57 (2002) 389–398.
- [8] Y. Feng, H. Sun, L. Xue, Y. Liu, Q. Gao, K. Lu, Biochar applied at an appropriate rate can avoid increasing NH, volatilization dramatically in rice paddy soil, Chemosphere, 168 (2017) 1277– 1284.
- [9] Y. Zhang, S. Luan, L. Chen, M. Shao, Estimating the volatilization of ammonia from synthetic nitrogenous fertilizers used in China, J. Environ. Manage., 92 (2011) 480–493.
- [10] X. Huang, Y. Song, M. Li, J. Li, Q. Huo, X. Cai, A high-resolution ammonia emission inventory in China, Global Biogeochem. Cycles, 26 (2012) GB1030, doi:10.1029/2011GB004161.
- [11] G. Zhou, W. Zhang, L. Ma, H. Guo, W. Min, Q. Li, Effects of saline water irrigation and N application rate on NH₃ volatilization and N use efficiency in a drip-irrigated cotton field, Water Air Soil Pollut., 227(4) (2016) 103.
- [12] K. Liang, X. Zhong, N. Huang, R.M. Lampayan, Y. Liu, J. Pan, Nitrogen losses and greenhouse gas emissions under different N and water management in a subtropical double-season rice cropping system, Sci. Total Environ., 609 (2017) 46–57.
- [13] A. Ghaly, V. Ramakrishnan, Nitrogen sources and cycling in the ecosystem and its role in air, water and soil pollution: a critical review, J. Poll. Eff. Control, 136(3) (2015) doi:10.4172/2375– 4397.1000136.
- [14] Q. Wang, Z. Huo, L. Zhang, J. Wang, Y. Zhao, Impact of saline water irrigation on water use efficiency and soil salt accumulation for spring maize in arid regions of China, Agric. Water Manage., 163 (2016) 125–138.
- [15] M.H. Deng, X.J. Shi, Y.H. Tian, B. Yin, S.L. Zhang, Z.L. Zhu, Optimizing nitrogen fertilizer application for rice production in the Taihu Lake region China, Pedosphere, 22 (2012) 48–57.
- [16] N.M. Dong, K.K. Brandt, J. Sørensen, N.N. Hung, C.V. Hach, P.S. Tan, Effects of alternating wetting and drying versus continuous flooding on fertilizer nitrogen fate in rice fields in the Mekong Delta, Vietnam, Soil. Biol. Biochem., 47 (2012) 166–174.
- [17] Z.H. Wang, X.J. Liu, X.T. Ju, F.S. Zhang, Field in situ determination of ammonia volatilization from soil: Venting method. Plant Nutr. Fertil. Sci., 8 (2002) 205–209 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- [18] C.A. Sanz, T. Misselbrook, V. Camp, A Vallejo, Effect of water addition and the urease inhibitor NBPT on the abatement of ammonia emission from surface applied urea, Atmos. Environ., 45 (2011) 1517–1524.
- [19] J. Xu, S. Peng, S. Yang, W. Wang, Ammonia volatilization losses from a rice paddy with different irrigation and nitrogen managements. Agr. Water. Manage., 104 (2012) 184–192.
- [20] C.A. Sanz, L. Lassaletta, E. Aguilera, A.D. Prado, J. Garniere, G. Billen, Strategies for greenhouse gas emissions mitigation in Mediterranean agriculture: A review, Agric. Ecosyst. Environ., 238 (2016) 5–24.